

tion of such a thing; I saw my sister before we were married; I knew she was divorced; mother told me the defendant also told me, and I knew the reason for it; I had no personal knowledge of the case, but had been informed of it prior to the time he proposed marriage; I knew my sister had obtained a divorce on the grounds of adultery; I do not remember speaking of it to him; a divorce may have been mentioned; knowing this, I married him next day; I left home, and expected to be married; but was not positive; I would have gone to the house if I had not intended to marry him; I thought it might happen that day; he first spoke to me on the 9th about marriage; I told him I would think of it; mother and I went down next day, and Mr. Cannon and I were married; I made inquiry as to the reason my sister had been divorced. (Mr. Dickson here asked for a subpoena for Dr. Benedict.) It was not a matter of indifference to me, but I did not speak to defendant.

Mr. Dickson—Were you ever in the Bowdoin House?

Mr. Richards—We object to that. Witness—Yes, sir; I have been there several times; I have been baptized there; it was over four years ago; I do not remember when I was next there.

Mr. Dickson—For what purpose did you go the next time?

Mr. Richards—I object; if it is anything connected with the marriage I will not object. (Overruled.)

Witness—I don't know the purpose; I do not recollect at present; I was there a third time, six or seven years ago; I have not been there within three years that I remember; the last time I was there was when my sister was married; I have been in the Logan Temple once, in 1884; I do not remember whether it was spring or autumn; I went by train; my brother J. F. Wells accompanied me; it was on a week day; we went to see the Temple; John Q. Cannon was not there; prior to the 10th day of September, I never went through a marriage ceremony of any kind, recognized by the Church, with John Q. Cannon.

Mrs. Annie W. Cannon called. She testified—I was not present when my sister was married to the defendant; I did not know they were married; the defendant never told me of it; they were not married in my house when I was there; I was home during last September; I do not know, positively, that they were married there; I did not witness the marriage, but I think there was one; saw them there, and since then she has worn a wedding ring; I desired that they should be married because they wanted to; I have thought for some time they wanted to; I believed they loved each other; he once spoke to me about it.

Mr. Dickson—How long is it since that time?

Witness—Must I answer that?

Mr. Dickson—Yes.

Witness—I do not know that he particularly said so; never heard Louie say anything about it; I thought they wanted to and spoke of it; I did most of the speaking; I do not remember the first time I spoke of it.

Mr. Dickson (sharply)—Do not look at Mr. Cannon.

Witness—He is not looking at me. I spoke to him more than a year ago; he did not admit being in love her; I spoke to him more than once; he never admitted that he loved her; I desired that he should marry her when I first spoke of it.

Mr. Dickson—Did it distress you to think that he loved your sister?

Witness—Must I answer that question?

Commissioner—Yes.

Witness—I spoke to him of marrying her for the simple reason that it was a desire of my own; it did not distress me to any extent; I believed it right, and I loved my sister and asked him to marry her; I have felt all along that I should desire such a thing; I have not suggested it to him since my return from San Francisco nor while there; or over a year he has known that I desired him to marry Louie; last September he did not invite me to witness the ceremony; I was not in the house when they were married; I was out in the yard; I understood the ceremony was to be performed that day; I do not know who told me; I understood it was to take place, and I went away with my baby; then I have lived at my home; the defendant was at the farm, and home with her mother; I believe the marriage was on the 10th of September, because they were all there; they came about 10:30 a. m.; I think the defendant was there; they came in a buggy; I received them at the door; my sister had on a light brown dress and hat; they remained there over an hour; Abram Cannon was there; he came about 11 a. m.; the defendant had no conversation with him in my presence; Abram was out in the yard part of the time; I do not know whether he was in the house or yard when mother and sister came; I first desired Mr. Cannon to marry Louie for price; I obtained a divorce from my husband on the 9th; I got the information on which the complaint was filed from him.

Mr. Richards—We object, to that testimony.

Mr. Dickson—I want to know if this was the young woman suspected. When, Mrs. Cannon did you get the information from your husband?

Witness—On Sunday afternoon.

Mr. Dickson—Did he tell you with whom he had committed adultery?

Mr. Richards—I object to it as a con-

fidential communication by the defendant.

Commissioner—Do you know anything about it?

