as property according to the laws izens. of the respective States from which Resolved, that the non-slavethey may have emigrated, not ex- holding States, with an exception

cepting slaves.

States have an equal right with course of destroying the rest. the non-slaveholding to have their 1. They have not only failed citizens pass into the District of to provide that fugitive slaves Columbia with their property, in- within their lines should be surcluding slaves, and while there to rendered to their owners on depossess and use such property in mand, but they have carefully every way in which citizens of passed laws to protect such fugithe non-slaveholding States may tives from re-capture by their possess and use their property, not owners.

nature, but are directly recognized States. Resolved, that the great princiregulations respecting the territory New Mexico. or other property belonging to the 4. They also avow the purpose min. north latitude. lar State;" and that any denial gress. unconstitutional.

latter.

within those boundaries, on a pre- to exercise it. Mexico, is false.

property in slaves within the lim- necessary which shall be sufficient might do by distinctly recognizing

settling upon that land, and of be- its of such States, without disturing protected there in the possess- bance or interference from the nonion and use of whatever they held slaveholding States or their cit-

or two, have almost destroyed Resolved, that the slaveholding some of these rights, and are in

excepting the traffic in such slaves. 2. They avow the purpose of Resolved, that these rights are seizing and of holding all of New not only of the essence of the con- Mexico and California to the utter federacy principle, from its very exclusion of the slaveholding strengthen the South

United States, and nothing in this of abolishing the traffic in slaves Constitution shalf be so construed in the District of Columbia, and as to prejudice any claims of the all of these purposes they are now United States, or of any particu- preparing to execute through Con-

ing States to a part of the soil for the present they lack the power applied to that territory.

either to change these hostile purposes on the part of the non-slaveholding States, or to prevent them from acquiring the power to execute these purposes.

Resolved, that whatever will add to the strength of the slaveholding States, will contribute to the accomplishment of both these

objects.

Resolved, that the obtaining by these States of a part of these countries acquired from Mexico. the retention by them of the whole area of Texas as claimed by Texas, and the uniting of their citizens as one man in party organization, separate from the North in reference to the slavery question, would be the three things which would

and guaranteed in several parts of 3. They also avow the purpose ple of the Missouri compromise, the instrument of confederation of appropriating to themselves both at the time of its adoption itself. The Constitution has in more than a third part of Texas, and at each of its various applicait this stipulation, viz: "The Con- amounting to little less than 150, tions, was a division of the public gress shall have power to dispose 000 square miles, under pretence territories between the slaveholdof and make all needful rules and of its constituting a portion of ing and non-slaveholding States upon the parallel of 36 deg. 30

Resolved, that although this principle is not free from constitutional doubt, and although it will not, if applied to our present public territories, give the slaveholdof, or injury to such rights by the 5. They are, by systematic anti- ing States a fair and just share of non-slaveholding States, through slavery agitation, and in every the same, any more than it did of the action of Congress; would be other indirect and in some direct our past public territories unapways, endeavoring to unsettle and plied to it, yet for the sake of a Resolved, that it is the duty of undermine the principle of prop- compromise and settlement of the the non-slaveholding States under erty in slaves in the Southern controversy between those States the Constitution, to see to it them: States themselves, and are actually and the non-slaveholding States selves that slaves escaping into disturbing the enjoyment in these in relation to the territory known their borders are delivered up to States of slave property. In a as California and New Mexico, the owners on the claim of the word, they are letting it be plainly and for no other purpose, the seen that, if they do not now re- slaveholding States should again Resolved, that the boundaries sort summarily and directly to unis waive the constitutional question of Texas as claimed by her, are versal abolition by act of Congress, involved in the principle; and, lookthe true boundaries, and that the it is not because they want the ing over its practical unfairness claim set up by the non-slavehold- will to pass the law; but because toward them, agree that it may be

Resolved, that Congress ought tence of its being a portion of New Resolved, that to prevent the therefore to divide California and consummation, by the non-slave- New Mexico between the slave-Resolved, that the slaveholding holding States, of the destruction holding and the non-slaveholding States have a right to the use and of these and other rights of the States on the line of 36 deg. 30 enjoyment by their citizens of slaveholding States, something is min. north latitude, and this it