

of affairs was the constitution evolved. As was said by John Adams: "The constitution was extorted from the grinding necessities of a reluctant people." Even after the adoption of this instrument there was no common country. At least not a proper love for country. The all-important problem to solve was how to create a love for country. Another important question was by those who were closest to the pulses of the people and the times, how could the country be kept together until a fraternal love could be called forth.

The country had but one man who could be relied upon as being equal to the emergency. That man was Alexander Hamilton. (Applause.) His work commenced and was carried on in earnest. The great struggle was to make self-interest do the work of patriotism, until patriotism was itself developed.

Every dollar that the government owes must be paid to those who held government paper. This would attract to the support of the government men of influence and money. The debts of the States must likewise be paid. That would be another bond of confidence and support and so it was. Tariffs must be levied and collected and excises imposed for similar reasons.

The national bank system was proposed and its legality argued through the ablest State papers to the end that other elements would adhere and give strength and authority to the government. His interpretation of the Constitution was that there were implied as well as expressed powers. In the section granting powers to Congress is the power to do what is "necessary and proper." This meant not what was indispensable, but what was expedient, useful, desirable.

The lecturer dwelt at length and with great plainness on the subject of implied powers. Hamilton was the great crystalizer and caught the stray elements of self-interest and tied them together for the people's good and caused the hearts and sympathies of those who were reluctant to turn to the government.

From him came the proud spirit of nationalism and national love. In concluding his lecture Dr. Gordy paid a glowing tribute to Hamilton as a scholar, orator and statesman.

JUDGE POWERS' BRAZENNESS.

The Michigan political sharper has once more exhibited his brazenness, as will be seen by the following papers filed in Justice Lochrie's court:

Territory of Utah, in Justice Court, P. H. Lochrie, justice of the peace, in and for Salt Lake City, Utah.

H. T. Duke, plaintiff, vs. Elias A. Smith and A. G. Norrell, defendants.

The above named plaintiff comes and complains of the above named defendants, and for cause of action alleges:

First—That this plaintiff is now, and has been ever since about the 8th day of May, A. D. 1888, a duly qualified and appointed member of the Territorial Democratic Central Committee of this Territory, this plaintiff, with divers other persons in Utah Territory, having been on or about the day last aforesaid appointed as such committee by that certain political association of persons, citizens of the United States of America, and electors of and in the

Territory of Utah, known as the Democratic party of Utah Territory, by their duly authorized and accredited agents and representatives in convention assembled, for the term and period of four years from the date last aforesaid, and until their successors should be in like manner duly appointed.

Second—That said Democratic party of Utah Territory has been organized and has existed in said Utah Territory since about the year 1872.

Third—That on or before this second day of April, A. D. 1892, this plaintiff was by the order and resolution of said committee duly made, constituted and appointed the secretary of said committee, and as such secretary this plaintiff by the resolution and order of said committee duly made, was and is charged with the proper and safe keeping and custody of all the record books, minute books and books of account, and papers pertaining to the office and proceedings of said committee, and was placed in possession of the same, except those books and documents hereinafter described as being wrongfully withheld from his possession by the defendants, to-wit: Two certain books of record containing the proceedings of meetings of the Democratic Territorial committee of Utah Territory since the year 1887.

Fourth—That on the 6th day of May, A. D. 1892, at Salt Lake City, Utah Territory, this plaintiff was, as aforesaid, the agent entitled to the custody and actual and immediate possession of the following described books of record and documents of the value of fifteen dollars, to-wit: Those two certain books of record containing the proceedings of the meetings of the Democratic Territorial committee of Utah since the year 1887.

Fifth—That the said defendants on the day last aforesaid did and do now, without the consent of plaintiff, wrongfully withhold and detain said books and documents from the possession of plaintiff.

Sixth—That before the commencement of this action, to-wit, on the 6th day of May, A. D. 1892, the plaintiff demanded of the defendants the possession of said books and documents, notwithstanding which demand, and the premises, the said defendants still unlawfully and wrongfully withhold and detain said books and documents from the possession of plaintiff, to his damage.