Witness—I only know the public confession and what he told me. I do not know of the time, the place or the woman with whom the offense was committed; I was the only witness sworn in the Probate Court; the defendant admitted that what was charged was true.

Mrs. Emmeline B. Wells was called as a witness. She requested that the large crowd of men who had gathered in the court room be asked to retire, but this the Commissioner said could not be done. She testified—Louie Cannon is my daughter; I was present at her marriage to the defendant; I was spoken to about it a day or so before it occurred; may have heard of it three days before; I do not recollect where; the circumstances that had occurred had such an effect on me that I could not tell what went on; I think Mr. Cannon spoke to me; I do not think it was the next day after I was so shocked, but perhaps the next; he asked my consent, which I gave; I was shocked by his conduct; I had not recovered from my grief and have not yet; still I gave my consent to marry another of my daughters; I do not think any one else was present; it was not talked of before Louie, and I do not think I spoke to her about it that day; there was very little said, just as little as would answer; Mr. Cannon may have been at my house before the marriage; I do not remember seeing him; I am away from home a great deal; The marriage was on the 10th of September; I think that on the Thursday evening prior I was at his house; my daughter Annie's child was sick; Louie and I drove down to Annie's house; she was there; we were caring for the sick baby; I would not say positive it was Thursday; the 10th was Friday; Mr. Cannon may have been at my house on the evening of the 9th; I do not know whether, on the 10th, we came from Mr. Cannon's or from my house to my office; at the time of the marriage Louie wore a brown dress; her hair was dressed the same as to-day, I think; she did not wear gloves; I was present at the marriage, as were also John Q., Louie, and Abram; they were married between 12 and 1 o'clock; I remember that because I am particular about people being married in the middle of the day; I did not remain long at the house; Louie has been home part of the time since; while defendant's wife was in San Francisco he stayed a few times at my house; they lived there part of the time before; at the time Louie was married, I knew Annie was divorced; I was at her house that day; I did not remain there that night, I am sure.

John C. Cutler testified—I am clerk of the Salt Lake County Probate Court.

Mr. Dickson—Do you know whose handwriting that is in; (Showing decree of divorce.)

Mr. Richards—On what ground do you ask that question?

Commissioner—I think it competent evidence.

Witness—Judge Smith's; Geo. M. Cannon wrote the complaint; the summons is in the writing of the deputy clerk of the court; I filed the complaint and also the waiver of notice; they were brought in at separate times; I did not see them; my deputy, H. S. Cutler, was there in my absence. (Mr. Dickson had this witness subpoenaed.) I do not remember the time; Judge Smith may have handed me the complaint; it may have been 2 p. m.; the waiver came later; they were filed Sept. 8th; I did not see the defendant or Mrs. Cannon that day; I was not present when the case was heard; I entered up the minutes of the decree, at the direction of the Judge.

The original papers in the case and the court docket were left in possession of Mr. Dickson for examination.

Abram H. Cannon testified—I live in Salt Lake City; I know where the defendant's house is; it is about two miles from my house; I performed a marriage ceremony between my brother and Louie Wells in September; I do not remember the day, but think it was the 10th; it was between 12 and 1 p. m.; Mrs. E. B. Wells was present; I do not remember the time I went there, as I called every day in the week; Louie Wells was there when I went there, and so was her mother; their buggy was there; I did not know before I went that I would be called to perform the ceremony; I understood from the defendant, that week, that he wished to marry Louie Wells; I think it was the next day after he was excommunicated from the Church; he asked me to perform the ceremony after I called at his house; he did not send for me.

To Mr. Richards—I am an Elder in the Church, and authorized to perform a marriage ceremony; I understood that my brother had been divorced from his former wife; I performed the ceremony because he asked it.

Recess was taken until 2 p. m.

This afternoon Heber S. Cutler testified—I was deputy county clerk of Salt Lake County in September last; I saw the complaint in the divorce case of Cannon vs. Cannon; was not there when it was brought in; was there when the waiver came; I made it out, but it was not signed in my presence; Judge Smith requested me to make it out; I did not know of the complaint then, although it was filed; the Judge gave me a memorandum and asked me to make out an acceptance of service; I think the waiver is an exact copy of the memorandum; I handed the paper I wrote to the Judge; I

do not know when I next saw it; I was at the office on September 9th; I do not remember where the Judge was; I do not remember seeing Mr. or Mrs. Cannon; the blur on the copy of the waiver may have been a mistake I made and scratched out; I do not know about the change of date in the minutes.

