

A VARIED PROGRAM.

Marta Turner Wins in Her Actions Against the Banks.

Judge Zane Directs that Master Barb be Returned to His Guardian—The Counterfeiter.

The announcement having been previously given by Chief Justice Zane that he would this morning make another sitting of civil jury cases, members of the bar filed not only the entire space within the courthouse, but standing room immediately behind was at a premium. The settings are given in another column of this issue. It was nearly 11 o'clock when this portion of the morning's business had concluded, and then the following masters were taken up:

MARTHA TURNER WINS.

His honor opened the day's program by rendering a decision in the case of Martha Turner vs Wells, Fargo & Co., the trial of which recently occupied two or more days.

Richard Thomas is of the opinion that the deceased, Minnie Barton, had the right to make this assignment, that she had the capacity, at the time of making it, to understand the nature of the transaction, and that it was not the result of undue influence. It seems, from the evidence, to have been her act purpose for weeks before her death to make the assignment, and that being so, it follows that the findings and judgment in this case should be in favor of the plaintiff, Martha Turner. The same ruling, I suppose, applies to the cases of Martha Turner vs. the Union National Bank and the Utah Title and Insurance company. On motion of Attorney W. C. Hall, for the defendants, Judge Zane granted a stay of thirty days.

HE IS LUCKY.

Assistant District Attorney Stephenes—in the case of the People against Henry Smith, alias James D'Oney, alias of Conroy, a desertion suit, the name of the defendant is a name, and at one time the offense was committed by him in the employ of Dr. Kendall, as cookman. He remained in the penitentiary some eight months waiting trial, and was not released to his wife and another son, and released him upon his own recognizances in order that he might reenter Dr. Kendall's service. The doctor is going to New York and he wishes to take Smith with him.

Judge Zane granted the order of dismissal.

THE COOTRELL DIVORCE CASE.

Attorney W. H. Dickson made mention of the divorce suit of Margaret Cottrell, in which he is acting as counsel for the plaintiff. He asked that the case be set down for hearing at an early date, for the reason that the defendant was giving considerable trouble to his wife and children. This, he urged, necessitated a speedy disposal of the matter.

Judge Zane set the hearing for Wednesday, May 1st.

PARK CITY MANSLAUGHTER CASE.

At the recent trial of Thomas O'Neill, indicted for manslaughter at Park City, the jury disagreed and the case was sent back to the court for a new trial.

District Attorney Varnum asked Judge Leethorne, the presiding officer, whether he would be ready to try the case to the end, to which the judge replied that he doubted it.

After some little conversation it was decided to let the master stand over for the present.

THE CUSTODY OF MARTIN BURT.

Judge Zane did not take many moments to write off the latest grievance arising out of the guardianship of William Burt, the 10-year-old son of Sheriff A. L. and Mrs. Burt.

Readers of the News will remember that some time ago Mrs. Burt obtained a divorce from her husband, and the child was, by a decree of the court, placed under the guardianship of Mr. Burt. However, the other day got possession of the boy and refused to give him up again.

Judge Powers, on Tuesday, took the child into his custody, and, after a written agreement with Mrs. Burt, returned him, placing Mrs. Burt to care of the child in court this morning, and Attorney Elmer B. Jones sought to have the decree modified to the effect that the mother be allowed to have the boy, but Judge Powers denied, ruling that the young Wallace be retained by the person aggrieved.

Attorney Jones said he desired to put in an answer, and the child must be returned to the question, Mr. William Grossbeck; then if the parties agreed later, a motion could be entered to change the decree.

By reason of the fact that Mr. Grossbeck is the guardian of the child, if any change was now to be made it must be so arranged that both sides would have an opportunity of being heard.

Judge Powers then ruled that the child be returned to Mr. Grossbeck.

Attorney Jones—It is a fact of three years, and he was allowed to stay over because he was ill.

Judge Zane—The child must be returned to Mr. Grossbeck, according to the decree. You have the law in your

own hands and must take the proper course in this matter.

Attorney Jones—The boy is here, and that is the order of the court he will be turned over.

Judge Zane (laughing)—That is the order.

