DECISION OF THE SUPREME COURT.

THE DEMURRER SUSTAINED.

In the matter of the petition and affidavit of George R. Maxwell for writ of mandamus, directed to Robert T. Burton, Assessor and Register of Salt Lake County, Territory of Utah.

SUPREME COURT OF THE TERRITORY OF UTAH.

Sutherland & McBride for Relator.

Zera Snow, Zerrubbabel Snow, J. L. Rawlins, Richards & Williams, Bennett & Harkness, A. Miner,

For Respondent.

the name of the following persons, Court. this court.

tive writ, to wit: Sept. 29, 1880.

grounds therefor,

tion of the subject of this action.

of this court.

Supreme Court of this Territory, in question, and in resolving that ques- of each year at his office to enter on he is furthermore required to the Territory, when they conflict. the case of Shepperd vs the 2nd Dis- tion it becomes our duty to apply his Registry List the name of any make careful inquiry if any person The act conferring the elective of an act entitled, 'An Act in Rela- \$300. law) which are as follows:

Sec. 1,869. "The jurisdiction both | tion to issue write of mandamus. limited by law."

allowed in all cases from the final is termed a writ of review. in that court."

Third section Judicial act. the sum or value of the thing in ists.

cited, says: provides that the writ of mandamus tion.

ritorial legislation. In this theory do.

hundred dollars or upwards.

A petition was presented to this chancery, then the exclusive ori- to. Court at its present session by the ginal jurisdiction over it is in the Section 2 of the act of Feb. 22, that it can be directed to an officer relater for a writ of mandamus, to District Court; and if the case be an 1878, provides that it shall be the to compel him to do a mere ministecompel Robert T. Burton, Assessor action at law to which the sum or duty of the Assessor of each county rial act which the relator has a and strike from the list of voters of wards, then the exclusive original for taxes in each year, beginning in But it must be to compel him to do Salt Lake County, made by him, jurisdiction over it is in the District 1879, to take up the transcript of the a mere ministerial act, and this act

Blythe and Mrs. A. G. Paddock, and ing in chancery, or it is not a suit at same, and for this purpose he shall refused to do. An alternative writ was ordered thus giving to Justices' Courts con- to in the manner provided in the exist and imposed by law." at the time of filing the petition and current jurisdiction with the Dis- preceding section. three hundred dollars.

herein state facts sufficient to con- office, trust or station." Under this as therein prescribed. in that case cites sections 1,907, 1,866 exclusive original jurisdiction over voters for registration purposes.

chancery powers of Sec. 1,907. "The judicial power court, but it is of that Clerk of the County Court.

viz., mandamus and certiorari,

proceedings had by some inferior tri- from, and by whom it shall be done. would work an injury.

poses prescribed by the statute.

having a controlling power similar in the particular cases provided by written a notice which shall desig prayed."

may be issued by any Court of this his case is not of the kind here Dated at-A, D. 18-. Territory, except a Justice of the spoken of. The officer against whom Peace, is in conflict with the acts of this writ is directed has performed Congress above referred to, it is his duty. We are not called upon to upon the theory that the Acts of are asked to compel him to undo an Precinct, best calculated to give opinion on file.

we now concur, and if there is any The validity of the law which im- posted, that the senior Justices of opinion.

the effect of making inoperative and to enter the names of the persons objections to the right to vote, of any void, Section 445 of the Practice named in the register, cannot be person registered, until sunset of the Act, then of course the Act is brought into question in a proceed- fifth day preceding the day of elecvoid. So far as it confers upon this ing of this kind. We find that tion. Said objections shall be made court power to issue mandamus ex- there is a law on our statute books by a qualified voter in writing and cept in the exercise of its appellate in reference to registration, com- delivered to the said Justice who jurisdiction. It is insisted that the pelling the respondent to do what we shall issue a written notice to the George R. Maxwell, third section of the Act in relation are now asked to compel him to un- person objected to, stating the to courts and judicial officers in the do. We cannot, for the purposes of place, day and hour when Territory of Utah as above quoted, this proceeding inquire into the objection will be heard. The Robert T. Burton, resolves that question. To a certain its validity. Having satis- person making the objection shall extent said third section has the ef- fied ourselves that the duty re- serve, or cause to be served, said nofect of limiting the jurisdiction of quired by the statute to be perform- tice upon the person objected to, this court, but only to that certain ed, has been performed, nothing is and shall also make returns of such extent. It confers upon the District left for us to do. The office of the service to the Justice before whom Court, exclusive, original jurisdic- writ is not to require the respondent the objection shall be heard. Upon tion in all suits or proceedings in to do something not within the the hearing of the case, if said Juschancery, and in all actions at law scope of his official authority. Be- tice shall find that the person obin which the sum or value of the | youd that he cannot go, and this | jected to is not a qualified voter, he thing in controversy shall be three court could not compel him to ex- shall, within three days prior to the ceed the functions of his office. In election, transmit a certified list of To determine therefore whether this case it was the duty of the res- the names of all such unqualified the Congressional enactment des- pondent to enter the names upon the persons, to the Judges of Election, troys the Legislative enactment, we register, and having so entered them and said Judges shall strike such must look into the character of the he could not afterwards legally erase names from the Registry List beproceeding wherein the rule is them, and if he could not, then this fore the opening of the polls.