To Mr. Richards—I copied the memorandum Judge Smith gave me.

Mr. Dickson stated that Judge Smith was out of town and would not be home till evening. He wanted him as a witness.

Dr. J. M. Benedict testified—I am not acquainted with John Q. Cannon; have lived here 16 years; have heard of him thrashing a reporter; received a letter from him three or four months ago; never received but one; I destroyed it, not having paid any attention to it; had it some time before destroying it; I know Albert Carrington, the ex-Apostle; I attended him while he was sick, in the early part of the summer.

Mr. Richards—What has Carrington's sickness to do with this case?

Mr. Dickson—I only want to fix the date.

Witness to Mr. Dickson—I don't remember reading the defendant's letter in Mr. Carrington's room; it was in reference to seeing a patient; I do not know who the patient was; it was a request for me to see the patient at my office; there was nothing indicating what was the matter with the patient; I thought it was a charity case; I do not know Louie Wells; have seen her on the stage; the day after I received the letter, I suppose several ladies called on me; I do not know; I thought the letter referred to a charity patient; I attend such without charge frequently.

To Mr. Richards—I think John Q. Cannon was signed to the letter; am not certain of the given name; the last name was Cannon; there was no name of the patient.

To Mr. Dickson—It was from the Presiding Bishop's office, and asked me if it would be convenient for me to receive a patient next afternoon, at my office; I do not remember having received a letter from the defendant, which I read one evening, in the presence of several individuals, in Carrington's sick room; I do not know any reason for my doing such a thing; if I did it, it was a matter of fun; the letter did not request my services for a young lady in confinement; I have never spoken to Mr. Cannon, or had any communication with him since.

Mrs. Louie Cannon was called into the room, and looking at her Dr. Benedict said: I do not remember ever having seen the lady. If you tell me her name I can tell whether I have seen her or not.

Mrs. Louie Wells Cannon said—I never occupied rooms with Mr. Cannon before I was married to him; in July last, I was at Granite; I went up with my sister, and came home before she did; I then went back without her and stayed a week; I occupied the same tent with him and the servant girl, Nora Reynolds, who is at Mrs. Cannon's; I do know how long it was between my visits to Granite; Mr. Cannon took us all up, myself, the servant girl and my sister's two children; he returned next day; I do not think I stayed a week; the defendant came and took us back; other people stayed in the tent; he did not stay when his wife was not there but two nights, once when another sister was there; there were others there whenever he stayed; I could not positively tell on what occasions he stayed there; the tent was a large one; there were three beds in it; there was also a partition, made of curtains; Mr. Cannon did not sleep on the same side of the curtains as I did; I am positive of that.

Wm. H. Greenock testified—I work at a livery stable on First East Street; have seen defendant; he came to the stable to arrange for keeping his horse; he did not drive it out; I have seen him at Mrs. E. B. Wells' house at night; have seen him quite late, sometimes 11 or 12 p. m.; have seen him going there at 6 or 8 p. m.; saw him going away at 5 a. m. one morning in August.

To Mr. Richards—Only saw him during the month of August.

At the request of Mr. Dickson, a continuance was had to 10:30 a. m. tomorrow.

## \$500 FINE

AND SIX MONTHS IN JAIL, ARE the risks run by all persons, who cut timber or saw and sell lumber without following the instructions lately issued by the Interior Department, and which came in force on the first of September, 1886.

Messrs. Stayer and Simmons who have given the interests of Millmen much consideration, have prepared and are now supplying the necessary Cutting and Selling Records, as also the Agreements with Certificate and Oath required under the rules, with the firm name of the Millmen inserted, to save writing; and will give full instructions for their use, all for \$25.

No person should run the risk of punishment, when by a small outlay and proper precaution, he can avoid danger.

Send the above amount, with names of the parties constituting the firm, together with the firm name and the place and county where the sales of lumber are made.

