

DESERET EVENING NEWS

Corner of South Temple and East Temple Streets, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Horace G. Whitney - Business Manager.

SUBSCRIPTION PRICES: (In Advance.)

One Year \$3.00
 Six Months \$1.50
 Three Months75
 One Month25
 Single Copies, per year 2.00
 Single Copies, per year 2.00

Correspondence and other reading matter for publication should be addressed to the EDITOR.

Address all business communications and remittances to THE DESERET NEWS, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Western Representatives—New York, Franklin P. Allen, Flat Iron Building, Chicago—A. W. Wolf, Security Building.

Entered at the postoffice of Salt Lake City as second class matter according to Act of Congress, March 3, 1879.

SALT LAKE CITY, - DEC. 15, 1909.

MEMORIAL SERVICES.

For years it has been the custom in the wards throughout the Stakes of Zion to commemorate the birthday of the Prophet Joseph, by special services, on the Sunday nearest the date of that anniversary. In accordance with this practice we suggest that Sunday, Dec. 26, this year, be devoted to the memory of the great Prophet of this dispensation, and that appropriate services be held in the Sunday schools, ward houses and other places where the religious services of the Church are held, on that day.

JOSEPH F. SMITH,
 JOHN R. WINDER,
 ANTHONY H. LUND,
 First Presidency.
 Salt Lake City, Dec. 11, 1909.

TESTS OF IRRIGATION.

Irrigation investigations have been conducted for several years past by the Utah Experiment Station at Logan, and the results, which are of general interest, are embodied in a bulletin (No. 105) just issued. It is written by Dr. John A. Whitson.

The writer states that the work has had for its direct object the study of the mutual relations of plants, soils and water, as these relations may indicate the most economic use of water for plant production. It becomes necessary to follow the movement of water in soils under irrigation conditions, and to determine also the relative amounts of water evaporated directly from the soil and taken from the soil by plants. Considering the needs of the practical farmer, three great questions continually presented themselves to the investigators: (1) To what extent is it possible to regulate the amount of water that evaporates directly from the soil? (2) Is it possible to regulate the amount of water taken from the soil by plants? and (3) Is it possible to prevent loss of water by seepage?

Four kinds of soils were employed in the experiments. In testing these it was found that the College loam and Sanpete clay average about one-fourth of one per cent. of water soluble material, which probably includes all the immediately available fertility; while the clay and sand contain somewhat less than one-half of that amount.

First the effect of cultivation, by which is meant the stirring of the top soil usually after the crops have been planted, was investigated. It was found that on all the soils, excepting the sand, cultivation increased the yields of dry matter from the cropped plots. The increase due to cultivation is surprisingly high; on the clay it was nearly 31 per cent., on the College loam and Sanpete clay it was nearly 5 and 14 per cent., respectively. Certainly, such increased crop yields fully compensate the farmer for the labor of cultivation.

The amount of evaporation from bare soils, with and without cultivation, during three years, showed one rather singular result. The beneficial effect of cultivation was strikingly marked on all of the soils with the exception of the College loam, which was not at all benefited. Cultivation reduced evaporation from the clay 62.5 per cent., from the sand 34.1 per cent., and from the Sanpete clay 13 per cent. These results confirm the results of former experiments and justify the systematic and careful cultivation that the wise farmers of the arid West give to their soils. The conduct of the College loam is surprising, and shows that many western soils must be deeply stirred in order to conserve the soil moisture that lies beneath the surface.

Another series of tests furnished fairly conclusive evidence that the amount of water actually required for the production of a pound of dry matter becomes smaller as the available fertility of the soil increases. While this law is not new, it does not seem to have been applied to the cultural methods in this country, where the limiting factor is the water supply.

In England, during the dry season of 1870, it was observed that the hay plots that had been well fertilized produced nearly ten times as much dry matter as did the unfertilized plots, and that the increased yield could not be explained without assuming that dry matter had been produced with less water than ordinarily required.

In Germany, about 1875, it was determined that the transpiration of plants in water cultures varied with the solutions employed. When a mixture of all the necessary plant foods was used, the transpiration was least.