Judges of Election.

Congressional enactment which has posed the duty upon the respondent the Peace for said Precinct will hear The demurrer is sustained.

powers of this court, it is the law next preceding Registration List must be one which by law he is reviz.: Emeline B. Wells, Maria M. But if it is not a suit or proceed- and proceed to the revision of the quired to perform and which he has

said list, or that he show cause dred dollars or upwards, then the if any person, whose name is on his 4. Wall 475 has given a clear defi-Also that in the meantime the said sive original jurisdiction. The Po- as a voter of such precinct, and if so, formance of which may, in proper done if the writ were now denied. officer be ordered not to return said land Bill confers upon Justices of to erase the same therefrom, or cases, be required, is one in which Upon the merits of the case I can-

DISSENTING OPINION.

In the Swpreme Court of Utah Territory, June Term, 1880, adjourned to 25th September, 1880.

Plaintiff, Defendant.

AN APPLICATION FOR MANDAMUS.

Boreman, Justice, delivered the following opinion:

An an original question, I have uniformly been of the opinion that the Supreme Court had no jurisdiction in such cases as this, except in aid of its appellate powers. I have considered that the Supreme Court had no distinctively original jurisdiction, except in cases of habeas corpus. The issuing of the mandamus sought to be applied. Clearly if the Court cannot through the agency of Granting that the issuance of this as prayed is an exercise of original case is a suit or proceeding in the writ of mandamus compel him writ is within the jurisdictional jurisdiction. In the late case of Emmeline Young and others vs. George Q. Cannon et al., this Court, after exhaustive argument, declared that it had jurisdiction to issue the writ and Register of voters for Salt Lake value of the thing in controversy in person, or by deputy, at the time right to have done by him, and as to of certiorari, which is an original County, Utah Territory, to erase shall be three hundred dollars or np- of making the annual assessment which the officer has no discretion. Writ of the same class as that of mandamus, and coming to us from the same source, the King's Bench. I assumed that that decision was to settle the practice, and that in this class of cases, to which both certioalso the names of all women whose law wherein the sum or value of the visit every dwelling house in each Chief Justice Taney in the case of rari and mandamus belong, the Sunames thereon appear on the afore- thing in controversy is three hun- precinct, and make careful inquiry The State of Mississippi vs. Johnson preme Court would take jurisdiction. I think it our duty now to stand by before this court on the 29th day of District Court does not by virtue of list, has died or removed from the nition of a ministerial duty. He that decision and not again unsettle September, why he has not done so. the third section obtain the exclu- precinct, or is otherwise disqualified says: "A ministerial duty, the per- the practice, as I deem would be

