

# LUBRICATING OIL FOR RAILROADS

Bought from Standard at Prices Higher Than Those Offered By Competitors.

## SUCH IS TESTIMONY ADDUCED,

Manager Harrison of N. Y. Co. Found It Impossible to Get His Contracts Renewed.

New York, Oct. 7.—Testimony that railroads purchased their lubricating oils from the Standard Oil company at prices that were higher than those of its competitors was heard today in the federal suit for the dissolution of the so-called oil trust. Philip Harrison, manager of the New York Lubricating Oil company, which has engaged in a long strife with the Standard Oil company in the lubricating oil industry, was on the witness stand all day and testified that though the products of his company had proven satisfactory to the railroads and were sold at prices under the Standard's figures, the railroads declined to renew their contracts with the New York Lubricating Oil company. Mr. Harrison said he was never openly told by the railroad officials why the contracts were not entered into again, but he had a well-defined idea of the cause.

Mr. Harrison said that the Vincent Oil company, previous to 1894, manufactured lubricating oil, but that it made no agreement with the Standard whereby it turned all of its lubricating business over to the Galena Signal Oil company, a subsidiary of the Standard. The witness said that his company made a contract with the Louisville & Nashville railroad in 1902 to supply the road with lubricating oil. On the expiration of that contract, Mr. Vincent of the Louisville & Nashville refused to renew it, but would give no reason. Mr. Kellogg then placed in evidence the statement of the Galena Signal Oil company, showing that the Louisville & Nashville at the expiration of the contract with the New York Lubricating company made a contract with the Galena at a higher rate than that paid the New York company.

Mr. Harrison said President Smith had stated in a letter that the Lubricating Oil company's products were entirely satisfactory. He added that the Galena agents went to President Smith and told him that the Standard was shipping a large amount of oil on the Louisville & Nashville, and that the road should give the contract to the Galena.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

Mr. Harrison testified that the New York Lubricating company had contracts with the Central of Georgia railroad and the Georgia railroad, and that the Galena obtained contracts with the two railroads at prices which he said he understood were higher than the prices of New York Lubricating Oil company.

"President Smith told the Galena agents that he was not paying rebates in that way," said Mr. Harrison.

## Gives a Recipe To Cure Catarrh

Eminent Authority Gives Advice How to Prevent and Relieve All Catarrh.

### SIMPLE HOME MADE REMEDY.

Make Some Up Yourself and Try It. It Doesn't Cost Much and is Said To Do the Work Promptly.

The coming months will be a harvest for the doctors and patent medicine manufacturers unless great care is taken to let the rest dry, and dress warmly. It is the advice of a well-known authority, and should be heeded by all who are subject to rheumatism, kidney and bladder troubles and especially catarrh. While the latter is considered by most sufferers an incurable disease, there are few men or women who will fail to experience great relief if they will follow this simple home prescription, and if taken in time it will prevent an attack of catarrh during the entire season.

Hers is the prescription which any one can mix: Fluid Extract Dandelion one-half ounce, Compound Camphor one-half ounce, Compound Syrup Camphoratum three drams. Shake well in a bottle and use in teaspoonful doses after each meal and again at bedtime.

These are mostly vegetable ingredients and can be obtained from any good presentery or small confectioner.

The Compound Camphor in this prescription acts directly upon the eliminative tissues of the kidneys to make them filter and strain from the blood, the poisons that produce all forms of catarrhal affections. Relief is often felt even after the first few doses and it is seldom that the sufferer ever experiences an return attack within the year.

This prescription makes a splendid remedy for all forms of blood disorders and such symptoms as lame back, bladder weaknesses and rheumatism pains are entirely dispelled.

As this valuable, though simple recipe comes from a thoroughly reliable source, it should be heeded by every astute reader.

It was learned that Madine was married recently and is now in Belfast with his wife. It is said that the strike leaders have been given the permission to go back of their own accord.

Officials of both the Western Union and Postal Telegraph companies stated today that any proposition looking to reinstatement of all the striking operators would not be considered.

In the petition presented to the court, Mr. Hartje recites that since the hearing of the case he has secured letters written by Thomas Madine, the coachman, while Madine was in Ireland, to Mrs. Hartje, while she was at the Hotel Victoria in London, and others to Madine, and that she received letters from the coachman asking him to pay her the amount of money to him through the couse.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

He relates that the receipt of the letters by Madine was established through Madine's mother at Downpatrick, Ireland, and by the testimony of the coachman's sister. The petitioner sets forth that the letters were in the possession of Madine's mother until this year, when he wrote for them, and that on Aug. 10 they were delivered by Madine to a representative of Mr. Hartje in Toronto, Canada.

## MAY REOPEN HARTJE CASE

Complainant Claims to Have Found Package of Letters by Wife's Sisters to Madine.

### CONCERN DIVORCE ACTION.

Defendant Refuses to Discuss Question — Further Sensations Are Looked For Soon.

Pittsburg, Oct. 7.—Interest in the sensational Hartje divorce case was revived today by the announcement that a petition had been filed by the complainant, Augustus Hartje, with the justices of the superior court sitting in Philadelphia, asking that the case be reopened, in order that additional evidence alleged to be damaging to the defendant, Mary Scott Hartje, could be heard.

The millionaire paper manufacturer, one-half owner of the Pittsburgh, San Francisco, and Golden Gate tea companies, is alleged to have been writing to his wife, Madine, to induce her to leave him.

Mr. Hartje, the coachman, has been accused of having violated the Interstate Commerce laws by carrying consignments of matting and mats at lower rates than those mentioned