

THE LEGAL ARENA.

Fresh Indictments Against Ex-County Officials.

OTHERS ALSO IMPLICATED.

—Criminal Actions—Civil
Business.

ing, ex-county officials were indicted in those against whom the grand jury filed true bills yesterday. This fact was made public after the time of the

ex-Sergeant Joseph B. Morris and Herman Hamburger, of Hall Lake county. Only two of the defendants, those charging Morris and Hamburger

connected with the Hayzen affair, the others being in connection with other county matters associated with the scandal regarding the city and county

Two of the ex-selectionmen, J. H. Morris and H. Baumeyer, are jointly indicted for writing a bribe, the allegation in the grand jury's finding being

1900, "respective offices of the Territory of Utah, to wit, witnesses of the late county, and the county court of said county of which the said Ham-

members as well as children, having them and their older instructions add county's portion of what is known as the joint city and county building, and the Window Brothers company

...the said county certain iron work and paving the same in said county's portion of said building for a price to be agreed upon, the said Hammer and Hinkle did then and there

to wit, a large sum of money, the amount of which is to the great jurors unknown, with the understanding that their votes, opinions and actions as such adherents in respect to said money

low. Business company for sale from work and for placing the same in said county's portion of said building, should be influenced thereby in favor of said company."

Martha, and accuses him of agreeing to receive a bribe from Martin Hayden, agent for Andrews & Co., to influence him in the furniture contract for the county's portion of the joint city and county building.

The two men with similar backgrounds in the eleven are against H. Hanburger, now charging that he agreed to receive a bribe from Hyman (the same as did Murray, and the other that he agreed to receive \$500 as a bribe from Charles A.

Indictment five charges Hayken with attempting to bribe one of the city councilmen, and indictment six is a conviction with the same business.

The ex-soldiers noticed, Muttis and Hunsbeck were told, in the summer of 1900, that the soldiers of the 10th Cavalry were not made aware of the warrants and were not to be the parties under arrest.

THE KELSEY CASE.
Continuing the cross-examination of L. P. Kelsey yesterday afternoon, it

2. H. Little testified that the defendant did not ask her for money.

J. G. Barnard produced the Vantage News Register of July 28, 1992, show-

J. H. Winslow testified that there was no entry of that kind at the Wallcut in 1893. This also agreed with

The plaintiff then identified the man whose name Kelley had checked. She asserted that she and Kelley had lived together since March, 1989, and described a number of her belongings and gifts which Kelley had given her while in Thailand.

This concluded the taking of testimony, and the attorneys wrote the final findings, two hours in all.

examination of Mr. Kewley was going on, he said that the plaintiff had questioned statements about the marriage and now he doubted her statement about his being the father of the boy.

may, (who is the very picture of Mr. Kelsey in the profile of his face,) answered, "What about the child's testimony, Mr. Kelsey?" The defendant made no reply, and indeed none was expected, but the question, by his

This morning Mr. Varran opened with his argument for the plaintiff and this afternoon was followed by

CRIMINAL MATTERS.
D. Smith, arrested at Big Cactus
went on a charge of unlawfully
carrying under him a certain trigger.

and C. Larsen will have a hearing before Commissioner Hognstad on a charge of embezzling \$22 from Maunabo A. Huggins, of Spanish Fork.

(Continued on Page Five.)

1000