

# SMELTERS SETTLE WITH FARMERS

Agreement Reached Between Both Sides That is Perfectly Satisfactory to All.

MURRAY PLANT OF A. S. & R.

Statements Made by Chairman of Committee and Manager of Big Plant.

How That Under Agreement Farmers' Association Has the Right to Inspect Smelters at Any Time.

Official announcement was made today for the first time that the smelter smoke controversy, which has been pending between the farmers of the Salt Lake valley and the American Smelting & Refining company has been settled. That is, an agreement has been reached whereby the smelting company will be permitted to continue the practice of smelting lead ore at the Murray plant, provided that it will indemnify the farmers for all damages to the valley and the American Smelting & Refining company will be permitted to continue the practice of smelting lead ore at the Murray plant, provided that it will indemnify the farmers for all damages to the valley.

Mr. F. W. Scofield, manager of the American Smelting & Refining company, says: "After a considerable period of careful and difficult negotiation with the farmers' committee, we have reached an agreement which is satisfactory to the company and which I have reason to believe will be satisfactory to the farmers. The agreement provides for the payment of \$100,000 to the farmers for damages to the valley, and for the payment of \$100,000 to the company for damages to the smelter. The agreement also provides for the payment of \$100,000 to the farmers for damages to the valley, and for the payment of \$100,000 to the company for damages to the smelter."

Mr. J. C. Mackey, chairman of the farmers' committee, said that he had never been so satisfied with the result of the negotiations as he is now. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company.

Mr. J. C. Mackey, chairman of the farmers' committee, said that he had never been so satisfied with the result of the negotiations as he is now. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company.

Mr. J. C. Mackey, chairman of the farmers' committee, said that he had never been so satisfied with the result of the negotiations as he is now. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company.

Mr. J. C. Mackey, chairman of the farmers' committee, said that he had never been so satisfied with the result of the negotiations as he is now. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company.

Mr. J. C. Mackey, chairman of the farmers' committee, said that he had never been so satisfied with the result of the negotiations as he is now. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company.

Mr. J. C. Mackey, chairman of the farmers' committee, said that he had never been so satisfied with the result of the negotiations as he is now. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company.

Mr. J. C. Mackey, chairman of the farmers' committee, said that he had never been so satisfied with the result of the negotiations as he is now. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company. He said that the agreement was a great victory for the farmers, and that it was a great victory for the smelting company.

# THAW'S COUNSEL AGREEMENT ON CHURCH CONTRACTS

Believe Jerome Trying to Shape Matters so as to Secure a Commission in Lunacy.

THEY WILL FIGHT THIS HARD.

"We Don't Want the Boy Declared Insane. We Want Him Acquitted," Says One of Them.

New York, Feb. 15.—Close observers of the Thaw trial, which has been postponed for several days because of the death of a juror's wife, believe the present trend of the trial as shown in the last two days, is toward a commission in lunacy to determine officially Harry Thaw's condition of mind. This belief is suggested by Dist. Atty. Jerome's apparent willingness to admit part of the will, by his readiness to withhold technical objections to the testimony of Thaw's family physicians, tending to throw light upon the defendant's mental status and by his policy in admitting without opposition the conversation between Thaw and Dr. Evans when the latter was examining the prisoner at the Tombs.

Counsel for Thaw have reached the opinion that the trial may be terminated abruptly any day next week from this cause. So fully convinced are they that Jerome will make this move that they are planning to fight him on this line. Thaw himself is credited with having declared that he would not face the asylum in preference to being hanged and the death chair, so it can be seen with what feeling this expected move of Mr. Jerome is creating in the camp of the defense.

One of Thaw's lawyers, in speaking of the probable plan for a lunacy commission, said yesterday: "As the case has progressed for 10 days to date, we have become more and more convinced that the move toward a lunacy commission is the only one that is possible. We are observing the defendant for him that it has been, and is his purpose to lead the case to the point where he can apply properly for a commission in lunacy."

"We don't want the boy declared insane," said one of the defense attorneys. "We want him acquitted." The resumption of the trial on Monday will depend on how Juror Bolton, who died yesterday, stands in the ordeal put on him by this affliction. It was the general opinion in court yesterday that Bolton, who appears to be a man of determination, will stand the strain and that the trial will be continued. Dr. Evans will then go on the stand again and it is expected that he will try to substantiate his opinion that Thaw was insane in August by giving Thaw's own words to him during three examinations. Following this testimony from the defendant's expert, it is expected that Dist. Atty. Jerome will be prepared to make his application to the court for a commission in lunacy. If it should be following the admission of testimony from Dr. Evans, Mrs. Thaw will not have to complete her story, nor will she have to face a cross examination, which many have predicted would be a terrible deal for her. Mr. Jerome when questioned last night on the subject of the possible close of the trial, said he was not prepared to say anything, but he said that he would not be surprised if a commission in lunacy were granted.

