

THE CHOYNSKI AND BEAN BOUT

Was for Ten Rounds and Quite Lively at Times.

WILLARD NO MATCH FOR JOE

The Man from Fresno Quite Shifty with Fists and Feet, but Clearly Outboxed.

Quite a large percentage of Salt Lake's male population had to work late last night on trial battles; at least as the report says, the majority, however, finally gravitated toward the ground where, William Henry and Joe Choyinski were pitted for a ten-round bout. Several of the Fresno boys' admirers were to be seen in the fair sized audience together with the audience's assistance of a well known Salt Lake physician, who had dropped out the train a couple of hours previously to attend "an important case." There were also four members of the writer's press present in the audience.

The crowd, was quite orderly and when a final adjournment was taken, seemed to be of the opinion that it had had its money's worth.

Joe Choyinski did not himself owe to any great extent to his own good fortune and generally speaking, allowed Dean to show off his good points to his friends, once or twice when the Fresno boy got into his own way the referee would tap him on his forehead with his knuckle, but as a rule he was not so much as a rule.

Dean showed up to good advantage being faster than some people had been led to imagine and altogether gave evidence of ability and skill and showed some signs of being a general fighter. However, the Fresno boy did not have much chance to show his defensive tactics, owing to the fact that he was outboxed by the other.

The men entered the ring at 8:15, both being greeted by enthusiastic fans. Dean in his green trunks tipped the bout at 14, while Choyinski, who was dressed in blue, tipped the bout at 15. In the first round Dean outboxed a tap on his nose from Choyinski, who was not so much as a rule.

In the third round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.

During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize. During the progress of the fourth round Dean landed a blow that did not materialize.



GOOD NEWS.

What you may have in front of you is the story of what you will get if you place your order for U. S. Baking Powder. Every can you buy here contains a recipe for a delicious cake. It is better than any, and equal to any. It is also in a tin.

YOUR CHOICE BILLS

U. S. Baking Powder

At following prices:
10 CANS CASE \$1.00
25 CANS CASE \$2.50
50 CANS CASE \$5.00
100 CANS CASE \$10.00
250 CANS CASE \$25.00
500 CANS CASE \$50.00
1000 CANS CASE \$100.00
2500 CANS CASE \$250.00
5000 CANS CASE \$500.00
10000 CANS CASE \$1000.00

of the Massachusetts convention of the First world. Judge George S. Mill is president of the association. The association will meet at the Hotel New York, New York, on the 25th of May. The association will meet at the Hotel New York, New York, on the 25th of May. The association will meet at the Hotel New York, New York, on the 25th of May.

PERSONAL.

Chas. H. Lindley of New York City is registered at the Kenilworth.

John Hunter is down from Scotland. The White House is his home.

Miss David Ross of Kansas is at the Kenilworth.

The Knottsville is the present home of F. W. Dierckx of London, Eng.

Harry Chase is down from Ohio and is at the Walker.

James Reed of Denmark, Mont., is located at the Kenilworth.

J. W. White, a Michiganite, is located at the White House.

Mrs. A. Edde of Chicago is at the Kenilworth.

C. C. Clinton is at the Walker.

W. H. Dierckx is at the Kenilworth.

A. B. Waldemar is at the White House.

Q. Bell of David City, Neb., is at the Kenilworth.

J. W. Harpington, a citizen of Kansas, is a guest of the White House.

A. C. Cook of Austin, Tex., is at the Kenilworth.

H. A. Harrison, Detroit, Mich., is located at the White House.

H. W. Davis and wife are guests of the Kenilworth. They are from Seattle.

Frank Manger of Detroit is at the Kenilworth.

Joe, son of Carlos, Wyo., is at the White House.

"Frank S. Tylman and wife; Chas. Clem Russell from Denver is in Salt Lake, with headquarters at the Kenilworth.

Thos. Harbick of Chicago is also at the Kenilworth.

J. B. White is the companion of a gentleman from Denver who is located at the White House.

Samuel Brown of Lincoln, Neb., can be found at the Kenilworth.

