

## TELEGRAPHIC. ALASKAN QUESTION.

International Dispute Between England  
and the United States.

PREDICTED BY A JEWISH PAPER.

The Taken Inlet and the Head of Lynn  
Canal the Base of Conflict.  
It is Said.

TAMPA, Wash., Jan. 14.—The Alaska Annex, published at Seattle City, makes the imminent prediction that "Taku Inlet" will be the base of Lynn Canal will become Tampa the world over a sliding ground for a new international dispute between Great Britain and the United States, and a cause of arbitration by the League of Nations, powers to determine which country shall hold possession of it, according to the provisions of the Alaska Treaty and the subsequent purchases of Alaska by the United States.

The paper quotes from the Alaskan Annex: "Within six or eight months the Pacific Coast, Eng-

land maintaining that the boundary line must be drawn west of the

Fraser River, says he will have

the persons who would agree to

such a division as far as the

United States is concerned,

and that the British government

will be compelled to yield to the

United States' demands.

A. H. DAVIS, editor of the Alaskan Annex, says he has no

knowledge of any international

dispute between the two countries.

The good results following the

conclusion of England's pretenses of

possession of a segment, so important to

the United States.

With these same, under Gladstone's

foreign policy, what possibilities

in independent Alaska?" Price Davis

is asking as to whether a commercial

power, England, has not interests upon

Alaska, so that it may compete

with the ports of the Yukon territory and the undersigned southern

territory.

MINISTER CRISIS IN FRANCE.

Centers that Led to the Resignation of

the Foreign Cabinet.

PARIS, Jan. 14.—The cabinet resigned today, having been dissolved by the Emperor of France, in consequence of a speech of his on the day before, last evening. M. Barrot, minister of public Works, resigned his office on the reason that the council of state had decided against him in the matter of guaranteeing the loan of the South American Republic. It was generally known that M. Achard, minister of finance, the well-known economist, deputy and economic chief of the Free Republican Party, would interpret the government's refusal to M. Barrot, that the government would reply thereto, consequently a large crowd gathered in the chamber to listen to the proceedings.

It was known that the position of the cabinet was not as safe as it might have been, and that the government had hopes that the details of the speech of the day would result in the overthrow of the ministry.

The question which led to the overthrow of the ministry is this: Is it safe, it is really a question of war, to entrust the defense of the country to the courts of France, and whether the Chamber is able to set aside the judgment of the government.

In 1882 the government made a contract with the Germans upon similar ways, under the name of the "international arbitration," in which certain facilities, in the transportation of war material, the government guaranteed the payment on the bonds of the raffraff.

The government held that five guarantees expressed in 1882 were different views and construed their agreement to mean that the government guaranteed the interest in property. These were brought in the courts to settle the question. In Justice M. Barrot, who called the attention to the fact that their bonds were not paid, and the government repudiated the guarantees expressed in 1882. The defense refused to do so, and the case was taken to the court of state, which in due time is the final court of appeal.

The council of state, however, did decide that the government had repudiated.

The minister of finance's object in the minister claimed the right to override was a complete negation of the same.

## Record Breakers!

### OUR \$2.50 LINE.

Made from genuine Bengalas, in Ladies' Button, all Sizes, Styles, and Widths of Laces.

### EVERY PAIR WARRANTED.

We carry the Most Complete Line and the Latest Styles in Misses' and Children's Fine FOOTWEAR, made by the

WILLIAMS & BOY SHOE MANUFACTURING CO.

Baer

24 Main Street, Salt Lake City,  
Opposite Z. C. M. I.

fection of the Danish action and the Baltic situation as the subject of most frequent, consequently the latest, geography lessons, and the procedure in the chamber led to the recognition of the older members of the ministry.

### Breakfast-Green Series.

BROOKLYN, Jan. 14.—About noon yesterday the women in company with the officers under the command of Capt. John C. Shatto, traveled on the third-class line of passenger cars and other means down the tracks, but were driven away by the police. Present officials agreed to let passengers west the demands of the men on the day and night trains to ascertain the exact time of arrival of the train. The agreement will give the men a removal of their demands of \$25,000, and their return to work.

### TAPPED THE WINE.

And Yet an Interesting News Item.

CORONADO, Jan. 14.—Corporation and foreign estates were successfully taken away from the Arizona Land Commission by the citizens of Tucson, who agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine. The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.

The citizens of Tucson agreed to pay the corporation \$100,000 for the loss of their wine.