

## LIKE A BABY FARM.

Mr. Fenstermaker Tolls of the Children She Has Care For.

### CAROLINE'S RUNNING AWAY BABY!

The Allegations of Cruelty Are Heated By the Fenstermakers—Truimph in Rebuttal Today.

The defense, in the Fenstermaker trial, has since had yesterday afternoon, and this morning, when the hearing was resumed, testimony was called to rebut.

The trial of the Fenstermakers' trial was in evidence when the news reports came yesterday afternoon.

As soon as Mr. W. H. Worthington, of Granville, had come who had ex-Sheriff Andrew J. Bell, was called. He said that the reputation of the Fenstermakers was bad. His opinion was based on conversations with residents of Granville, and he said that he knew nothing about the wife.

Police Officer Burroughs also stated that the reputation of the Fenstermakers was bad, and did not know the reputation of the plaintiff, but that of Mrs. Fenstermaker particularly, he said, was certainly bad.

John Rydahl, of Granville, testified that he had general knowledge of the Fenstermakers, and that he knew nothing against them.

Deputy Marshal Cannon also said that the Fenstermaker family was bad and disgraceful, and that there was no place for them in society or a place for starting anew.

John Hansen, of the town, stated that John Rydahl was in Toledo shortly after 1880, and knew the reputation of the Fenstermakers in fact. He said he heard that the reputation of the Fenstermakers was bad.

John C. Delmonte said the Fenstermaker reputation was bad. The same testimony was given by W. H. Gilstrap, John B. Gorham, and Charles Parkinson.

William C. Bernards testified that the reputation of a part of the family was bad, and that when the members of the family were bad, it was represented as not good.

Fred Glogner said the Fenstermakers were regarded as good and were well-qualified. He said that his son, John, had been appointed to the position of manager in a child hotel, Hotel Cleveland.

Counsel for the defense offered the defense at the Justice of the Peace for Cleveland's pleasure to show that the plaintiff had been compelled to walk to jail last Friday. His testimony was expected to be related to corroborate subsequent to the publication of the article mentioned in the previous issue.

The defense then rested and now was adjourned until today.

Mr. Caroline Fenstermaker first went upon the stand this morning. In answer to Attorney John M. Zimmerman that she received a letter from her son, Charles, in which he advised her of the fact that he had been compelled to walk to jail last Friday, she said that she had been compelled to walk to jail last Friday.

Charles, a 19-year-old girl, was earlier in testify that she had been with the Fenstermakers in 1880, that she had been compelled to walk to jail last Friday. Her testimony was expected to be related to corroborate subsequent to the publication of the article mentioned in the previous issue.

The defense then rested and now was adjourned until today.

Mr. Caroline Fenstermaker first went upon the stand this morning. In answer to Attorney John M. Zimmerman that she received a letter from her son, Charles, in which he advised her of the fact that he had been compelled to walk to jail last Friday, she said that she had been compelled to walk to jail last Friday.

Charles, a 19-year-old girl, was earlier in testify that she had been with the Fenstermakers in 1880, that she had been compelled to walk to jail last Friday. Her testimony was expected to be related to corroborate subsequent to the publication of the article mentioned in the previous issue.

In cross-examination by Judge Powers, she stated that she had been married four times. She had two children (1), who were still alive, and two others who had died. She was married to George C. Fenstermaker, and he died in 1880.

When she first left the name of Charles, she said, was "Alice" and when she first met him she was "Alice" and when she first met him she was "Alice".

"Who was her father?" asked the witness. "I am not sure of the name of her father," she said.

"She lived just adjoining his with the name of Charles," she said.

"Who was her father?" asked the witness. "I am not sure of the name of her father," she said.

"Did he tell you that she was illegitimate child?" asked the witness.

"He told me that she was an illegitimate child," said Judge Powers with emphasis.

Witness (after a pause)—"No; he told me that my husband and my husband told me."

"About how many times before the April records did this child run away from home?"

"I could not tell the time, but she ran away a good many. I never noted the months, mind."

"How do you suppose this particular reason was why?"

"Because I had to tend the fire and do a great deal of housework. The wife's reputation has nothing to do with it," she said.

The witness was very questioned as to other times on which Caroline was away, but she was unable to remember them.

Thereupon, Mrs. Chase, witness for the defense, asked her if she was the wife of the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I am the wife of the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family."

The witness was asked if she had ever seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Charles Fenstermaker, husband of the last witness, was then called and he said that he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

Mary Eustis of Cleveland, who had been in the vicinity of the Fenstermakers' home, was asked if she had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Charles Fenstermaker was then asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

Mr. St. George, father of the last witness, was asked if he had seen the plaintiff's son, and, unless at the cabin, with the rest of the family.

"Yes, I have seen him several times, but I have never seen any of the family toward her by the Fenstermakers."

### TWENTY-FOUR TO NONE.

Tele Scores a Complete Victory Over Princeton.

Result of the Football Game Today Between the Two Schools.