J. H. Armstrong from the "White City" is at the Kenilworth.

Thos. Davis of St. Louis is also at the Kenilworth.

Mr. and Mrs. F. Miller from Butte, Mont., are guests of the White House.

Kearns is one citizen here today, as John J. Kearns is at the White House.

Miss Danks of Chicago is at the Kenilworth.

Wm. Wade, wife and child arrived in Salt Lake today, and remained at the Kenilworth. Their home is in Minneapolis, Minn.

Mr. and Mrs. F. W. Morgan and family are here from the Pacific coast and are registered at the Kenilworth.

Mr. and Mrs. Mary Young and Miss Young of Chicago are guests of the Kenilworth.

A. B. Dierckx, wife and daughter of Minneapolis, Minn., are at the Kenilworth.

Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Carson from Chicago are enjoying the sights at Salt Lake. They are at the Kenilworth.

Dr. J. H. Murray and wife, Newark, N. J., are the Walker's neighbors.

CRIPPLE CREEK PROPERTY.

It is Sold to a London Syndicate for Eight Million Dollars.

Business Railway Division Upheld—Michigan Law Invalid—Finn & Pacific Freight Route.

Denver, Colo., April 16.—It is widely known that a deal has been closed in New York whereby the Michigan Terminal Railway company, the Pioneer and the Chicago and North Western, the Pacific Coast, and the Great Northern, have been sold to a London syndicate for \$8,000,000. The deal has been closed in New York. The deal has been closed in New York.

Details of the deal are as follows: The deal is for the sale of the Michigan Terminal Railway company, the Pioneer and the Chicago and North Western, the Pacific Coast, and the Great Northern, for \$8,000,000. The deal is for the sale of the Michigan Terminal Railway company, the Pioneer and the Chicago and North Western, the Pacific Coast, and the Great Northern, for \$8,000,000.

It is believed that the syndicate will build the long contemplated Alaska line to connect the coast with the Pacific coast. The deal is for the sale of the Michigan Terminal Railway company, the Pioneer and the Chicago and North Western, the Pacific Coast, and the Great Northern, for \$8,000,000.

THE RAILROAD DECISIONS.

Business Railway Division Upheld—Michigan Law Invalid—Finn & Pacific Freight Route.

Washington, April 15.—In the United States Supreme court today, Justice Brandeis delivered the opinion of the court in the case of the Michigan Terminal Railway company, the Pioneer and the Chicago and North Western, the Pacific Coast, and the Great Northern, for \$8,000,000.

The court held that the Michigan law which gave the Michigan Terminal Railway company the right to operate the line between the coast and the Pacific coast was invalid. The court held that the Michigan law which gave the Michigan Terminal Railway company the right to operate the line between the coast and the Pacific coast was invalid.

The court also held that the Pacific coast line, which was operated by the Pacific coast line, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Pacific coast line, which was operated by the Pacific coast line, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Great Northern line, which was operated by the Great Northern line, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Great Northern line, which was operated by the Great Northern line, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Pioneer line, which was operated by the Pioneer line, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Pioneer line, which was operated by the Pioneer line, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier. The court also held that the Michigan Terminal Railway company, which was operated by the Michigan Terminal Railway company, was not a common carrier.

A Pretty Shoe

for women, \$2.50. Tan with silk velvet, black, white, and navy blue. Patent Tan. Leather sole and lace. Made in New York. \$2.50.

See our window display.

ALWAYS REMEMBER

Paris

Illustrated catalogue sent.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

Need is wanting. There are many shoes in the window display. The shoes are in the window display. The shoes are in the window display.

There were, perhaps, a larger proportion of people seriously defective in vision than in any other industry. The people who were seriously defective in vision were in the shoe industry. The people who were seriously defective in vision were in the shoe industry.

There were, perhaps, a larger proportion of people seriously defective in vision than in any other industry. The people who were seriously defective in vision were in the shoe industry. The people who were seriously defective in vision were in the shoe industry.