PROM THURSDAY'S DAILY MAY 5.

Released.-To-day, Brother William Geddes, of Weber County, was released from the penitentiary, where he has served a term of six months for living with his wives. He paid his fine of \$100.

Silk Handkerchiefs. — We have been shown, by Mr. John R. Howard, samples of silk handkerchiefs of home manufacture. They have on the corners beautiful and clearly defined representations of the Salt Lake Temple and Z.C.M. I. building. They are made on the Jacquard hand-loom, in the Fourteenth Ward, by James Chalmers. They are of a wide variety of shade and good texture.

shade and good texture.

Suit Stayed.—The suit of John A. Groesbeck et al. vs. Geo. A. Meears, et al., one of a multitude of legal complications growing out of mining interests, has been continued for the term at least. Mr. Meears' attorneys applied to Judge Henderson for an injunction against the case now coming in for trial on the ground that a new suit had been planted by Meears et al. involving issues that should be determined before the other case ought to be heard. The injunction was granted and the case has accordingly gone over.

A Big Mortgage.—The Utah &

case has accordingly gone over.

A Big Mortgage. — The Utah & Northern Raliway Company yesterday filed in the recorder's office at the court house a mortgage, dated July 1, 1886, to the American Loan and Trust Company of Boston. It was made to secure consolidated first mortgage 5 per cent. bonds running forty years, limited to \$15,000 per mile of railroad. The road was 466.18 miles at date of the instrument. There is a prior lien in the shape of a mortgage to Gould and Richardson. This is to be litted and the company contemplate an expenditure of about \$3,000,000 to change its grade to a broad gauge and furnish rolling stock. C. F. Adams as president conveys all the road and roadbed from Ogden to Garrisen, and branches now in existence; subject to the Gould and Richardson mortgages, to the American Loan and Trust Company, in trust to secure the holders of bonds they contemplate issuing to the pany, in trust to secure the holders of bonds they contemplate issuing to the above amount.—Butte Miner, May 3.

Third District Court.—Judge Henderson, agreeable to the exchange made between him and Judge Zane, opened the Third District Court this morning. The first case was the noted Mammoth suit, E. Morris being the plaintiff against the Mammoth Company. This is the fourth time this suit has been up for trial. On the first trial the jury did not agree. On the second, the trial fell through because a relative of Mr. Morris was en the jury; and the last time the jury failed to agree. It is expected the case will continue about two weeks. There were but eighteen jurors in attendance, and as it was believed a jury could not be gathered from among these an open venire was issued for twelve more. It is understood that an attempt was made to compromise some time ago by paying Mr. Morris \$15,000, but he would not consent. Third District Court .- Judge Henwould not consent.

From the Missionary Field.—We had a pleasant call to-day from Elder D.P. Callister, of Fillmore, Millard County, who returned last evening from a mission to Great Britain. He left for his field of labor on April 14th, 1885, and on arriving in England was assigned to the Liverpool Conference, where he performed the duties of a traveling Elder for some eighteen months. He also visited the Isle of Mas. His next field was in the Nottingbam Conference, where he spent two months in the Hucknail district, the Saints in which branch he considers as among the most faithful and energetic he has met with. The remaining portion of the time he labored in the Lincolnshire district of the same conference.

in the Lincolosaire district of the same conference.

He will leave this city to-morrow for his home in Fillmore. He is highly gratified at having had the privilege of aiding in the spread of Gospel truths, having greatly enjoyed his missionary labors.

Civil Service Examination.—Mr Weston Flint, chairman of the board of civil service examiners at Washington, is in this city. On Saturday next an examination of applicants for positions in the several departments of government at Washington will be held in the grand jury room of the Third District Court. The custom is to examine only those making application some time before the examination takes place. Here, however, any desiring may enter, providing they are between the ages of 18 and 45, under and heyond which ages no application can be entertained. This rule, however, does not apply to soldiers—age cuts no figure with them.

The examination will be for but one Civil Service Examination . -

The examination will be for but one day, beginning at 9 o'clock a.m. of Saturday. The board of examiners here will consist of Postmaster Barratt, Guy Willis and L. A. Billing, with Mr. Flint

Willis and L. A. Billing, with Mr. Finit presiding.