list or any copy thereof to any elec- Peace jurisdiction in all cases where whether any qualified voter resides nothing is left to discretion. It is a not agree with a majority of the tion officer until the further order of the debt or sum claimed shall be therein, whose name is not on his simple, definite duty, arising under Court. I deem this to be a proper less than three hundred dollars, list, and if so to add the same there- circumstances admitted or proved to case in which to issue the writ. The Legislature had no authority to al-Are the duties required of the low anybody to vote who were not the cause came up for hearing on trict Courts in such cases where the The preceding section imposes up- Assessor in relation to registering citizens or who had not declared the day mentioned in the alterna- amount in controversy is less than on the Registration Officers the duty persons and preparing the Registra- their intentions to become such. It of visiting every dwelling house in tiou Lists, mere ministerial duties? has never enacted that parties who The respondent on the day fixed | Sec. 445 of the practice act pro- each precinct and of making careful If they are, and he has refused or had declared their intentions to befor the hearing appeared by counsel vides that the writ of mandamus inquiry as to any or all persons en- neglected to perform them, he un- come citizens might vote. Therefore who interposed a demurrer to the "may be issued by any court in this entitled to vote, and to ascertain up- doubtedly could be compelled by the registering officer is not authorpetition and writ, assigning as Territory, except a justice's to any on what ground such person claims mandamus to perform them. Fol- ized to allow anybody to vote who inferior tribunal, corporation, board to be a voter, and shall require each lowing the definition given to "a are not citizens. The statute grant-1. That this court has no jurisdic- or person to compel the performance person entitled to vote, and desiring ministerial duty" as above quoted, ing suffrage to women allows them of an act which the law specially to be registered, to take and sub- these acts do not fall within it. To to vote without being citizens if they 2. Neither the petition nor writ enjoins as a duty resulting from an scribe an oath, in form or substance be ministerial, nothing is to be left are "the wife, widow or the daughto the discretion of the Assessor. In ter of a native-born or naturalized stitute a cause of action, thus raising provision the relator has applied to Section 3 provides that it shall be the case at bar, one of the duties im- citizen." Such a provision is utterly two questions for the determination this court for the writ, and the de- the duty of each Assessor in person posed upon the Assessor is to ascer- void, in my opinion, and it is the murrer interposed to his complaint or by deputy, during the week com- tain upon what grounds any and duty of the registering officer to obey It has been heretofore held by the and affidavit raises the jurisdictional mencing the first Monday in June all persons claim to be voters, and the law of Congress and not that of

