

If that notable communication is closely scanned it will be observed that the writer of it seems to have submerged his identity, giving it the appearance of having been penned by Methodism. Shades of Whitefield and Wesley, look down upon the degenerate uses to which the religion you so manfully labored to establish is put! See with what eagerness characters and conditions against whom and which you uttered your rhetorical thunders are hugged and hailed by one of your professed followers!

THE COUNTY ELECTION.

The following is from the EVENING NEWS of August 4th:

There was a lively interest in the election today, and reports from all parts that can be reached show that a full vote is being polled. In Salt Lake County, in August, 1889, the vote was:

People.....3308
"Liberal".....2650

People's majority in the County.....743

In the city the vote stood in August, 1889:

	People.	"Liberal."	Total.
First Precinct....	334	406	800
Second ".....	499	722	1221
Third ".....	529	210	739
Fourth ".....	356	198	554
Fifth ".....	276	554	830
Totals.....	2054	2090	4144

Liberal majority.....36

In February the city vote was:

	People.	"Liberal."	Total.
First Precinct....	577	630	1207
Second.....	642	1227	1869
Third.....	716	358	1074
Fourth.....	488	296	784
Fifth.....	364	982	1366
Total.....	2907	3503	6390

"Liberal" majority.....78

At the school election in July the vote was:

	People.	"Liberal."	Total.
First precinct.....	334	538	1212
Second.....	464	923	1389
Third.....	479	367	846
Fourth.....	344	329	673
Fifth.....	230	726	956
Totals.....	1853	2883	4736

"Liberal" majority.....1030

In the city precincts today the following is the record:

FIRST PRECINCT.

At two o'clock there was a total of 760 votes. Of these the "Liberals" claimed a majority of about 40. The strength of the People's Party voters came to the polls before that hour, and it was conceded that most of those yet to come would be "Liberal." Jake Greenwald, one of the "Liberal" judges of election, gave it as his opinion that the "Liberals" would have a majority of less than 100 in the First Precinct. Up to the hour named, about twenty-five men had discovered that their names had been erased from the registration list, but none had been restored. The People's vote gave promise of being heavy, and it was believed the Workingmen's ticket was receiving generous support. All was quiet and not much friction had occurred.

In one case an individual presented himself, and gave a name on the

registration list. He was challenged for non-residence and sworn.

"Where do you live?"

"In the Tenth Ward."

"How long have you lived there?"

After some hesitation, "I slept there last night."

"Were you ever there before?"

"No; I was down on the D. & R. G."

"How many came up with you?"

Hesitatingly, "About 20."

The challenge was sustained, and the other 20 will probably go to the Twentieth Ward, where challenges were not allowed by "Liberal" judges. This afternoon, at the First precinct, three negroes were challenged and the challenge was sustained.

At 3:30 p. m. the total vote was 887. Of this the People's men claimed a majority of 20.

SECOND PRECINCT.

Up to three o'clock there had been cast just about 1150 votes in the Second precinct. Of these the "Liberal" checkers conceded to the People's Party about or something less than 400, claiming that the rest would be divided between the "Liberal" and Workingmen's tickets. No man was found at the polls who would venture a guess as to the relative strength of the two. It was obvious that the Workingmen's ticket was having many supporters, but how many could not be estimated with any degree of certainty.

Several cases of personating had occurred. The registration officer, M. L. Dykes, acting under instructions from the Utah Commission, was re-instating names improperly erased from the registration lists. He said 472 had been stricken off, and representatives of the People's Party prevailed upon him to have an interview with the Commission. Chairman Godfrey said that every name, unjustly erased, should be restored. It was hoped to accomplish this in most cases.

THIRD PRECINCT.

In this place the gross outrage committed by the contemptible individual appointed as registrar was allowed to stand. There were about 20 names left off the registration list unlawfully by George Lambourne. They were all People's Party men, of course, and when the order of the Utah Commission, stating that such names should be replaced was issued, the voters presented themselves to Lambourne and asked for their rights. He refused to grant their request, and the Utah Commission was again applied to. Today the Commission directed the deputy registrar appointed by them to perform his duty and place those names on the list.

At 1 p. m. today 599 votes had been cast in the third precinct. Of this number the "Liberals" were credited with 217, and the People with 382; the number of Workingmen's tickets was not known, but whatever there were would reduce the number accredited to the "Liberals."

There were twelve challenges for polygamy, and the "Liberal"

judges violated the law by sustaining the challenges, notwithstanding the fact that the parties challenged are not now in polygamy, and have not been for years.

Later—At 3:30 o'clock 735 votes had been cast, of which the "Liberals" claimed 312. About 30 names had been improperly stricken from the list, of which five or six had been restored, and efforts were being made to have others placed on the list again. On the July list there were 1145 names, indicating that the vote in this precinct is not extra heavy for the hour named.

FOURTH PRECINCT.

At the Twentieth Ward school-house the total vote was 464; poll 1 having 269, and poll 2 numbering 195. The "Liberal" judges at this precinct adopted a new rule, in relation to challenges. This was doubtless to cover a development made at the school elections, when about twenty-five persons were sent back because they did not reside in the precinct. Under the new rule none of this number could be examined, thus making the challenge valueless. The judges would refuse to put on oath anyone challenged for non-residence, and would allow him to vote. In one case, that of Thomas Woolsey, the vote was received, notwithstanding the fact that Woolsey moved out of the precinct before election day. It was also alleged that the registrar had put on the lists names of persons who had not before been registered. An estimate of the political complexion of the voters gives the People 264, "Liberals" 200. This is not taking into account the Workingmen's ticket, which seemed to be having a large following.

FIFTH PRECINCT.

Next to the Second, the Fifth precinct had the most noisy element, but it was all talk. There was no indication of anything more than a wordy war among some of the radical adherents of the "Liberal" and Workingmen's party, representatives of the first named exhibiting a considerable amount of bluster. The voting was heavy at this precinct, and challenges were allowed in the usual way. At 2 p. m. poll 1 showed 277 ballots, poll 2 had 306, and poll 3 had 301, making a total of 884. Of this number about 300 were People's and the remainder Workingmen's and "Liberals." The voters of the first named party were about all in. The indications on the vote at the hours named are that the People have cast about one half of the vote polled in the county, and that between them and the "Liberals," if the polls had then been closed, the result would have been in doubt, with chances in favor of the People. During the remainder of the day it is probable that the number cast by the People would be less than that of the "Liberals." If the Workingmen's ticket has drawn 50 per cent of the votes claimed by its supporters at the polls today, there is no doubt of the election of a ma-