The examination will test the competency of applicants in writing, dictation, copying, spelling, arithmetic, composition, geography, the form and nature of government, and on law, while the special examinations for those desiring to enter the state department, signal service office, patent office, library, pension office and to become medical reviewers, topographers and geological surtopographers and geological surveyors, type-writers and stenographers are of a nature that will try the knowledge of the applicant in the directions mentioned. There is also an examination is leasures.

"COFFEE; JOHN" IN TROUBLE.

HE ASSAULTS H. G. WHITNEY OF THE "HERALD."

Yesterday afternoon John A. Fitch-ctte, "Coffee John," rushed out on to the sidewalk in front of his restaurant, and attacked H. G. Whitney, of the Herald, who was passing, striking him a vicious blow in the face. Mr. Whit-ney returned the hlow in self-defense, when a deputy marshal interfered and placed Fitchette under arrest.

The attack grew out of the publication by the Herald, of Fitchette's own confession of his tricky transaction at the time of his alleged sale to S. Brisacher, just prior to his assignment. Fitchette was taken before Commissioner McKay and pleaded guilty Judgment was deferred till this morning.

To-day another charge of threatening to assault Mr. Whitney, was made against Mr. Fitchette, and an exami-nation held. Edward Ivins testified that on Tues-

nation held.

Edward Ivins testified that on Tuesday, May 3, he had been accosted by the defendant and asked concerning the report in the Herald; the defendant said the paper had been "running" him, and the only way to stop it was to whip Mr. Whitney. He would not state positively that the threat were made on Tuesday; was pretty certain that was the day.

Mr. Jessop, of the Tribune, testified that on Tuesday the detendant had made use of language conveying the impression that he would lay Mr. Whitney out if he got a chance; he had afterward said that he would not do it; both statements were made before the assault; witness had warned Mr. Whitney that "Coffee" John was going to "wipe the ground" with him. Henry A. Noon, also of the Tribune testified that on Tuesday he heard defendant say he was going to thrash Mr. Whitney because of an article published in the Herald.

Mr. Fitchette asked that the case be

Mr. Fitchette asked that the case be dismissed because it had not been shown that the threats had been made in Salt Lake City.

In Salt Lake City.

Mr. Dickson remarked that it made no difference where the threats were made, the question was whether there was danger to Mr. Whitney. The evidence showed that the defendant be placed under sufficient bends to keep the peace. He had attempted to carry his threat into effect, and had been stopped. The article published furnished no justification for the defendant's action.

In the case of threatening, the Commissioner remarked that the threat had

missioner remarked that the threat had been carried into execution, and by reason of that fact he would discharge the defendant. In the assault case he would hold the defendant in \$250 ball to await the action of the grand jury. J. W. Farreli and Jos. Obendorfer be-

If the statements made by "Coffee John" in his "confession" on Saturday last are true, it looks as if there was a good case under Section 1006 of the Compiled Laws against him.

THE IMMIGRANTS.

THEY ARRIVE IN SAVETY-TRAVEL OVER A NEW ROUTE.

At five o'clock last evening that portion of the first company of this season's emigration from Europe booked to this city arrived over the D. & R. G. W., in charge of Elder E. P. Callister. At 7:30 p.m. the division for points south of Springville, Utah County, came in on the Utah Central, having traveled via the Union Pacific. Most of those destined to other points were met by friends and relatives at the stations along the line and provided for.

The company left Liverpool on April

line and provided for.

The company left Liverpool on April 16th, in charge of Elder D. P. Callister. There was considerable sickness among the Saints during the ocean voyage, but all recovered. On the arrival at New York on April 27th, a change was made from the usual route traveled. The company re-embarked on one of the Old Dominion Steamship Company's vessels and went down the coast to Norfolk, Va., where the Saints were landed and made the journey overland by rail.

At Chattanooga a St. Louis Globe-

At Chattanooga a St. Louis Globe-Democrat reporter boarded the train, and took a look at the immigrants, of whom in his dispatch to the paper, he Says:

"They came by way of Norfolk, and are the best equipped immigrants who have arrived in this city for many a day. The party is composed of men, women and children, and they all seem intelligent, are well dressed, and all seem to have money. They regard Utah as possessing all the richness of the promised land."

At Kansas City the company was divided, those going north of Ogden and south of Springville, traveling by way of the Union Pacide, in charge of Elder H. P. Eliason, and the others via the D. & R. G. with Elder Callister. All arrived at their destination in excellent health and spirits.

The Elders spoke in terms of praise of the treatment received at the hands of the steamship company and railway officials along the eatire route, and especially that part over which this company made the trip. The officials throughout were courteous, kind and prompt in their rusiness relations.