strict Court, that this court has no ori- the rule of construction heretofore voter that may have been omitted, whose name is on the list has died franchise upon women is unjust, as ginal jurisdiction to issue mandamus referred to. The case is not a suit on such voter appearing and com- or removed from the precinct, or is granting the franchise to women except to enable it to exercise its ap- or proceeding in chancery, and plying with the provision of the otherwise disqualified as a voter. upon easier terms than to males. pellate jurisdiction. And the Court has not first section of this act required for These are all duties which require Men are required to be tax-payers investigation, research and opinion, by the statute, but not so with woand 1,869 of the Revised Statutes of it, nor is the amount involved in it By Section four he is required, on discretion and consideration. He men; the men are all required to be the United States, and the 3d section \$300 or upwards, nor is it less than the completion of this list, to make must form a judgment and act upon residents, but not so the women, if out a list, in alphabetical order, for that judgment and it is incumbent they be the "wife, widow, or daughtion to Courts and judicial officers | It has no monied value whatever, each Precinct, and to deliver the upon him to exercise discretion ter;" and all men who ask to vote in the Territory of Utah, (Poland and has no element calling for the same on or before July first in each in arriving at that judg- must be citizens, or they will be rejecthe year, with all the affidavits, to the ment. He has the discretion ted, but not so with all women. This upon the judgment formed by him | matter of citizenship is important, in Utah shall be vested in a Su- class of cases in which the practice Having performed the duties thus from the inquiries he is required to when we consider that the bulk of preme Court, District Court, Probate act confers upon this Court, under prescribed, the functions of the As- make, to erase from the Registration the population of this Territory is Court and the Justice of the Peace." the broad term any court, jurisdic- sessor cease, and he has no further List of the preceding year any of foreign birth, or children born in duty to perform in regard to that name that may be thereon. All these this Territory of foreign parents. appellate and original of the courts To my mind there is a wide differ- Registration List, until the begin- acts are not therefore mere ministe- The statute granting the elective provided for by section 1,907 shall be ence in the office of the two writs, ning of the year 1880, and having for rial duties, but are duties as to which franchise to women destroys the that year pursued the same course, the officer has a discretion, and are uniformity and impartiality which Sec. 1,830. "Writs of error, bills The former is termed in our stat- he has no further duty to perform therefore not such duties which he should exist in regard to the qualifiof exceptions and appeals shall be ute a writ of mandate and the latter with the Registration List for 1880, can be compelled to do through the cations of voters, and the act which until the beginning of the year 1881, agency of the writ of mandamus. will do this is unjust and ought not decisions of the District Court to the Clearly in the one case looking to and the List for 1880 is the one from It was insisted in argument by to be upheld. I do not think that it Supreme Court of all the Territories, the enforcement of some act or duty which it is now sought to have the counsel for the relator, that the will do to say that the requirement respectively, under such regulations refused to be done by an officer in erasure made. This same act of court has the power by mandamus as to male voters, which is not found as may be provided by law, but in the execution of a trust which by Feb'y 22, 1878, provides how the to compel the respondent to perform amongst the requirements of the feno case removed to the Supreme law he is required to do or perform. name of any person in said Regis- an act not within the scope of his male voters, will be nugatory. We Court shall trial by jury be allowed In the other looking to certain tration List may be stricken there- authority, if his refusal to do the act have no right to conclude that this is so. The Legislature has expressbunal, wherein there is alleged error "Sec. 7. The Clerk of the County This court cannot impose a duty ed itself to the contrary. It first "The District Court shall have or other informality in the proceed- Court, shall file, and carefully pre- on an officer which is not within the passed the statute allowing males exclusive original jurisdiction in all ing which the superior court issues serve all said affidavits and Registry power imposed on him by law. A to vote, requiring them to be citisuits and proceedings in chancery, the writ, desires to review, to ascer- Lists and shall make a copy of each, mandamus will not be granted to zens, etc. It afterwards passed the and in all actions at law in which tain if or not error or informality ex- Precinct Registry List, and cause command any person to exercise a statute granting the elective franthe same to be posted up at least 15 jurisdiction which that person is not chise to women, and subsequently it controversy shall be \$300 or upwards. In the case of the writ of certio- days before any election, at or near most clearly and certainly appoint- enacts the registration law wherein The Supreme Court in passing rari, I have no doubt of the power the place of election, and shall make ed to and bound by law to exercise; if retains all the qualifications origiupon these laws, in the decision of this court to issue it for the pur- and transmit another copy to the for the court will not grant such hally required as to male voters. It writ except it clearly see that there certainly, therefore, had no intention "Regarding the acts of Congress In the case of the writ of man- | Sec. 8.—The Clerk of the County is a power lodged in the person of repealing any part thereof. The as the Supreme law of the Territory damus, I hold it can only be issued Court, shall cause to be printed or against whom the mandamus is two laws in regard to suffrage show great unfairness and lack of unito, if not co-extensive with the con- the statute, and that the statute lim- nate the offices to be filled, and In announcing this opinion on the formity between the requirements stitution of any particular State over its the power to issue it to such stating that the election will com- question of the right of this Court to of male voters and those of female their respective Legislatures and cases wherein it is sought to compel mence at --- (designating the issue the writ, His Honor Judge voters, but as the Legislature so injudicial departments, we are forced the performance of any act which place for holding the polls,) one hour Boreman concurs with Judge Hun- tended, what authority have we to to the conclusion that, in so far as the law specially enjoins as a duty after sunrise, and continue until ter, though upon other grounds, as say that the one repeals the other. section 445 of our Practice act, which resulting from an office, trust or sta- sunset on the --- day of --- , would appear from his opinion on This certainly does not exist by im-18-. (Naming the day of election.) file. Judge Emerson does not agree plication as they are statutes regardwith the majority of the Court in | ing different classes. The two laws this right, and hence dissents as to are not inconsistent further than Clerk of County Court. that branch of the opinion. Judge that one is unconstitutional, unjust A copy of which shall be posted Boreman dissents from the majority and unfair to the body of voters wholly inoperative and void. command him to do any duty he has up, at least 15 days before the elector of the Court in its opinion refusing mentioned in the first, and The decision of the Court is based failed or refused to perform, but we tion, in three public places in said the writ, for the reasons stated in his being so should not be upheld, If the Legislature had Congress in reference to the courts act which the law compelled him to notice to all voters. It shall also be Judges Hunter and Emerson con- power to make one set of qualificareferred to, are paramount toall ter- do and he has done. This we cannot the duty of the County cur in refusing the writ on the tions for one class of voters and Court to give notice on the lists so grounds stated in the majority another set for another class of voters, then the two laws can stand, but if the Legislature has not such pow-