

TELEGRAPHIC. A COLONEL KILLED. Shot Dead by a Chattanooga Bank President.

THE SPOUSE OF A DIVORCEE SUITS.

ELIMINATE of the Trap.—A Spouse Wounded by a Stray Bullet.

CHATTANOOGA, Tenn., Feb. 5.—Colonel N. H. Stevenson, president of the Citizens' Bank & Trust company of this city, this afternoon shot and killed Colonel J. B. Wert, while reading a copy of the highest interest in every paper in this city, Lawrence County, a young lawyer, who was a speaker, was struck in the arm by a bullet, and severely, and painfully wounded.

After the tragedy Stevens easily removed his law office at Princeton, and a little while ago suggested as well as then telephoned to the police.

The shooting took place in the parlor where Stevens is the second of the strongest claimants against his wife, who was fled last spring, charging Wert with being unfaithful with Mrs. Stevens. The case was pronounced and taken out of the court.

Colonel Wert was demanded that an investigation be made by the Congregational church, of which he was steward and treasurer. The churchmen said Wert guilty and publicly condemned him.

Stevens then made by

order for the first time since last May Wert entered the steamer at the rear door of the building. Not a word passed between the two men, Stevens having left the room several times last night and early this morning.

The second entered Wert's study, killing him instantly.

Colonel Wert leaves a wife, two young sons and a grown daughter, but also a very beautiful young lady and a great beauty in society. The unanimous effort has created the most brilliant matrimonial known in Chattanooga.

The Alaskan Boundary Line.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 5.—The President sent to the Senate the treaty negotiated with the representatives of the government of Great Britain for an adjustment of the boundary line between Alaska and the British possessions. Originally a tract, providing for a joint commission to settle the dispute, was negotiated and called out during the summer of 1881 and was approved by both governments. In accordance with the terms of the treaty the commissioners were to make the survey to the northern boundary part of the boundary line between Alaska and the British possessions. The survey was completed in the Northwest Territory, from latitude 45 degrees 49 minutes north, to a point where the boundary line enters the 61st degree of longitude west.

The purpose of the survey was to determine the ownership of disputed grounds in the ownership of Alaska by Britain, and involving conflicting claims made by the two countries by and along the sea coast opposite the country.

Under the treaty the commissioners were to complete the work within a period of two years, which would expire this fall. It has been found impossible to accomplish this and the present treaty extends the time for another year.

Confidential for the Senate.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 5.—The Senate has confirmed James J. Terry, receiver of public moneys at Seattle, Washington; William C. Wilson, special attorney, Washington, and attorney; Charles Jones, district attorney.

President Appointments.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 5.—The President sent the following nominations to the Senate:

Commissioner—Frank R. Hastings, Milwaukee, Wis.; Almon W. Winslow, Oregon, Wash.

Legislature of Land offices—John D. Bryant, at Los Angeles, N. M. Bates, at Salt Lake City, Utah; George H. Davis, of Justice in San Francisco, Calif.

Secretary of State—James H. Moore, at Los Angeles, N. M.; Vincent Basford, at Los Angeles, Calif.

Postmaster General.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 5.—The Senate has confirmed James J. Terry, receiver of public moneys at Seattle, Washington;

William C. Wilson, special attorney,

Charles Jones, district attorney.

President Appointments.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 5.—The President sent the following nominations to the Senate:

Commissioner—Frank R. Hastings, Milwaukee, Wis.; Almon W. Winslow, Oregon, Wash.

Legislature of Land offices—John D. Bryant, at Los Angeles, N. M. Bates, at Salt Lake City, Utah; George H. Davis, of Justice in San Francisco, Calif.

Secretary of State—James H. Moore, at Los Angeles, N. M.; Vincent Basford, at Los Angeles, Calif.

Postmaster General.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 5.—A conference of the representative and legislative delegations from the states has been convened this afternoon to take a stand on the proposed bill to take up the 1870-72 migration bill to nation up to date. Efforts will be made to secure a postponement in view of the fact that the House of Representatives, with the exception of the three leading opponents of the

bill, have withdrawn.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 5.—A conference of the representative and legislative delegations from the states has been convened this afternoon to take a stand on the proposed bill to take up the 1870-72 migration bill to nation up to date. Efforts will be made to secure a postponement in view of the fact that the House of Representatives, with the exception of the three leading opponents of the

bill, have withdrawn.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 5.—A meeting of prominent citizens was held here today to propose the establishment of international boundaries and a representative committee was selected to go to the state department to present the views of the citizens who are anxious to have the boundaries established.

The promoters of the movement are opposed to the free exchange of steamship services and the removal of the restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

opposed to the free exchange of steam-

ship services and the removal of the

restrictions on the use of the railroads.

The promoters of the movement are

</