A SEPTENNARIAN

HELD FOR UNLAWFUL COHABITATION -ADULTERY ADDED.

Last evening Andrew Homer, of Mill Creek, Salt Lake County, was arrested on a charge of unlawful cohabitation made by Deputy Franks. The old gentleman is seventy-one years of age. He was called before Commissioner McKay at 11 o'clock this morning, and pleaded not guilty to the complaint, like was without an attorney. Mr. Dickson prosecuted.

Mrs. Petra Homer was the first witness. She testified—My maiden name is Peterson; I married defendant in 1880, in Salt Lake City; 1 live in Mill Creek Ward; have one child, seventeen months old; the defendant line; he had a wife, Janina, before he married ine.

Mrs. Anna Homer testified—My

me; he had a wife, Janina, before he married ine.

Mrs. Anna Homer testifled—My maiden name is Anderson; I was married to the defendant for the next world; his first-wife's name is Janina; I was married 15 or 16 years ago; Janina lives near Park City; she left himmany years ago, 11 or 12; I live in Mill Creek Ward, by myself; the defendant comes to see me occasionally as a neighbor; I to see me occasionally as a neighbor; I take care of myself; I wanted him to get another wife; he lives with Petra

In reply to the Commissioner, the defendant gave his age at 71 years; he was poor and feeble. He said his first wife had died in the States over 30 years wife had died in the States over 30 years ago; he married again, but his wife left him il years ago; he then had two wives, one of which was for eternity, and with whom he had not lived. In 1890 he married again, and was now living with his wife. He had never lived with but one wife at a time. If he was sent to the penitentiary, and lived long enough to come out, he would have to go back to his present wife, as the one held to be the legal wife had left him five years before the last marriage, and they could not live together.

not live together.

Mrs. Petra Homer stated to the Commissioner that the defendant had lived with her alone, and that she was in fact his only wife.

Mr. Dickson demanded that the defendant he held not only for unlawful

Mr. Dickson demanded that the defendant be held, not only for unlawful cohabitation but also for adultery in living with his third wife.

The Commissioner stated there was probable cause to believe the defendant gullty of unlawful cohabitation and of adultery, in living with his wife Petra, and fixed the bail at \$1,500. The two ladies were also required to give bonds in the sum of \$200 each to appear before the grand jury and at the trial.

MORE REGISTRATION OUT-RAGES.

A NEAT CIRCULAR WITH NEW NAMES.

Reports come from Enreka that the registrat there is doing just about as he wills—the result being that while the Loyal Leaguers are cajoled into taking the oath where they have scruples against it, every bindrauce is put in the way of members of the People's Party registering. We are informed that the fraudulent oath is being offered in every instauce, and that even were the People's Party voters willing to take it, they are questioned until the registrar concludes he would not allow them to subscribe. Whether any detailed authentic information has been forwarded on the subject we are not aware, but nudoutedly there is good reason here for application of the Commission axe.

It may interest the public to know the part certain gentlemen are taking in the registration and election. It has been a matter of notoriety that Dickson, Varian, Bennett and Baskin were advising registrars to ignore the instructions of the Commission, but it was not known generally that Thomas Marshall and P. L. Williams were so zealous in behalf of this work. Of the six names appended to the following circular, two are United States officials and one a Territorial officer. and one a Territorial officer.

SALT LAKE CITY, April 29, 1887.

To the County and Precinct Registrars of Utah Territory:

of Utah Territory:

We, the undersigned, members of the Bar of Utah, have carefully examined the accompanying form of oath, and confidently advise all Registrars and Judges of Election in this Territory that the said oath is in full accord with the so-called Edmunds-Tucker law, and is a suitable oath to be required of all persons before registration, and we will defend, free of cost to you, all actions at law that may be brought against any Registrars or Judges of Election, for the requirement of this oath. Under the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, in the case of Murphy versus Ramsey, it was held that the Utah Commissioners have not the power to prescribe the form of oath to be administered by the Registrars, but that such Registrars form of oath to be administered by the Registrars, but that such Registrars must and shall satisfy themselves that each person applying for registration is entitled to such registration.

(Signéd) . THOMAS MARSHALL, C. S. VABLAN, P. L. WILLIAMS, W. H. DICKSON, R. N. BASKIN, C. W. BENNETT.

mon", in jail it might be worth while to note the position these men would take if territorial officers were to as-sume in a similar circular that the Utah Commission should be ignored.

