

"To him who watches everything in detail. This includes all sorts of 'want ad' bargains to those who 'watch' the want ads, day by day."

10 PAGES—LAST EDITION

MONDAY MARCH 11 1907 SALT LAKE CITY UTAH

FIFTY-SIXTH YEAR

## SUPERIOR FOR GALVESTON BILLS

Junior Senator From Utah Declares Measures Before the Legislature Should Pass.

THEIR DEATH A MISFORTUNE. Country at Large. He Says, Satisfied With Action in Case Of Hon. Reed Smoot.

Important Amendment to Denatured Alcohol Bill Came Before Recent Congressional Session.

"I am heartily in favor of the Galveston bill. It is my best judgment that the principle is right, and I think it would be a distinct step in advance for the legislature to pass this measure," declared Senator George Sutherland, who arrived in Salt Lake from Washington this morning.

Senator Sutherland comes home to take up his business affairs again, after attending the session of Congress which just closed. When interviewed he talked first of the Galveston bills, because he declared that he was much in sympathy with them, and hoped to see them pass. "Of course," he said, "the legislature can take care of itself in the matter, and while I am opposed to any kind of lobbying, still I have no hesitancy in expressing my opinion.

"The bills are good ones in principle. To have a commission of three men govern the city elected at large, enables you to offer a suitable salary, and the first place, and the city, and the legislature can take care of itself in the matter, and while I am opposed to any kind of lobbying, still I have no hesitancy in expressing my opinion.

"The bills are good ones in principle. To have a commission of three men govern the city elected at large, enables you to offer a suitable salary, and the first place, and the city, and the legislature can take care of itself in the matter, and while I am opposed to any kind of lobbying, still I have no hesitancy in expressing my opinion.

**DENATURED ALCOHOL.**

When asked about the national problems up at the last session of Congress, Senator Sutherland declared that he thought that the amendments to the denatured alcohol bill were perhaps the most important in their importance. "The amendments," he said, "were of course in the way of not expecting to measure. I am not sure that the denatured alcohol bill, but the industry in my opinion is now free from the great industries of the country."

"How about the case of Senator Reed Smoot?" was asked. "I am not sure that the denatured alcohol bill, but the industry in my opinion is now free from the great industries of the country."

"Well," replied the senator, "it is remarkable how satisfied the secular press of the country, taking it in the large, is with the senate's decision. Of course there are exceptions, but the great trend of national opinion is in accord with the view taken in the senate. Perhaps the ministerial organizations and the labor organizations, but that is a matter for the future to determine."

Senator Sutherland will devote his time for the next few months to his personal affairs, which have been neglected for a long time on account of the pressing business before Congress, to which he has been giving his attention.

## TURNING DOWN APPLICANTS FOR BEET ACREAGE.

General Manager Cutler of the Utah Sugar company was gratified this morning to receive word from Parley Austin, field superintendent of the company, that he had reached the point where they were turning down applications from farmers desiring to grow beets for the Utah sugar industry during the coming season. The success of last year's crop has acted as so great an impetus, that nearly every farmer who grows beets desires to increase his acreage, and Mr. Cutler says it will be impossible this year to take all that is offered. This condition will enable the company to select the lands it desires, and to discard those which have not made a good beet growing record in the past seasons.

## W. D. HICKMAN INSANE.

Aged Man Who Believes Himself to Be Rich Goes to Provo.

Warren D. Hickman was examined as to his mental condition today by County Physician Calderwood and his assistant, Dr. Haley, and was committed to the state mental hospital by Judge Armstrong. Mr. Hickman is 66 years of age and came to Utah in 1885. He has been a resident of Provo for the past several years, and during most of that time he has been laboring under the delusion that he owns a rich gold mine and that he was donating the sum of \$100,000 per month for the benefit of the hospital. During his stay here he attempted to build a house in his room.

## PROMOTIONS ANNOUNCED.

Resignation of Capt. Love Causes Changes in Fire Department.