Wherefore, the premises considered, plaintiff demands judgment against the said defendants.

First—For the recovery of the possession of said books and documents or for the sum of \$15, the value thereof, in case delivery cannot be made.

Second—For the cost of this action.

WILLIAM NEWTON,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

TERRITORY OF UTAH, } ss.
County of Salt Lake,

H. T. Duke, being duly sworn, on oath says: I am the plaintiff in the above entitled action. That I have heard read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof, that the same is true of my own knowledge except as to matters therein stated on information and belief and that as to those matters I believe it to be.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6th day of May, A. D. 1892.

P. LOCHRIE,
Justice of the Peace.

TERRITORY OF UTAH, } ss.
County of Salt Lake,

In the Justice Court before Peter Lochrie, Justice of the Peace.

H. T. Duke, Plaintiff, vs. Elias A. Smith, A. G. Norrell, Defendants. County of Salt Lake, ss:

H. T. Duke, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the plaintiff in the above entitled action; that the said

plaintiff is the owner of and lawfully entitled to the possession of the following described property, to-wit:

Two certain books of record containing the proceedings of the Democratic Territorial committee of Utah since the year 1887; that the said property is in the possession of and wrongfully detained by the defendants in said action; that the alleged cause of the detention of said property according to this affiant's best knowledge, information and belief, is the following to-wit, that the defendants claim to hold the said books and documents, as secretary and agent of an association of persons falsely claiming to be and styling themselves the Territorial Democratic Central Committee of Utah Territory, but said pretended committee, and the pretended office of defendants as secretary thereof is false and fraudulent, and are without any right or authority, or right to own, hold or possess said property or any part thereof; that the said property or any part thereof has not been taken for a tax assessment or fine, pursuant to a statute, or seized under an execution or an attachment against the property of the said plaintiff, and that the actual value of the said property is fifteen dollars (\$15).

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6th day of May, A. D. 1892.

P. LOCHRIE,
Justice of the Peace.

TERRITORY OF UTAH, } ss.
COUNTY OF SALT LAKE,

The sheriff of Salt Lake county, Territory of Utah, is hereby ordered and required to take from defendant named in the within entitled action, the within mentioned and described personal property to be found in Salt Lake county.

WILLIAM NEWTON,
Attorney for plaintiff.

Dated this 6th day of May, 1892.

TERRITORY OF UTAH, } ss.
COUNTY OF SALT LAKE,

In the justice court, before Peter Lochrie, justice of the peace.

H. T. Duke, plaintiff, vs. Elias A. Smith and A. G. Norrell, defendants.

Whereas, it is alleged by the plaintiff in the above entitled action that the defendants in said action have in their possession and unjustly detain certain personal property belonging to the said plaintiff to the said possession of which the said plaintiff is lawfully entitled, of the value of \$15.

And whereas, The said plaintiff is desirous of having the said personal property delivered to him and by endorsement in writing upon the affidavit, has required the Sheriff of Salt Lake County to take the said property from defendants.

Now, therefore, we, the undersigned residents of Salt Lake County, Utah Territory, and being householders therein, in consideration of the premises and of the delivery of said property to the said plaintiff, do hereby undertake and acknowledge to the effect that we are jointly and severally bound in the sum of thirty dollars (\$30) lawful money of the United States of America (being double the value of said property as stated in said affidavit for the prosecution of the said action) for the return of the said property to the said defendants if return thereof be adjudged, and for the payment of such sum as may from any cause be recovered against the said plaintiff.

[SEAL.]

H. T. DUKE
O. W. POWERS,
W. H. CHRISTIE.

TERRITORY OF UTAH, } ss.
County of Salt Lake,

O. W. Powers and W. H. Christie being first duly sworn on oath, says each for himself: I am one of the sureties whose name is signed and subscribed to the foregoing undertaking; that I am a resident and householder within the Terri-