FROM FRIDAY'S DAILY MAY 6.

The New District Attorney.—Mr George S. Peters, the newly-appointed District Attorney for Utah, vice Wm. H. Dickson, removed, reached Salt Lake City last night, coming over the D. & R. G. He was met by Dickson and Varian, and took quarters at the Walker House. To-day he was present in court and took the oath of office.

Court Notes.—Proceedings in the Third District Court to-day:
George S. Peters was admitted to practice as an attorney.
Mr. Peters filed his commission as United States District Attorney for Utah.

Utah.
Elias Morris vs. the Mammoth Mining Company; settled and dismissed.
The United States vs. May White; adultery; case continued.

Secure Your Passage.—Those who purpose joining the Speuce-Rossiter excursion party to Eugland should, without delay, deposit the amount of are (\$140,00 \$120 where persons take atterage passage on the steamer) with B. H. Scaettler, of Zion's, Savings Bank, Salt Lake City. It is necessary that the managers should know in advance how many berths to secure with Guion & Co. Guion & Co.

Amusing and Annoying.—Brother John S. Ghides, writing from Spanish Fork on the 3d, says that deputies arrested the postmaster of Salem, whom they found in Provo City; he gave \$800 bonds to appear next day in Provo. He appeared before the commissioner and was excused and went back to his family. The reason for his arrest was that a lady, who was teaching school in the neighborhood, boarded at his house. bouse.

Probate Court. - Proceedings in the Salt Lake County Probate Court

the Sait Lake County Fronate Court yesterday:
In the matter of the estate of Elizabeth A. Whitney, deceased, order appointing Charles M. Evans, Robert McEwan and William Fuller, appraisers; Joseph & Kingsbury, administrator

In the matter of the estate of John Masters, deceased; letters of administration granted to Joseph Masters; William J. Lloyd, Geo. H. Knowlden and D. J. Griffiths appointed apprais-

ers.
In the matter of the estate and guardianship of D. W. Moffat et al., minors; order to show cause why sale of real estate should not he made.
The marriage certificate of John Phillips and Bertha Fuller was filed

esterday.

yesterday.

In the matter of the estate of Eric M.
Cast, deceased, order allowing and approving account of administrator.
In the matter of the estate of Emma S. Wright, deceased, an order has been made appointing time and place for settlement of administrator's final account.

settlement of administrator's final account.

In the matter of the estate of Abraham Taylor, inventory and appraisement, by Rufus H. Hardy, Henry Burns and James White, filed.

In the matter of the estate of Dirk Bockholt, deceased, inventory and appraisement, by Robert F. Neslen, Robert T. McEwan and H. Pembroke, filed.

In the matter of the estate of Henry Smith, deceased, order requiring ad-ministratrix to render an account and exhibit.
In the matter of the estate of Samuel

Rahn, deceased; boud of agent for non-resident parties to the Territory, filed and approved.

RELIGIOUS TEST REQUIRED.

APPLICANTS FOR CITIZENSHIP REFUSED BECAUSE OF BELIEF.

Article VI of the Constitution of the United States, says: "No religious test United States, says: "No religious test shall ever he required as a qualificate to any office or public trust under the United States." This provision does not seem to be of much worth with Judge Henderson, as shown by his action in the Third District Court to-day, in dealing with applicants for admission to citizenship.

Carl E. Larsen, after answering a great ways interpropretories as to differ.

The special formula to the cause to the layers of aga.

great many interrogatories as to difference between a republic; and other forms of government, etc., was asked by Judge Henderson, "Do you believe it right for a man to have more than one living and undivorced wife at a time?"

one fiving and indivorced wife at a time?"

Mr. Larsen—I have but one wife.
Court—That is not the question. Do you believe it right for a man to have more than one wife?

Mr. Larsen—Yes, for some men.
One wife is enough for me.
Court—Do you believe, if hereafter you have means enough and are told by the Church authorifies to take another wife, it would be right?

Mr. Larsen.—I do not know what I might do in the future. My full intention is to obey the law of the land.
Court.—You will not say what you will do in the future.

Mr. Larsen.—I will not break the law.

Court-You say you don't know what you may do?
Mr. Larsen-Yes; not what I may

Mr. Larsen — I will not hereafter break the law; I do not intend to break the law. Court—You do not say positively you will not?

