

DESERET EVENING NEWS

WEATHER REPORT.

Record at the local office of the weather bureau for the 24 hours ending at 6 a. m. today:

Barometer reading at 6 a. m., 25.53 inches; temperature at 6 a. m., 49 degrees; maximum, 68; minimum, 43; mean, 54, which is normal.

Excess of daily mean temperature since the first of the month, 10 degrees. Deficiency of daily mean temperature since Jan. 1, 236 degrees.

Deficiency in precipitation since Jan. 1, 2.48 inch.

FORECASTS TILL 6 P. M. SUNDAY.

Local forecasts for Salt Lake City and vicinity:

Fair tonight and Sunday.

For Utah, forecast made at Denver, Colo.:

Fair tonight and Sunday.

WEATHER CONDITIONS.

High pressure continues over the north Pacific coast. Low pressure over the Atlantic coast.

Overcast temporarily in charge.

Observer temporarily in charge.

TODAY'S TEMPERATURE.

6 a. m. 49

7 a. m. 49

8 a. m. 53

9 a. m. 57

10 a. m. 57

11 a. m. 62

12 m. 62

1 p. m. 62

2 p. m. 62

3 p. m. 62

4 p. m. 62

5 p. m. 62

6 p. m. 62

7 p. m. 62

8 p. m. 62

9 p. m. 62

10 p. m. 62

11 p. m. 62

12 m. 62

1 p. m. 62

2 p. m. 62

3 p. m. 62

4 p. m. 62

5 p. m. 62

6 p. m. 62

7 p. m. 62

8 p. m. 62

9 p. m. 62

10 p. m. 62

11 p. m. 62

12 m. 62

1 p. m. 62

2 p. m. 62

3 p. m. 62

4 p. m. 62

5 p. m. 62

6 p. m. 62

7 p. m. 62

8 p. m. 62

9 p. m. 62

10 p. m. 62

11 p. m. 62

12 m. 62

1 p. m. 62

2 p. m. 62

3 p. m. 62

4 p. m. 62

5 p. m. 62

6 p. m. 62

7 p. m. 62

8 p. m. 62

9 p. m. 62

10 p. m. 62

11 p. m. 62

12 m. 62

1 p. m. 62

2 p. m. 62

3 p. m. 62

4 p. m. 62

5 p. m. 62

6 p. m. 62

7 p. m. 62

8 p. m. 62

WILL GO TO THE SUPREME COURT

Bar Association Decides to Bring Disbarment Proceedings

At a special meeting of the State Bar Association held in the federal court room last evening, it was decided to bring disbarment proceedings in the supreme court against Attorney R. H. Jones of Brigham City, for unprofessional conduct.

Three charges were preferred against Mr. Jones by the special committee appointed by the association some time ago to investigate his alleged misconduct. They are:

1. Forgery, entering into champertous contracts and appearing in cases without authority.

2. There were three charges made against Mr. Jones, but the committee decided to push the three most serious ones.

The committee, which is composed of Parley L. Williams, Frank Hoffman and Joseph T. Richards, made several trips to Brigham City and thoroughly investigated the charges. Affidavits were secured which show that Attorney Jones entered into a champertous contract with defendant in the case of *Allen v. Allen*, and that he was not a party to the case.

At the hearing, Mr. Jones was represented by his brother, Mr. R. H. Jones, who is a prominent lawyer in Salt Lake City.

The hearing will take place in the supreme court on Monday, May 11, at 10 o'clock.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

The committee expects to win its case, and if successful, Mr. Jones will be disbarred from the practice of law in Utah.

The disbarment proceedings are being brought in the supreme court, as the association has no power to disbar a lawyer on its own.

HOME MISSIONARY APPOINTMENTS

Home missionary appointments in this state for Sunday, May 10, 1903, are as follows:

First Ward—Melvin D. Wells and Alonzo B. Irvine.

Second Ward—John Clark and Chas. G. Berry.

Third Ward—Ryestover C. Cannon and Wm. Anderson.

Fourth Ward—John T. O'Neil and Stephen W. Walker.

Fifth Ward—Arnold H. Schultze and Oliver E. Walsh.

Sixth Ward—Joshua H. Paul and Samuel M. Taylor.

Seventh Ward—Samuel G. Spencer and Joseph G. Adams.

Eighth Ward—James E. Iverson and Hyrum D. Goodyear.

Ninth Ward—Henry P. Richards and Herman F. Therap.

Tenth Ward—John Nicholson and Malcolm L. Butler.

Eleventh Ward—Ward conference, President Angus M. Cannon and Elias A. Smith.

Twelfth Ward—Ward conference, President Joseph E. Taylor.

Thirteenth Ward—Henry W. Nalibitt and Alexander H. Obid.

Fourteenth Ward—Ward conference, President Charles W. Penrose.

Fifteenth Ward—John C. Cutler and Elias C. Ashton.

Sixteenth Ward—David McKenzie and Lafayette T. Whitney.

Seventeenth Ward—M. A. Miller and Albert M. Olson.

Eighteenth Ward—Philip S. Maycock and Joseph G. Adams.

Nineteenth Ward—Thomas A. Clawson and Stanley D. Jansson.

Twentieth Ward—John H. Evans and George J. Cannon.

Twenty-first Ward—F. E. Barker and Alfred W. Peterson.

Twenty-second Ward—James T. Fishman and George W. Hilton.

Twenty-third Ward—Henry S. Tanner and George Q. Morris.

Twenty-fourth Ward—Leo Bird and John A. Perkins.

Twenty-fifth Ward—Joseph S. Wells and George Q. Morris.

Twenty-sixth Ward—Ephraim Jensen and Joseph C. Platt.

Twenty-seventh Ward—Vernice L. Halliday.

Twenty-eighth Ward—Benjamin Goddard and John Kingston.

Twenty-ninth Ward—Brian S. Hinekley and Orson S. Squires.

Thirtieth Ward—Chas. B. Stewart and Walter Wright.

Thirty-first Ward—Milando Pratt and Hyrum A. Christensen.

Thirty-second Ward—James Sharp and Geo. L. Walker.

Thirty-third Ward—L. Davis and Daniel C. Coulam.

Thirty-fourth Ward—Franklin S. Richards and John L. Chelting.

Thirty-fifth Ward—Joseph F. Smith Jr. and Almy C. Clayton.

Thirty-sixth Ward—Hamilton G. Park and Alfred C. Rees.

Thirty-seventh Ward—Joseph J. Gill and R. L. North.

Thirty-eighth Ward—Harry W. Cushing and Dryden R. Coombs.

Thirty-ninth Ward—Andrew C. Sorenson and Win. L. Owen.

Fortieth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Forty-first Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Forty-second Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Forty-third Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Forty-fourth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Forty-fifth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Forty-sixth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Forty-seventh Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Forty-eighth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Forty-ninth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Fiftieth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Fifty-first Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Fifty-second Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Fifty-third Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Fifty-fourth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Fifty-fifth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Fifty-sixth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Fifty-seventh Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Fifty-eighth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Fifty-ninth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Sixtieth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Sixty-first Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Sixty-second Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Sixty-third Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Sixty-fourth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Sixty-fifth Ward—Win. L. Owen.

Sixty-sixth Ward—Win. L. Owen.