DESERET NEWS: WEEKLY.

TARLES PROTE AND LIBERTY.

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY THE DESERET NEWS COMPANY

CHARLES W. PENROSE, EDITOR.

WEDNESDAY, - APRIL 27, 1887.

MARITAL RIGHTS IN OHIO.

THE bill establishing the property rights of married women, which became a law in Ohio on the 19th of March, has already been commented upoù in these columns. Married wohen have enjoyed similar rights is to bolding property in Utah ever since 1872. Ohio is shead of several of the States but following in the wake of this Territory in that particular. There are some previsions in the Ohio act that are not common in statutory law and are worthy of consideration. We therefore append here a number of sections which other States might adopt with profit.
"Section 5108. Husband and wife contract toward each, other obligations of mutual respect, fidelity and supathrt.

of mutual respect, identy and suptor,
"Sec. 3100. The husband is the head
of the family. He may choose any reasonable place or mode of living, and
the wife must conform thereto.

"Sec. 3110. The husband must suppart himself, his wife and his minor
children out of his property or by his
labor. If he is unable to do so, the
wife must assist him so far as she is

"Sec. 311, Neither husband nor wife has any interest in the property of the other, except its mentioned in Sections, 3110 and 4188, but neither, can be excluded from the other's dwelling. "Sec. 3112. A-husband or wife may enter into any engagement or transaction with the other, or with any other person, which either might if unmarried, subject, in transactions between themselves; to the general rules which control the actions of persons occupying confidential relations with each other.

"Sec. 1313. A husband and wife can not, by supercontract with each other alteration degal relations, except that they may agree to an immediate separation, and may make provision for the support of either of them and their children during the senartion.

children during the separation.)

'Sec. 3114. A married person may take, hold and dispose of property, real or personal, the same as if unmar-

"Sec. 3115. Neither husband nor

"Sec. 3116. Neither husband nor wife, as such, is answerable for the acts of the other.

"Sec. 3116. If the husband neglects to make adequate provision for the support of his wife, any other person may, in good faith, supply her with necessaries for her support, and recorrect the reasonable, value thereof from her husband.

her husband.

"Sec. 3117. If the wife abandons the husband, he is not liable for her support until she offers to return, unless she was justified, by his misconduct, in abandoning him."

ELECTRICITY AND STEAM.

Ox March 30th the Boston express train

EMBOTRICITY AND STRAM

(IN Mark Bills in Botton expressed that Review of the served of

an automatic dripand does not return to the bolier. The iter drystems is thus enabled to do its perfect work. The cars were warmed yesterday on a very low pressure, despite the March with the proper will still glance hastily round and look stealthily over their still back of the rearries of the March will be an one of a long and the train back of the rearries furnace under the back of series and the same and the traveling public is alive to the enecessity for these improvements by rearries of the rearries of the same of a long series of terrible accounts of a long series of terrible account and the provided of the professional mediums, these spirits of the profes

MODERN SUPERSTITIONS.

THE ghost business is being revived in this country. Indeed it may be said that it is receiving a "boom." "Haunted houses" are common in the States. Spooks are seen in all kinds of places and under a variety of circumstances. Ghost stories find their way into reputable papers and dissertations on mysterious manifestations are published in magazines of respectability. Spiritualism, so-called, is largely answerable for this revival of old superstitions, and the table tap-

he was sent to Sing Sing for life, for the murder of August Bohl. His physical strength before the trial was great, and his steadiness of nerve in court was surprising, but his stamina is all gone and he has become a muserable, cowering wreck. On the first morning in the prison he told a keeper morning in the prison he told a keeper that he had been visited during the night by his dismembered victim who had proceeded to reconstruct himself, in the terrined prisoner's presence. This dream he could not be disabled of. He seemed to believe it was a reality. Every night it came to him, and the end of a week he was much to ken down. In the hospital it has been the same with him, except where is kept very soundly asleep under narcotic influence. He dreams that the mangled pieces of his friend are strewn about the room where they lie awhile maminately as they were before he packed the body in the trunk and threw the head into the river. Then the fragments begin to quiver. Soon they move slowly toward each other until they are in a heap. Next they adjust themselves into a human form. But the head is missing. At length that too comes into the room with its hair dripping with the water of the river in which it has lain. With a horrible simile on its face, it places itself on the shoulders of the figure and mensces the murderer. Unger shrieks out at this point, and the apparition vanishes from his imagination. All efforts have failed to relieve him of these visions, and the prison physician advises his removal to the state asylum for lunatic criminals. that he had been visited during the

take live dry steam from the dome of The spook was hurt and angry and the docomotive and conduct it clinched with the stone-thrower, who trouble in that State from a peculiar to the beat throughly. The distribute the heat throughly the cars on as to distribute the heat throughly. The distribute the heat throughly the cars on as to distribute the heat throughly the cars on as to distribute the heat throughly the cars on the system are an automatic pressure regulating valves on the other of mons? Of the guilty parties objected to their being dealt with for their ideal to would ensure. The Eiders on the other heat day to take the bear and the bargain sparted would ensure the Eiders on the other which he had been carrying on for funtile with the treatment of the being dealt with for their ideal to the being dealt with for their ideal to the being dealt with for their ideal to the distribute the different cars may be kept at varying temperatures. The which he had been carrying on for funtile would ensure the being dealt with for their ideal through the cars on the other her ideal through the cars of the carse and to the carse of the carse and to the carse of the carse of the carse of the carse and to the carse of the carse upon the Elders grew out of this pecu-liar cause.