Court—You do not say positively you will not?

Mr. Larsen—No; I cannot say what I may possibly do. My intention is not to break the law.

Court—You can learn more of this and make up your mind. This is not a final denial but you can come again before Judge Zane. I nuderstand you to say you wanted to keep the law, but did not know whether you would or not. Under the showing, I do not think you ought to be admitted. You can see Judge Zane. I would not admit a man to citizenship unless he would fully say what he would do in future.

Another applicant this afternoon was Andrew Thomson—He was asked—"You believe in the revelation commanding polygamy?"

Mr. Thomson—Yes, str.

Court—You are a member of the Church?

Mr. Thomson—Yes, sir.

Court—You know of the doctripe of obedience to counsel? What grade in the Church do you belong to?

Mr. Thomson—I am a Teacher.

Court—What is the duty of a Teacher?

Mr. Thomson—To visit the people.

Mr. Thomson—I am a Teacher.
Court—What is the duty of a Teacher?
Mr. Thomson—To visit the people.
Court—Do you teach the doctrines of the Church?
Mr. Thomson—Some of them.
Court—Can you state what you will do with any degree of certainty?
Mr. Thomson—My present intention is to obey the law.
Court—Suppose the First Presidency should direct yor, believing you to be a man of means, to take another wife?
Mr. Thomson—I cannot say that I would do it.
Court—Then you do not take the doctrine of obedience to counsu?
Mr. Thomson—No, sir.
Court—Will you preach against the doctrine of polygamy?
Mr. Thomson—I would not say anything about it.
Court—Would you stand aloof?
Mr. Thomson—I would.
Conrt—And would not obey the commands of the First Presidency? You believe that the people have a right to revelation for themselves?
Mr. Thomson—Yes, sir.
Court—Suppose you were to receive a revelation commanding you to practice polygamy, would you do it?
Mr. Thomson—I never received one.
Court—You say you will obey the laws against polygamy till you receive a revelation to the contrary.
Mr. Thomson—I can't say. I will the contrary.
Mr. Thomson—I can't say. I will the contrary.

a revelation to the contrary. Would you obey that revelation?
Mr. Thomson—I can't say. I will obey the law.
Court—You can say that for now. What of the future?
Mr. Thomson—I don't know.
Court.—You say you may receive revelation. If you should receive a revelation from God hereafter, would you feel bound to obey it?
Mr. Thomson—I may never receive revelation.

Mr. Thomson—I may never receive revelation.

Court—I cannot take that as an answer. You are swearing allegiance for the future. If you acknowledge receiving dispensations from the Almighty, and would obey them as against the United States, you cannot swear to obey the law. Do you think Congress ought to pass laws against polygamy? Do you believe in the Edmunds law?

Mr. Thomson—Congress passed such a law.

Court—Do you believe in that law?

a law.

Court—Do you believe in that law?

Mr. Thompson—I believe it is a law?

Court—Do you believe it ought to be?

Mr. Thomson—Congress had the power to pass it.

Conrt—That is a question of might over right. Do you believe the law right? if yen should receive a revelation from God commanding you to practice polygamy, what would you do?

practice polygamy, what would you do?

Mr. Thomson—I intend to obey the laws of the laud.

Court—If you should receive a revelation from God, how would you reconcile it with the law of the land?

Mr. Thomson—I cannot tell.

Court—You had better think it over, if you believe it your right to receive revelation, I think you cannot take the oath. You had better consider the matter.

The application was denied.

matter.
The application was denied.
Another applicant was denied because he did not satisfy the judge that he came to the country before he was

THE LATEST TEST OATH.

A Lively Spat in Court.

THE MORRIS-MAMMOTH SUIT DISPOSED OF BY COMPROMISE

In the Third District Court yesterday afternoon, it was found necessary to call on the open venire to fill the panel of the jury in the suit of Elias Morris vs. The Mammoth Mining Company One of those thus summoned was Joshua Midgley, the painter. He passed satisfactorily as to fits general qualifications, and was called by Judge Henderson, who was on the bench, to take the oath prescribed by the Edmunds-Tucker law.

The cierk handed to the Judge his book containing the forms of oath. Judge Henderson inquired—Is this the form Judge Zane has adopted?

Clerk McMillan replied that that form had been

form had been APPROVED BY JUDGE KANE,

If sad experience had not taught do.

The court—Then you cannot take the court of the proceeded to read the new term of path, as follows: