tion of said road within ninety days from
this date and prosecute the same, with
reasonable diligence, until said two hundred miles are built, and complete the
same within the time hereinbefore specified, that then this contract shall be null
and void.

It is also further understood and

and void.

It is also further understood and agreed, that said Bacon, his heirs, representatives and assigns, for the consideration aforesaid, with protect and save said city from all costs and expense, in case the right of the city to convey said property is contested in any court, or otherwise.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said party of the first part, pursuant to the resolution of the City Council, has executed the foregoing agreement under its corporate seal by the mayor and recorder and the said party of the second part has hereunto set his hand and seal the day and year first above written.

Attest:

Recorder.

Judge Powers was present and by permission said that the case of Pickard vs. the city would probably be heard today. He with Mr. Dickson appeared as counsel for the city, while Messrs. Hoffman and Parley L. Williams appeared as counsel for Mr. Pickard. It was agreed between counsel for the respective sides that the agreement was not to be executed until the matter was decided by the courts.

AN INCOMPETENT SUPERINTENDENT.

Councilman Spafford moved that the Mayor request the resignation of the present superintendent of Liberty Park, for the reason that he was incompetent.

On motion of Mr. Hall, Acting Mayor Parsons and the committee on public grounds were requested to visit the park and investigate.

APPROPRIATIONS.

The following appropriations were

Bluff & Berger	\$142 45
Hines & Auer	623 87
St. Mark's Hospital	91 20
Showell & Demorest	
Jeff. Campbell	5 50
W. H. Chapman	13 25

Adjourned until Friday evening, March 13.

At a special session of the City Council held March 13th for the purpose of discussing the paving and joint building questions, Acting Mayor Parsons presided.

The following members and alleged

The following members and alleged members answered to roll call: Anderson, Armstrong, Cohn, James, Hall, Lynn, Pendleton, Pembroke and Spafford.

The following communication from W. H. Remington was read and referred to the committee on streets:

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH, March 13, 1891.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council:

Gentlemen:—While you are considering the question of material for sidewalks in our city, I would respectfully ask your favorable consideration of the material known as the Stradamant mastic asphalt, which is now being used extensively throughout the United States for sidewalk and street paving, and has been thoroughly tested and found to be superior to any other material, for cheapness, durability, cleanliness and heauty combined.

In behalf of this material, I make you

the following proposition: I will lay the Stradamant mastic asphalt sidewalks at the prices quoted below, and will give to the city a five years' guarantee for the same. These prices are for laying the walk, exclusive of grading:

Thickness.	Pr. Sq. Ft.	Pr. Sq. Yd.	Pr. Front Rt. 6-Ft. walk.	Pr. Front Ft., 8-Ft. walk.
1-inch 1% inch 3 inch		1.17 cts. 1.39 % cts. 2.02 % cts.		\$1.04 2.24 1.80

The one-inch walk is generally used for sidewalk, the other two are sufficient for streets of light traffic.

I am prepared to commence work with-

I am prepared to commence work within two weeks and prosecute the same with vigor, laying a block or more per day, if required.

If your honerable body will refer this

If your honerable body will refer this to a committee, I will endeavor to convince them that this material is all that I have claimed for it. Respectfully submitted, W. H. REMINGTON.

The following communication from Engineer Doremus was then read:

In accordance with your instructions of the 10th inst. I beg to present the following estimates of the cost for sidewalk pavements of asphaltum and flagstone respectively:

For asphalt, 1/4 inch thick, on 3-inch con-	
crcte base, bor sq re foot\$	15
	90
Or 8-foot walk per front foot 1	20

If we allow ten feet for a wagon way entering each 69 feet of frontage, at which places the payement would require to be made stronger, the cost will be:

		front foot\$1 05 front foot
ı	TAT	ACL GOVERNMENT

ľ	Z ZAG SICHE		
	For flagstone, two inches thick, on 4 inch		
i	sand foundation, per square foot	ò	30
	Or for 6-foot walk, per front foot	1	80
	Or for 8 foot walk, per front foot	2	40

If we allow 10 feet for wagon way entering each 60 feet of frontage, the cost

For	6-foot	walk.	ner	front	foot.		 		. \$2	30
E OL	8-foot	WHIR.	Der.	TLOME	1000	* *	 	100	. Z	QU

Committee on streets.

The following report from the street committee was read:

"We are of the opinion that for utility, cheapness and cost of repairs that hard or vitrified brick walks take the precedence. Much of the projudice against brick pavements or sidewalks and the dubt as to their durability, is based upon the experience in using common building brick that were improperly or insufficiently burned. We do not doubt that if properly burned brick be used according to the plans and specifications prepared by our engineer that these objections will be overcome. Suitable brick are made here and the money expended in the manufacture of the same and laying of sidewalk would not go outside of the city. The immediate necessity of good walks in this city cannot be over estimated. We would recommend that the city engineer furnish estimates for the cost of laying brick walks: In district Nos. 1 and 2, both sides of First South, from west line of Seventh East to the east line of Fourth West; and both sides of West Temple from First South to South Temple. In districts 3 and 4, both sides of Second South, from west line of Fourth East to west line of Fifth West. We recommend that a new district be created called district No. 12, to include both sides of South Temple, from the east line.

of East Templé to the east line of Third

Further, that where permanent walks are already laid that the abutting property be not taxed, and further, that where the improvements are not of a permanent nature and where abutting owners prefer some other material, that they shall be allowed to construct such walks, provided they do so immediately. This is a matter that can be adjusted fairly by the board of equalization. From Second East Street east we would recommend the laying of a six-foot sidewalk and balance of the district covered by this report, to lay an eight-foot walk. The cost as estimated will be for the six-foot walk, 66 cents per front foot or 11 cents per square foot; and for the eight-foot walk, 80 cents per front foot or 10 cents per square foot. That the sidewalk follow the contour of the present grades as nearly as practicable. This plan, if carried out, will give a good sidewalk and crosswalks to the railway stations on two of the main streets leading east from East Temple Street."

Acting Mayor Parsons—It is seen by the report from the street committee that brick is recommended as being the cheapest and best paving material that we can use. I will say, however, that the brick are not vitrified, as stated in the report, but are specially burned. Only a certain number can be used from one kiln, as many will be overburned, broken and warped. I am assured by our brick manufacturers that our brick will stand the test successfully, if properly laid. Another chief reason that the committee recommend brick was that the contour of the ground could be more easily followed.

Councilman James—I move the adoption of the report.

Councilman Spafford—Before we go any further, I will state that Mr. Jennings, who has recently opened up an extensive stone quarry, is present, and could probably give some vuluable suggestions as to the cost of stone flagging. I ask that he be allowed to speak.

M. Pembroke—There is a motion before the house, and extraneous discussion is out of order. I am not in favor of adopting the report, inasmuch as we would then be compelled to use brick only. I question the durability of such walks. Other materials have been mentioned which are superior to brick, the cost of which is but little more. I will not and shall not the my vote to a brick. Further, I am not ready to vote tonight.

Acting Mayor Parsons—The adoption of the report will not in any way bind the city to the exclusive use of brick. It only refers to one district, and in that the property owners are given the privilege of using any other material. The defeat of the adoption of this report will only hamper and delay matters. I am surprised that any member of the Council should oppose it.

Mr. Pembroke—I wish to inform the gentleman that I am and have been as anxious to bring the paving question to a culmination as any one. I have brought up the subject frequently, much to the discomfiture of the street committee. I move that the whole matter be referred back to the city engineer with instructions to prepare and report a tabulated statement of the estimates of the various kinds of materials that have been mentioned from time to time.

The motion failed to carry.