More recently, in 1894, it was again observed that the amount of water transpired per gram of dry oats diminished as a more plentiful supply of plant nutrients were offered; and in 1895 an experiment was reported which showed that on an infertile soil 1390 grams of water were transpired for each gram of dry matter as against 550 grams on a very fertile soil.

In 1908, investigations in the Bureau of Soils hit upon the same law. Scattered throughout agricultural literature are numerous observations to the effect that during seasons of drouth the crops on fertile soils suffer least. All these statements are in support of the findings of the experiments reported in this bulletin, that the amount of water used

by plants diminishes as the fertility increases.

In the majority of cases, surface irrigation gave the largest yields of dry matter; subirrigation nearly as much, and standing water the smallest yields. By increasing the amount of water supplied to bare soils, the loss from evaporation was increased in a greater ratio, showing that heavy irrigations should be followed by immediate, careful, and thorough cultivation.

The investigators say that summer fallowing should be practiced on dry farms, first, to store the precipitation of two or more years for the use of one crop, and secondly, to set free an abundance of plant food which will enable crops to mature with less water.

Finally, one result of scientific rather than popular interest is that the number of pounds of water required for the production of a pound of dry matter varies greatly with the crop, the soil, the season, the method of irrigation and the cultivation. In general, however, the amount of water required for the production of dry matter is very much higher in an arid region than in regions of abundant rainfall. The conservation of moisture is, therefore, of greater importance in the West than in the East.

INCOMPETENCE REVEALED.

The incompetency of the so-called "American" councilmen was displayed in more than commonly strong light on Monday during the discussion of the City's interests in certain lakes in South Cottonwood canyon. The reservoir sites were purchased, it seems, in January, 1908, from persons who had no legal title to them.

Councilman Black declared he spent a whole day on horseback in a driving snowstorm to investigate the magnificent sites, though what he could see in a driving snowstorm is not clear. And then, he declared, he voted for the purchase of the lakes because, he thought the City acquired some rights by that purchase. Councilman Wood, who is said to be related to one of the men who "sold" the sites, or the City, said he did not know anything about the title to the sites in question.

Was there ever a more miserable display of ignorance, or incompetency, or indifference in a City council? The sum involved was only \$2,000 this time, but we have the testimony of the "American" organ to the fact that the blunders of an "American" engineer cost the City \$100,000, and we have the word of one of the best informed councilmen to the effect that if the blunders of the engineer cost the people \$100,000, the blunders of the board of public works have cost no less than \$400,000. A council that will squander \$2,000 of the people's money, is not worthy of handling millions. The larger sums cannot be entrusted to the care of those who are not faithful in the handling of smaller sums.

Black's confession that he voted for the purchase of something to which the sellers had no title, under the impression that the City was offered a bargain, is an index to the kind of a business administration this City is blessed with.

We notice that a resolution was passed directing counsel to take the matter up at once, in order to find out where the City comes in on those sites. An investigation instituted for the purpose of ascertaining whether \$2,000 was actually paid for the sites, would, perhaps, throw some light upon the transaction.

AN INTERESTING REPORT.

In his annual report, just received, the Secretary of the Treasury, MacVeagh, points out that the absence in this country of anything like a budget has led to a great deal of extravagant appropriations. Alexander Hamilton, he says, began in that way, but "the complete plan of a budget was never fully realized, could not have lasted, and cannot now be instituted."

This would at first make it appear that, in the judgment of the Secretary, the haphazard way of calculating the nation's expenses and income is beyond remedy, but he points out that in March last Congress adopted, in the sundry civil appropriation bill, a provision whereby the appropriations of Congress are brought under a system. The effect of this provision is that the estimates of the cabinet are thoroughly revised as a whole, before they go to Congress, and that they are further considered by the chairman of the several appropriation committees, acting in concert. The plan is as yet experimental; but the result to date, even before the estimates have been formally taken in hand by Congress, has been the asking of \$4,000,000 less than was asked for the current fiscal year, and \$55,665,000 less than was actually appropriated for it.