The resignation of Capt. Love from the fire department, as announced in Saturday evening's "News," has caused some changes in the department. Capt. W. A. Ayland, who for a long time has had charge of station No. 4, is now promoted to senior captain of the department and his place will be filled by Capt. W. A. Ayland. The resignation of Capt. Love has not caused any change in the department.

## EXPENSIVE TROUT.

John and Clarence Worthing Fined \$10 Each for Fishing.

In Justice Dana T. Smith's court this morning, John and Clarence Worthing were fined \$10 each for violation of the fish and game law. It was charged that the men were fishing in Mill Creek. The case was made by "Bill" Bingley, deputy city clerk, and the men were not present. The case was made by "Bill" Bingley, deputy city clerk, and the men were not present. The case was made by "Bill" Bingley, deputy city clerk, and the men were not present.



Photo by Munroe. ALAN L. LOVEY, The Gifted Young Salt Lake Cartoonist Who Died at Reno This Morning From Typhoid-Pneumonia.

## ALAN L. LOVEY 'SCHMITZ-RUEF' PROCEEDINGS

Clever Cartoonist Dies in Reno, Nev., After a Brief Illness There.

CAUSE, TYPHOID-PNEUMONIA. BATTLE OPENED BY MR. HENEY

Fatal Illness Seized Him While on the Train Between Ely and Reno Last Week.

Reno, Nev., March 11.—Alan Lovey, the well known cartoonist, died here at 1 o'clock this morning of pneumonia. Lovey has been employed on the Salt Lake Herald for the past 10 years, except for a short time when he was connected with the *Bozeman* in Montana. He leaves a wife and one child.

Everyone in America has had a laugh on two with Lovey in his day, for he has been through the leading magazines. Occasionally Lovey touched upon the vital national problems with his pen and pencil, and when he did so the whole nation had a chance to smile with him, for they were comically copied.

Here in the west his cartoons have been known for a long time, and have become household friends to the community. In Salt Lake today the men who know him personally are telling each other that Lovey is dead, and it is much more than a mere passing sympathy that is expressed in their concern over his untimely taking. Lovey was not a man to have passed away, yet for he was just reaching his prime. His cartoons were gathering fame each year, and it was only last election day that the Democrats were having their own cartoonists, and he was pasted up on the city's bill boards. He died when his future seemed brightest, and his prospects most stable.

## WIFE AT BEDSIDE.

Behind the immediate circumstances of Lovey's death, is a comment on present industrial conditions. At his bedside was his wife, who had rushed forward from Salt Lake, when the news came that he was taken ill on the train near Reno, and it was for her and their child that Lovey had sought other fields than that of his professional work in Salt Lake. Lovey found himself with a wife and child facing the condition most of the people in his class of labor are facing, and he was forced to seek other remuneration than a generous salary to fulfill his obligations as a father and provider. Nevada with its ready money, and its opportunities appealed to him, and in the hope of gaining a competence for his family he left Salt Lake a few short months ago. A fellow artist, A. J. Dutton, went with him, and together they began a series of cartoons of Nevada life and people. Their task was interrupted a week ago, when Dutton, having a family of his own, went home, leaving Lovey to his work. Lovey's wife, who was with him, and together they began a series of cartoons of Nevada life and people. Their task was interrupted a week ago, when Dutton, having a family of his own, went home, leaving Lovey to his work. Lovey's wife, who was with him, and together they began a series of cartoons of Nevada life and people. Their task was interrupted a week ago, when Dutton, having a family of his own, went home, leaving Lovey to his work.

## AN IDEAL SON.

The fatal illness seized Mr. Lovey on a train between Ely and Reno last week. He was taken off the train at Reno unconscious, and the case was diagnosed as typhoid-pneumonia. Tuesday Lovey rallied, and seemed to have a good chance to recover until Saturday, when a heart collapse started the sinking spell which resulted in his death this morning. He was conscious to the end, and he died with the same smile which has always characterized his expression. His invalid mother will miss him. He loved her, and was frequently seen on the streets wheeling her in a specially constructed chair. At each Press club show he was sure to bring her, wheeling her chair in a side door and leaving her sitting in an aisle while he watched her sip from his efforts on the stage, with fellow Press club members.