EVARTS AND THE INTER-STATE COMMERCE LAW.

SENATOR EVARTS, it is said, has a case in hand in which he proposes to test the Constitutional sufficiency of the inter-state commerce law, it being understood that he has all along inclined to the opinion that it is a worthless enactment. Just what particular point or points the Senator will bring to the consideration of the courts is not yet disclosed, but it is more than probable that one of them will be the that one of them will be the clauses in the law which directly or indirectly impair the validity of contracts, and that this will figure extensively. Mr. Evarts' mere opinion on such a subject is significant, for he is not only a thorough politician and legal practitioner, but is legal philosopher as well, and the analytical and thorough consideration he gives to all his subjects before proceeding to a test of them is a matter of public notoricty. If he has decided that the law is unconstitutional, the courts are very apt to take the same view.

WORTHY OF SUPPORT.

The movement fon a library and free reading room in this city has seemed to lag a little, but from the minutes of & meeting in its support to be found in another part of this paper, it will be seen that an organized effort is in process to carry it forward to completion. We think the object in view very landable and that it should receive the

We think the object in view very laudable and that it should receive the support of our active men and women in every Ward. As it is to be under strict supervision and to be protected against improper associations and influences, if it is carried on according to its spirit and design it cannot fall to be of great benefit to the community.

Anything that will draw our young people from idle pursuits and evil company and tend to elevate them in the scale of intelligence and promote good behavior, good taste and good morals, should be halled with delight by older persons and receive the help that is needed from those who have means and influence.

It is to be hoped that every Ward will take interest in the institution and that contributions of money and books will flow inthrough the channels that have been opened, so that the library may be well stocked in the start, that the free reading room may be calculated to attract our youth, and that the institution ray become permanent and thoroughly adapted to the end in view.

Will our live and progressive bretheren and sisters take notice of the measures set forth in the minutes of the meeting, and come forward with a will to support so praiseworthy and needful a movement?

WE WILL HAVE NO GAG-

"ARR you willing to take an oath that you will not hereafter, under any circumstances, preach or teach polygamy or plural marriage?"
"No, I am net willing to do that," Challenged and excused.

The foregoing is taken from a verbatim report of proceedings in the Third District Court on Monday. The question was asked by United States District: Attorney Dickson, just removed from office, but acting until the qualification of his successor; the person who made the answer was Edward Snelgrove, a citizen summoned to serve as petit juror for the April term; the Judge on the seach who sustained the challenge and dismissed the juror, for this cause, was Chief Justice Charles S. Zane. These proceedings ought to be pub-

lished in every paper in the United States that professes any regard for states that professes any regard tor right, justice and the liberty of law. In answer to another question, the juror said: "I am willing to take the oath prescribed in the Edmunds-Tucker bill." That is the quiy oath which the 'aw req vires of a juror after examination on his voir dire. There was no other oath presented to any juror? But the gentleman named and about twenty other. "Mormone" were excluded from jury service, though all willing to take the fawlin oath, because they would not say they were willing to take an oath for which there is no provision in law, and which was not and could not be required in fact of any juror. The only oath presented to those who were accepted as jurors, was the oath that all who were rejected expressed their willingness to take. Does not that of itself demonstrate the illegality of the interrogations of the District Attorney and of the rejection of the jurors by Judge Zane?

We need not tell any one acquainted with the Constitution and laws of the right, justice and the liberty of law. In

We need not tell any one acquainted with the Constitution and laws of the United States and familiar with the genus of our national institutions, that there is 'no warrant under them for such a test as that imposed by arbitrary authority on "Mormon" jurors on Monday. Everybody should know that there is nelther law her precedent for it. Neither is there any excuse. "Mormon" jurors were not wanted on the panel for this term, and the means adopted were viewed as the most feasible to effect the desired purpose. Is it likely that such proceedings will increase the respect of the "Mormon" people for those individuals wno are supposed to represent the majesty of the law in Juah?

The right to believe in any theory or

The right to believe in any theory or doctrine, no matter how foolish or obnoxious it may be to others, is sacred to every American citizen. Correlative with it is the right of free speech; that is, no advocate, defend and promulgate that belief so longias the law is not broken or any other person's rights are not infringed thereby. A citizan called to serve his country as a juror does not ferfeit these liberties, guaranteed by the highest law of the land. If he is possessed of the statutory qualifications and is ready to take such oath or, oaths as the law prescribes, there cannot be any question of the fact that other tests are not required and are positive ly unlawful and unconstitutional,

A citizen, a juror or an office holder