

OWNERSHIP OF PUBLIC UTILITIES.

Demand for It by State or Municipalities Arises From Gross Injustice of Corporations.

DECLARED TO BE UN-AMERICAN

Remedy for Present Evils is Stricter Surveillance of Corporations by Power That Creates Them.

Atlanta, Ga., May 16.—The annual gathering of the National Association of Manufacturers of the United States, representing more than three-quarters of the \$13,000,000,000 of invested capital of this country, assembled here today. Three hundred members were in attendance. The first thing on the regular program was the annual address of President D. M. Parry of Indianapolis.

The report of Secy. Cushing of New York reviewed at length the work of the association under various descriptive heads.

Mr. Ludwig Nissen of New York spoke on the governmental relation of business. The address was largely devoted to a discussion of the un-Americanism of governmental ownership of public utilities. He said in part:

"The present trend of public opinion in the effort to check the evils of control by monopoly is toward the other extreme, that is, state ownership. The relation of that principle would mean state socialism."

"The gross injustice of the public service corporations is unquestionably the cause for the now general demand of the unthinking public for a system of municipal or state ownership of all public utilities."

"If these principles should ever be put into general practice in this country the result would be the destruction of liberty and independence, a want of freedom from wealth to poverty, from progress to lethargy and from liberty to slavery, because we should live in a state of socialism, which aims at equality with the lowest, instead of teaching to aspire to the highest. You would crush individuality."

"As a remedy for these conditions I would suggest the following hints:

"First, all public service corporations should be under strict surveillance of the authority that creates them."

"Second, whenever a charter or franchise is granted it should be granted only on conditions. Service rendered by such corporations shall be measured by such conditions. Such charters or franchises should not be allowed to be given away, sold, leased or mortgaged with those of any other corporation except under terms carefully specified by law."

HOSPITALS CROWDED

MAJORITY OF PATIENTS WOMEN

Mrs. Pinkham's Advice Saves Many From this Sad and Costly Experience.



It is a sad but true fact that every year brings an increase in the number of operations performed upon women in our hospitals. More than three-fourths of the patients lying on those snow white beds are women and girls who are awaiting or recovering from operations made necessary by neglect.

Every one of these patients had plenty of warning in that bearing down feeling pain at the left or right of the womb, nervous exhaustion, pain in the small of the back, leucorrhoea, dizziness, flatulence, dislocations of the womb or irregularities. All of these symptoms are indications of an unhealthy condition of the ovaries or womb, and if not heeded the trouble will make headway until the penalty has to be paid by a dangerous operation, and a lifetime of impaired usefulness at best, while in many cases the results are fatal.

The following letter should bring hope to suffering women. Miss Luella Adams, of the Colonnade Hotel, Seattle, Wash., writes:

Dear Mrs. Pinkham—

"About two years ago I was a great sufferer from a severe female trouble pains and headaches. The doctor prescribed for me a special diet and I followed it on the word and most undergo an operation if I wanted to get well. I felt that this was my death warrant, but I spent hundreds of dollars for medical help, but the tumor kept growing. Fortunately I consulted with Dr. George E. Pinkham of Lynn's Vegetable Compound, as it was said to cure tumors. I did so and immediately began to improve in health, and I was entirely cured, the tumor disappearing entirely, without an operation. I wish every suffering woman would try this great preparation."

Just as surely as Miss Adams was cured of the troubles enumerated in her letter, just so surely will Lydia E. Pinkham's Vegetable Compound cure every woman in the land who suffers from womb trouble, inflammation of the ovaries, kidney troubles, nervous excitability and nervous prostration.

Mrs. Pinkham invites all young women who are ill to write her for free advice. Address, Lynn, Mass.

ATTEMPT TO ASSASSINATE GOVERNOR-GENERAL OF UFAA

Ufaa, Russia, May 16.—Maj. Gen. Sokolovsky, governor general of the province of Ufaa, was shot at several times yesterday morning in the public garden during an entertainment. His assailant disappeared. Gen. Sokolovsky's life is despaired of, though he retained complete consciousness. Vice Gov. Bogdanovitch has taken over the administration of the province.

Gen. Bogdanovitch is the second crime of this nature which has occurred at Ufaa within two years, his predecessor, Gen. Bogdanovitch, having been assassinated in the streets of Ufaa May 16, 1903. The assassin was identified as the governor of Orenburg and later military governor of the same province, acquired a reputation as a stern and vigorous official, was appointed to restore order in the turbulent government of Ufaa, and adopted a repressive policy which long ago caused him to be marked as a fatigued man to be shot.

Gen. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should consider that you are a public carrier, the same as a newspaper company," suggested Senator Dallier.

Mr. Robbins denied that this was true. The Pere Marquette line, he suggested, had reduced rates from \$2.50 to \$1 per car, and the Armour line had made like reduction.

Senator Dallier asked what was the reason for reducing the business of the Armour line and its earnings.

Mr. Robbins insisted that the company is a private one, and ought not to be compelled to expose its books or earnings any more than any other private company.

"Unless we should