The Secretary recommends that the tax on bank circulation, secured by United States bonds hereafter deposited, be continued at the rate of one-half of one per cent. per annum for circulation based on two per cent. bonds, but that the tax on circulation secured by United States bonds hereafter deposited bearing rates of interest higher than two per cent. shall be increased in exact proportion to the increase of the rate of interest above two per cent. In recommending civil service pensions, the Secretary says that the United States is the only nation that has no general legal retiring pension for the employees of its civil service. The service, he claims, is blocked in many instances by the unwillingness of the officials in charge to throw out of place worthy men and women who have given the best of their lives to the work of the government. He expressed the hope that Congress will take this matter up for consideration.

The report declares that it is neither appropriate nor necessary for the Secretary of the Treasury to discuss at this time the general problem of currency reform. That problem has been referred by Congress, for investigation and report, to the Monetary Commission, and pending its action the matter is in abeyance. The Secretary merely notices that today we have "neither an adjustable currency nor trustworthy surplus reserves," and appeals for the laying aside of "political partisanship, special interest, and pride

of opinion," in future consideration of the problem.

The policy of retrenchment evident in the report will appeal forcibly to the people. They realize, as the Secretary says, that "it is a favorable opportunity—partly created by the influence of the deficit—to consider the government's outgo, not alone in its totals, but in its details, and to institute proper economies." They believe that if this should be successfully accomplished there is a prospect that the example would influence the overhauling of state and municipal expenditures, to the great advantage of the nation.

Just now money talks Christmas shopping.

A man who buys on tick rarely pays on time.

Should a booster ever be called a carryatid?

A lame excuse is one that shows its lameness.

Building still goes on but it is not quite so teaming as it was.

Few men give as much help as they expect, but most get all they deserve.

Dolly Dimples has proven so irresistible that she will even resist extradition.

"Why are lies wrong?" asks he Hartford Times. Because they are not right.

Nicaragua is the kind of a country that thinks it can whip its weight in wildcats.

The man who says he loves work is quite apt to be trying to work some scheme.

A man is as young as he looks and not as he feels. People are judged by appearances.

If Uncle Sam goes to the aid of Estrada, Zelaya is liable soon to be a man without a country.

Senator Rayner would make an example of Zelaya. Of course, it would be a terrible example.

Emma Goldman says, and says truly, that the ballot cannot make women free. It is a means to removing political trammels, a safeguard against oppression, a weapon with which to defend and to strike for rights, but true freedom is a state of mind and not a course of action. It consists in putting oneself in harmony with the infinite, a thing requiring constant and uninterrupted effort. Miss Goldman's theories know nothing of it. Her remedies are far worse than the disease she would cure.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The Irish Nationalists will support the Liberals. The historic position of the Irish is to be agin' the government.

In some parts of the country Santa Claus will use a sleigh, in some an automobile, while in still others he will use an aeroplane.

The report on stevedore conditions by special agents of the immigration commission shows that stevedore passengers are treated like cattle.

The Duke of the Abruzzi has named the highest Himalayan mountain he climbed "The Financier." Isn't that just too lovely for anything?

The striking switchmen are returning to work. They have been striking for the country but now they are going to strike for their homes. There's no place like home.

The law requires that those who offer oleomargarine for sale shall display a sign to that effect and that it shall be posted in a conspicuous place. Why should not those who sell short weight butter be made to advertise the fact and hang the sign in a conspicuous place?

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The Irish Nationalists will support the Liberals. The historic position of the Irish is to be agin' the government.

In some parts of the country Santa Claus will use a sleigh, in some an automobile, while in still others he will use an aeroplane.

The report on stevedore conditions by special agents of the immigration commission shows that stevedore passengers are treated like cattle.

The Duke of the Abruzzi has named the highest Himalayan mountain he climbed "The Financier." Isn't that just too lovely for anything?

The striking switchmen are returning to work. They have been striking for the country but now they are going to strike for their homes. There's no place like home.

The law requires that those who offer oleomargarine for sale shall display a sign to that effect and that it shall be posted in a conspicuous place. Why should not those who sell short weight butter be made to advertise the fact and hang the sign in a conspicuous place?

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials, sneers at other papers for not publishing "the news." There is not a newspaper in the country more one-sided than that sheet. What it conceals concerning the maladministration of public business, not to say anything of private affairs, would fill a volume.

The paper that refused to publish the particulars of the scandalous treatment to which Mrs. Whittcott and her boy were subjected by "American" police officials,