Mr. Lovey came to Salt Lake over 10 years ago from California. He got his newspaper start in San Francisco, where he was given a chance to draw pictures for advertisements, such as reproductions of articles offered for sale.

## HOW HE ACHIEVED FAME.

In Salt Lake he started to attempt a better class of drawings, and he first achieved fame on the *Pat Lamm* series which kept the whole town laughing in 1888. Lamm took his meals in the Vienna cafe, and Lovey has confided to friends that he used to spend almost all of his meagre salary as a beginner, eating at the same cafe, so that he could sit and study the facial characteristics of his first subject. In 1894 Lovey went to the national Democratic convention, and in 1896 was a

## THAW WAS NOT UNSOPHISTICATED

Jerome Says He Knew All About The Ways of New York Men Before He Heard Evelyn's Story.

ATTY. LONGFELLOW ON STAND

It Was He Who Met the Lady in The Case Upon Her Return From Europe.

Delmas Fighting Prosecuting Attorney At Every Point—On Both Sides Battle Is Stubborn and Determined.

New York, March 11.—With the trial entering its eighth week today, Dist. Atty. Jerome began the real work of the prosecution—the offering of testimony in rebuttal of the defense built up by Thaw's attorney. The state's chief, which was concluded in less than two hours, after the jury panel had been completed, consisted simply of the testimony of eye witnesses to the tragedy.

The first witness called by Mr. Jerome today was Frederick W. Longfellow, one of the Thaw family attorneys, who was called by the defense some time ago to identify certain letters which Harry K. Thaw wrote to him in 1902. Today Mr. Jerome wanted him to identify a letter handed him by Evelyn Nesbit Thaw upon her arrival from Europe late in 1902.

Mr. Longfellow met Mrs. Thaw at the hotel where she was staying. Mrs. Thaw upon the witness stand, said she could not fix the date or the name of the letter.

Mr. Longfellow said he had acted as Thaw's attorney for some years prior to June 25, 1906.

"Did you represent this defendant in the suit of Ethel Thomas against Thaw?" asked Mr. Jerome.

Mr. Delmas objected but Justice Fitzgerald ruled that the witness might answer yes or no.

Mr. Jerome handled the case," said Mr. Longfellow.

"Were the papers served on this defendant?"

Again Mr. Delmas objected, Jerome said the contention is that Thaw's mind was unsound by his wife's revelation of what Stanford White had done to her and to other young women.

"It has been said that the alleged acts of perversion by Stanford White added to the fury of the defendant. I want to show that they were set forth in the complaint in this suit by Ethel Thomas, the papers of which were served on him."

**THE ETHEL THOMAS CASE.**

Mrs. Evelyn Thaw had testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas, replying to the district attorney's argument, quoted at some length from Jerome's statement at the beginning of the trial when Evelyn testified upon the stand, that her testimony was permissible only as showing Thaw's state of mind and that he would not be allowed to contradict it.

"We told him," said Delmas, "we would have no objection to him attacking the truth of the wife's story."

"Now do you object?" snapped Jerome.

"Yes, because you would not accept our offer to waive our right when the story began," retorted Mr. Delmas.

Mr. Jerome declared that Mr. Delmas' argument did not cover the point at issue. It was the effect of Evelyn Nesbit's story on Thaw's mind he was attempting to counter. He said that the Thaw girl was a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hummel, who said the case had been dropped because of the character of the complaint.

Mr. Delmas objected to the reference to the Thaw girl as a "poor" girl, and the statement that she was a "poor" girl was a "poor" statement.

Mrs. Thaw herself testified that she had been shown a copy of the Ethel Thomas affidavit by Abraham Hum