

means save that of honestly earning it. We are truly glad that Mr. Ingalls has noticed the "growing sentiment," as he expresses it, "in favor of paternalism in this government." We wish that more of the statesmen of the period, who believe as he does, had likewise the courage of their convictions, and the genius to so express them.

"LIBERALISM" WITH A VENGEANCE

WE understand that a movement is on foot to oust Justice Laney who now occupies the bench of the Police Court in this city. His alleged offence is the statement which he made on Monday, as reported in the DESERET EVENING NEWS, that Parley's canyon conduct laborers, some of whom had appeared in his court as criminals, were being voted in the First Precinct. The actual crime of which he is guilty is secession from the "Liberal" faction to join the Democratic party.

We understand that Judge Laney does not attempt to deny the statement about the laborers voting or to apologize for it, being entirely satisfied of its truth. It is to be hoped that he will be able to substantiate his assertion, which will be believed by a great many people who know the kind of means which the "Liberals" have employed on former election occasions.

But why did not Judge Laney obey the demand of Boss Powers, that "Liberals" who had gone over to the Republican or Democratic parties immediately resign? Or failing to do that, why did he not crawl on his abdomen as he was expected to do, and so respond to the piteous cry of the "Liberal" organ to "come back."

If Mr. Laney has been guilty of any official wrong-doing, let his conduct be investigated and justice be done. If not, we hope he will stand firm by his post, and not be induced to give way an inch before such "Liberal" influences as are being brought to bear against him.

Whatever faults this public officer may have should be investigated on their merits. An attempt to force him out or drag him down because he will not submit to the "Liberal" boss or worship the "Liberal" fetish, should be resisted to the uttermost by every lover of liberty and the rights of man.

"MORMON" PROMISES.

WILL some of the papers that are throwing doubt on the "Mormon" professions and "Mormon" promises be kind enough to tell us on what those doubts are founded? When did the "Mormon" Church or the "Mor-

mon" people ever make an agreement that they failed to fulfil? We are aware that these doubts are merely echoes of queries raised by the "Liberal" organ in this city to effect its diabolical purpose. And they would not be worth noticing if they were not copied elsewhere.

That paper says concerning the "Mormon" people "any promise can be obtained if necessary, any profession necessary can be counted on." Well, Judge Zane, who was lauded to the skies by the same organ when he was most severe in sentencing "Mormons" who would not make any promise at all, says he has seen hundreds of them go to the penitentiary rather than make a promise they did not intend to keep, and this makes him believe in their sincerity now.

We think this is pretty good evidence. What is there against it? Nothing but the mere word of writers who make a business of lying about the "Mormons" every day of their lives.

We might draw a contrast on this question which would not be agreeable to our defamers. It is not long ago that the "Mormons" made an agreement to put four "Gentile" names on the city ticket and vote for them with others, if the "Gentiles" would, like them, vote for the whole ticket thus made up. The record shows that the "Mormons" kept their agreement. Did the "Liberals" keep theirs? Let the figures of the election answer. The proofs are that if anybody is to be accused of making promises in word and breaking them in deed, it is not the "Mormons."

Search their whole history and you will find that in every emergency they have kept their contracts to the very letter, while in many instances their enemies have failed to fulfil their part.

If the "Mormons" are guilty of what is charged, give us at least a scrap of evidence to prove it, or for shame's sake, close your mouths.

"LIBERALISM" AND RELIGION.

AMONG the resolutions adopted unanimously, by a rising vote, three cheers and a tiger, at the "Liberal" convention July 14th, was this:

"All revelations, judging from the lessons of history, come from within and not from without."

Among the delegates who took active part in this convention was Rev. Dr. Iliff, who has been quite energetic in his support of "Liberalism" in opposition to Republican and Democratic

organization in Utah. This gentleman is the leading Elder of the Methodist church in Utah and is much opposed to "Mormon" influence in politics. He thinks the Church and the State should be entirely separate; at least so he says. But what he objects to in a "Mormon" Elder he appears to think quite proper for a Methodist Elder.

But that is a small matter compared with others that are brought to the front. Yet we draw attention to the fact that "Liberalism" has once more demonstrated that it is an assault upon a religion, aye more, it is an attack upon Religion, for all religions are founded upon the idea that "revelations have come from without," that is from the Supreme Being to mortals. If the Methodist creed is not built upon that foundation, then its whole history is a living lie.

Methodism teaches that the Bible is the word of God; that God appeared and revealed Himself to Abraham; that He showed Himself to Moses and gave to him His law, including the ten commandments written on tables of stone by the finger of God; that He spake in times past by the prophets and also by His Son Jesus Christ, as well as by angels sent from the courts of glory. These divine revelations were not "from within" but "from without." If they are not true, the Bible is a collection of fables, a mass of deception and fraud like the "Liberalism" that Dr. Iliff has so lovingly espoused.

Christianity, in whatever form it appears today, was originally started on the doctrine of "revelation from without." The doctrine was not one of mere internal impressions evolved from the mind of man, but of visitations from divine and angelic beings, and inspirations that come from the Holy Ghost sent down from heaven.

So with all the great religions of the world. Manifestations and communications from the higher spheres to the lower, not spontaneous human imaginings and conceits were the sources from which they emanated.

The lessons of history teach the very reverse of the "Liberal" resolution. The Bible and all the sacred books of the ages and the nations are against it. The Methodist creed is emphatically at war with it. Dr. Iliff is in strange fellowship, endorsing as thoroughly infidel a resolution as was ever adopted by a convention of atheists.

Judge Zane in his address at the Republican convention said:

"The People's party and the Liberal party have been organized with respect to religion. It is a bad thing to have political parties in any country organized with respect to religious faith and worship."