**MATTHEW BLACK.** Dec. 1.—A full house of the Yale team appeared on the field with Illinois waiting and ready to begin the ball. Yale's supporters grew gaudy.

At 1 p.m.—The Princeton team came on the field, Captain Thornehill acting. They passed twice on the ball, giving Illinois a lead, and then Illinois' players began to score.

At 2 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 3 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 4 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 5 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 6 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 7 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 8 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 9 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 10 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 11 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 12 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 1 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 2 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 3 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 4 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 5 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 6 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 7 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 8 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 9 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 10 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 11 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 12 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 1 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 2 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 3 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 4 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 5 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 6 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

At 7 p.m.—The Illinois team again took the ball, and Illinois' players began to score.

**TRANSMITTERS.** As L. Clark, No. 1, H. Hartman, 187; P. Pease, 188; James H. Smith, 189; W. H. Smith, 190; L. T. Miller, 191; W. H. Phillips, 192; James H. Cook, 193; C. C. Conover, 194; C. M. Clegg, 195; C. C. Conover, 196; C. M. Clegg, 197; C. C. Conover, 198; C. M. Clegg, 199; C. C. Conover, 200; C. M. Clegg, 201; C. C. Conover, 202; C. M. Clegg, 203; C. C. Conover, 204; C. M. Clegg, 205; C. C. Conover, 206; C. M. Clegg, 207; C. C. Conover, 208; C. M. Clegg, 209; C. C. Conover, 210; C. M. Clegg, 211; C. C. Conover, 212; C. M. Clegg, 213; C. C. Conover, 214; C. M. Clegg, 215; C. C. Conover, 216; C. M. Clegg, 217; C. C. Conover, 218; C. M. Clegg, 219; C. C. Conover, 220; C. M. Clegg, 221; C. C. Conover, 222; C. M. Clegg, 223; C. C. Conover, 224; C. M. Clegg, 225; C. C. Conover, 226; C. M. Clegg, 227; C. C. Conover, 228; C. M. Clegg, 229; C. C. Conover, 230; C. M. Clegg, 231; C. C. Conover, 232; C. M. Clegg, 233; C. C. Conover, 234; C. M. Clegg, 235; C. C. Conover, 236; C. M. Clegg, 237; C. C. Conover, 238; C. M. Clegg, 239; C. C. Conover, 240; C. M. Clegg, 241; C. C. Conover, 242; C. M. Clegg, 243; C. C. Conover, 244; C. M. Clegg, 245; C. C. Conover, 246; C. M. Clegg, 247; C. C. Conover, 248; C. M. Clegg, 249; C. C. Conover, 250; C. M. Clegg, 251; C. C. Conover, 252; C. M. Clegg, 253; C. C. Conover, 254; C. M. Clegg, 255; C. C. Conover, 256; C. M. Clegg, 257; C. C. Conover, 258; C. M. Clegg, 259; C. C. Conover, 260; C. M. Clegg, 261; C. C. Conover, 262; C. M. Clegg, 263; C. C. Conover, 264; C. M. Clegg, 265; C. C. Conover, 266; C. M. Clegg, 267; C. C. Conover, 268; C. M. Clegg, 269; C. C. Conover, 270; C. M. Clegg, 271; C. C. Conover, 272; C. M. Clegg, 273; C. C. Conover, 274; C. M. Clegg, 275; C. C. Conover, 276; C. M. Clegg, 277; C. C. Conover, 278; C. M. Clegg, 279; C. C. Conover, 280; C. M. Clegg, 281; C. C. Conover, 282; C. M. Clegg, 283; C. C. Conover, 284; C. M. Clegg, 285; C. C. Conover, 286; C. M. Clegg, 287; C. C. Conover, 288; C. M. Clegg, 289; C. C. Conover, 290; C. M. Clegg, 291; C. C. Conover, 292; C. M. Clegg, 293; C. C. Conover, 294; C. M. Clegg, 295; C. C. Conover, 296; C. M. Clegg, 297; C. C. Conover, 298; C. M. Clegg, 299; C. C. Conover, 300; C. M. Clegg, 301; C. C. Conover, 302; C. M. Clegg, 303; C. C. Conover, 304; C. M. Clegg, 305; C. C. Conover, 306; C. M. Clegg, 307; C. C. Conover, 308; C. M. Clegg, 309; C. C. Conover, 310; C. M. Clegg, 311; C. C. Conover, 312; C. M. Clegg, 313; C. C. Conover, 314; C. M. Clegg, 315; C. C. Conover, 316; C. M. Clegg, 317; C. C. Conover, 318; C. M. Clegg, 319; C. C. Conover, 320; C. M. Clegg, 321; C. C. Conover, 322; C. M. Clegg, 323; C. C. Conover, 324; C. M. Clegg, 325; C. C. Conover, 326; C. M. Clegg, 327; C. C. Conover, 328; C. M. Clegg, 329; C. C. Conover, 3