Mrs. Burt, who was present with the little chap, was greatly distressed and declined to carry out the order. It was not until Mr. Grossbeck informed and assured Mrs. Burt's friends that they would bring up the question of her child's safety and future, that she consented to the partition. The girl, however, hugged and kissed him passionately. The children are being again separated from their mother, it is a pathetic commentary, if those who witnessed it.

THE LAST OF THEM.

The court then proceeded with the trial of the last of the counterfeiting trials, Phillip N. Vining, who was defended by Attorney Armstrong. He pleaded guilty, and, in his possession, carried \$100 silver dollar, with intent to utter the same.

Chief Attorney Varnum presented.

The case was in progress.

MURKIN AND RICHARD.

The case of Daniel Murkin, one of the counterfeiting gang, reached the jury in Judge Zane's court about half past eleven yesterday afternoon. Murkin is an ex-convict, having been sent up from Provo to answer what was evidently a charge of being a member of a gang of counterfeiters. He confessed with regard to his possession, on April 11, of a silver dollar, with intent to utter the same.

Chief Attorney Varnum presented.

The case was in progress.

al—Hobart A. Tracy, Stearns & Adams, Daniel M. Morgan, Bradley, Martin, Gossage, and others, vs. Wm. C. Hall, W. H. Hall, Van Cott.

MONDAY, MAY 1.

Salt Lake Building & Loan Association vs. Mahon, J. W. ——, Roberts & Hobart, Baldwin & Taylor.

E. E. Hale vs. Wm. Patterson et al.—Frank Stewart, Los Angeles & Kans.

Richard H. Brown vs. C. F. Lovins, et al.—Walter Murphy, Wm. Hall, Hobart & Cottrell.

TUESDAY, MAY 2.

H. A. Bassett et al.—Daniel Quinn, et al.—Sognen & Dechambeau, J. W. Cottrell.

John Mansfield vs. Baldwin-Brock & Chapman Mining Co.—J. Rogers, C. F. Lovins, Mathew, Marshall & Ryrie.

John W. Morrison vs. John A. Grossbeck, Mathew, Marshall & Ryrie, Hobart & Cottrell.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 3.

John P. Harriman et al.—George Price, R. H. Watson, S. H. Hamblin, Baldwin & Taylor.

PROVO.

Judge Blackhorse's Division of the National Bank—the Lost Spanish Mine—Brief Bits.

Brief Correspondence.

PROVO, April 21.—The Jackson of Murray, who pled guilty on Thursday to making counterfeit money and having it in his possession, as well as the manufacture of the same, was also sentenced by Judge Zane here in the afternoon. Hobart may have been the only man in the state who has not been tried since the first trial in the United States for a robbery committed sixteen years ago. "Well," said Judge Zane, "you have made a very bad start in life and you are not entitled to leniency. I hardly know what to do with you, as it doesn't seem to do you any good to be punished. However, I will sentence you to three years and one month." Hobart was rather taken in on hearing this.

CIVIL JURY CASES.

Another Setting Was Made This Morning by Chief Justice Zane.

In the Third district court this morning the following settings of civil jury cases were made:

WEDNESDAY, MAY 1.

Margaret Emma Cottrell vs. Larissa Cottrell—W. H. Dickson, Human & Gray.

John White vs. U. P. Railway Co.—A. Williams, Williams & Van Cott.

John Branson vs. Frank Lovins, et al.—John H. Lewis, C. F. Lovins, Kervis Williams & Van Cott.

A. C. Standart vs. A. A. Parsons et al.—S. H. Lewis, C. Ira Kimball, Booth & Gray.

THURSDAY, MAY 2.

Harriet A. Partridge vs. G. M. Fred—D. W. C. Hall, Grant, H. Smith, G. A. & H. M. H. Cottrell & H. F. Folsom—Leahman & Kuhn & Farnum.

Thomas A. Williams vs. Louis Lovins & Cottrell.

FRIDAY, MAY 3.

L. S. Whipple vs. Wm. Littlefield et al.—J. M. Thomas, Glenn & Gunter, J. C. DeWeaver vs. N. Scott et al.—Cord & Clegg, Rawlins & Crispell.