Address: STAYER & SIMMONS, Attorneys, Box 57, Salt Lake City, U. T.

These books and blanks are recommended as being carefully prepared to meet every requirement of the law.

d saw tie

# Pure Food for the Children

Impure food that may not seriously affect the stronger digestive organs of an adult will frequently cause the most serious illness in a child. Too frequently this important fact is overlooked, and children are made sick from eating articles that have been taken by adults without apparent injury.

A child's food is largely cakes and bread, and these articles when light, sweet, and composed of materials free from deleterious substances are easily digested, nutritious, and wholesome. But there is a danger to our children lurking in their bread and cake apt to be lost sight of. When these articles are made from pure and wholesome baking powder, they are much more healthful and nutritious than when prepared from yeast or other leavening agent. But the danger arises from the fact—as shown by the tests made by the Government chemists, and by many State and Municipal Boards of Health—that most of these baking powders are so impure as to render the food unwholesome. In fact, chemical analyses have developed the fact that all the baking powders now upon the market, with the single exception of the Royal Baking Powder, contain either lime, alum, phosphates, or acids of an injurious character. Therefore, when bread or cake is made with the use of these adulterated baking powders, the ingredients pass into the delicate organs of childhood, and are the source of very much of the disease with which our children are afflicted.

Persons who have not strong constitutions, growing girls, young children, and many ladies, are particularly liable to the evil effects produced by the adulterants found in these cheap baking powders. Heartburn and the prevalent forms of indigestion are often solely traceable to their action on the delicate coats of the stomach. Prof. Willard Parker, U. S. Surgeon-General Hammond, Prof. Alonzo Clark, and other eminent physicians all over the country, have spoken most earnestly of the evils arising from such food.

The absolute purity and wholesomeness of the Royal Baking Powder are unquestioned. The official reports of the U. S. Government Chemists have put this fact beyond all controversy. Prof. Mott reported that the Royal was undoubtedly the purest and most reliable baking powder made. Prof. Love's report showed it to be of the highest strength, while Prof. McMartin, Chemist-in-Chief of the U. S. Agricultural Department, at Washington, D. C., found the Royal the only baking powder in the country free from both lime and alum, and absolutely pure.

There should be as much care in the choice of a baking powder as in obtaining pure milk, or in having a prescription compounded from pure drugs and not from poisons. Pure bread and cake are assured only by the use of the Royal Baking Powder.

## ESTRAY NOTICE.

### I HAVE IN MY POSSESSION.

One bay HORSE, 3 or 4 years old, a little white in forehead, right hind foot white, branded C H J, combined, with hook over it, on left shoulder.  
One sorrel MARE, 7 or 8 years old, white in forehead, branded resembling O O on left shoulder, vented on left thigh, also N on left shoulder, vented on left thigh.  
If the above described animals are not claimed and taken away on or before Oct. 11, 1886, they will be sold at public auction at the estray pound in Fillmore City, at 10 o'clock a. m.

ALEXANDER McILVILLIE,  
District Poundkeeper.  
Fillmore City, Oct. 3d, 1886.

## ESTRAY NOTICE.

### I HAVE IN MY POSSESSION:

One dark bay MARE, supposed to be 3 years old, branded G on left thigh, right hind foot white, star in forehead.  
If the above animal is not claimed within ten days from date, it will be sold at public auction to the highest cash bidder, at the South Bountiful estray pound, at 2 o'clock p. m., October 21st, 1886.

J. JOHNSON,  
District Poundkeeper.  
South Bountiful, Davis Co., Oct. 11th, 1886.



THE H. H. H. Horse Liniment puts new life into the Antiquated Horse. For the last 11 years the H. H. H. Horse Liniment has been the leading remedy among Farmers and Stockmen for the cure of Sprains, Bruises, Stiff Joints, Spavins, Windfalls, Sore Shoulders, etc., and for Family Use is without an equal for Rheumatism, Neuralgia, Aches, Pains, Bruiases, Cuts and Sprains of all characters. The H. H. H. Liniment has many imitations, and we caution the Public to see that the Trade Mark "H. H. H." is on every Bottle before purchasing. For sale everywhere for 50 cents and \$1.00 per Bottle.

H. H. MOORE & SON.  
For Sale by all Druggists.