He J. H. Townsend vs. S. L. Corp. & Nat. Gas Co.—Rawlins & Crittenden, W. G. Van Horne.

MONDAY, MAY 13.

M. Newcomer vs. F. C. Wells et al.—D. J. C. Farnsworth & H. K. Kuhn.

F. E. Newell vs. Jerome Williams et al.—Standart & attorneys.

John Livingston vs. Jerome Williams et al.—Standart & attorneys.

Wm. H. Hurd vs. Jerome Williams et al.—Standart & attorneys.

TUESDAY, MAY 14.

R. S. Price, Isaac M. Allred—Booth & Gray, J. H. H. Smith.

Tom Ferguson vs. Geo. Nachman, D. J. Frazee, J. G. Sutherland.

M. V. Deschartre vs. N. A. Aspinwall, Arthur Brown, Bennett, Marshall & Bradley.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 15.

Carry Leavitt Laumer Co. vs. Vincent Haworth—Browne & Zipp, Anthony Kosman.

H. B. Bitter vs. H. J. White—A. Williams, Williams & Van Cott.

W. S. Simkin vs. E. S. Snellgrove, A. Kossan, H. Hard.

THURSDAY, MAY 16.

Union Pacific Railway Company vs. D. W. McMillen et al.—H. H. Smith, E. C. Davis vs. H. M. Ellsworth et al.—Powers & Hale, Williams & Van Cott.

Elizabeth T. Tucker et al. vs. Salt Lake City—Stephens & Schlesinger, E. H. Hager.

FRIDAY, MAY 17.

G. F. Dalton vs. A. M. Munson, Clem & Gunter, Richards & Magie.

A. F. Sipperly & Co. vs. J. A. Johnson, H. J. Frazee, G. H. Smith.

W. G. Glavin vs. D. S. & L. N. Ry. Co.—Zane & Putnam, Williams & Van Cott.

MONDAY, MAY 21.

Henry Dimmick et al. vs. Salt Lake City—Haworth & Crittenden, Walker & Morris.

James W. Stinson et al. vs. Nat. Gas Co.—H. J. A. & D. J. D. Street, Lee & Peck.

Sarah Greenhill vs. J. E. Berkley et al.—Frank Peirce, Powers & Hale.

TUESDAY, MAY 22.

Barney Morgan vs. Ontario H. Mfg Co.—Powers & Hale, Bennett, Marshall & Bradley.

A. T. Schneider vs. John M. Young, Stephenson & Holloman, Williams & Van Cott, and others.

John Tolson vs. H. Grossbeck—Bowman & Kuhn & Kain.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 23.

Archie Hyatt Findling vs. Theo. McEvoy et al.—The Adams, Frank Pierce.

THURSDAY, MAY 24.

Jennings & Ward vs. Frank Kopke et al.—D. N. Strout, C. W. Hall.

FRIDAY, MAY 25.

Hooper J. Crandall vs. H. H. Bully et al.—Frank Kopke, Powers & Hale.

MONDAY, MAY 28.

John H. H. Smith vs. Wm. C. Hall, W. H. Hall, Van Cott.

TUESDAY, MAY 29.

John H. H. Smith vs. Wm. C. Hall, W. H. Hall, Van Cott.

WITHIN OUR GATES.

J. H. Haskay of Mount Pleasant, in the beautiful country of Cache Valley, is here and hunting north at the cabin. The doctor desires people who need medical treatment in his neighborhood.

Frances E. Watson, formerly United States senator from Wyoming and who would have succeeded himself had not the Republicans been short of votes, is enjoying slight leisure at the Ranch.

Thomas Fowler, who runs the newspaper of Utah county, is a man who is entirely acceptable to the people generally but not to that hard case who are occasionally most interested in his movements, came up to Provo and talked with us.

Alger King, who was present with

the ragged crew of men who

improved and enlarged our city,

developed the fact that it was ten miles

of Salt Lake on the way from

Provo to the mountains.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.

John Wasmann, head counselor of

the possible administration in the

United States, came to town

to speak to the people.