Dr. Josiah Strong, president of the American Institute for the Deaf and Blind, said today that Harry K. Thaw could not break up a den of vice in this city which was protected by a wealthy man. Thaw did not say where it was located, but Dr. Strong said the young man told him such a story that it was "Death has again invaded Harry Thaw's environment. Close upon the death of the wife of Juror Bolton came the death of the wife of Juror Bolton last night of Michael Downey, who for months has been Thaw's night keeper upon the second tier of cells, which is known as the "murderer's row." Downey had been a keeper in the Tombs for more than 28 years. When Harry Thaw first came to the Tombs, Downey did not look for favor upon the new prisoner. But during the long watches of the night when Thaw paced up and down the narrow confines of his cell, he and Downey sprang up together to meet the prisoner. When Downey was buried tomorrow, a wreath bearing Thaw's name will rest upon the casket. Thaw sent the casket to the funeral home, Thaw Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

# THAW'S COUNSEL AGREEMENT ON CHURCH CONTRACTS

Believe Jerome Trying to Shape Matters so as to Secure a Commission in Lunacy.

THEY WILL FIGHT THIS HARD.

"We Don't Want the Boy Declared Insane. We Want Him Acquitted," Says One of Them.

New York, Feb. 15.—Close observers of the Thaw trial, which has been postponed for several days because of the death of a juror's wife, believe the present trend of the trial as shown in the last two days, is toward a commission in lunacy to determine officially Harry Thaw's condition of mind. This belief is suggested by Dist. Atty. Jerome's apparent willingness to admit part of the will, by his readiness to withhold technical objections to the testimony of Thaw's family physicians, tending to throw light upon the defendant's mental status and by his policy in admitting without opposition the conversation between Thaw and Dr. Evans when the latter was examining the prisoner at the Tombs.

Counsel for Thaw have reached the opinion that the trial may be terminated abruptly any day next week from this cause. So fully convinced are they that Jerome will make this move that they are planning to fight him on this line. Thaw himself is credited with having declared that he would not face the asylum in preference to being hanged and the death chair, so it can be seen with what feeling this expected move of Mr. Jerome is creating in the camp of the defense.

One of Thaw's lawyers, in speaking of the probable plan for a lunacy commission, said yesterday: "As the case has progressed for 10 days to date, we have become more and more convinced that the move toward a lunacy commission is the only one that is possible. We are observing the defendant for him that it has been, and is his purpose to lead the case to the point where he can apply properly for a commission in lunacy."

"We don't want the boy declared insane," said one of the defense attorneys. "We want him acquitted." The resumption of the trial on Monday will depend on how Juror Bolton, who died yesterday, stands in the ordeal put on him by this affliction. It was the general opinion in court yesterday that Bolton, who appears to be a man of determination, will stand the strain and that the trial will be continued. Dr. Evans will then go on the stand again and it is expected that he will try to substantiate his opinion that Thaw was insane in August by giving Thaw's own words to him during three examinations. Following this testimony from the defendant's expert, it is expected that Dist. Atty. Jerome will be prepared to make his application to the court for a commission in lunacy. If it should be following the admission of testimony from Dr. Evans, Mrs. Thaw will not have to complete her story, nor will she have to face a cross examination, which many have predicted would be a terrible deal for her. Mr. Jerome when questioned last night on the subject of the possible close of the trial, said he was not prepared to say anything, but he said that he would not be surprised if a commission in lunacy were granted.

Dr. Josiah Strong, president of the American Institute for the Deaf and Blind, said today that Harry K. Thaw could not break up a den of vice in this city which was protected by a wealthy man. Thaw did not say where it was located, but Dr. Strong said the young man told him such a story that it was "Death has again invaded Harry Thaw's environment. Close upon the death of the wife of Juror Bolton came the death of the wife of Juror Bolton last night of Michael Downey, who for months has been Thaw's night keeper upon the second tier of cells, which is known as the "murderer's row." Downey had been a keeper in the Tombs for more than 28 years. When Harry Thaw first came to the Tombs, Downey did not look for favor upon the new prisoner. But during the long watches of the night when Thaw paced up and down the narrow confines of his cell, he and Downey sprang up together to meet the prisoner. When Downey was buried tomorrow, a wreath bearing Thaw's name will rest upon the casket. Thaw sent the casket to the funeral home, Thaw Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

# THAW'S COUNSEL AGREEMENT ON CHURCH CONTRACTS

Believe Jerome Trying to Shape Matters so as to Secure a Commission in Lunacy.

THEY WILL FIGHT THIS HARD.

"We Don't Want the Boy Declared Insane. We Want Him Acquitted," Says One of Them.

New York, Feb. 15.—Close observers of the Thaw trial, which has been postponed for several days because of the death of a juror's wife, believe the present trend of the trial as shown in the last two days, is toward a commission in lunacy to determine officially Harry Thaw's condition of mind. This belief is suggested by Dist. Atty. Jerome's apparent willingness to admit part of the will, by his readiness to withhold technical objections to the testimony of Thaw's family physicians, tending to throw light upon the defendant's mental status and by his policy in admitting without opposition the conversation between Thaw and Dr. Evans when the latter was examining the prisoner at the Tombs.

Counsel for Thaw have reached the opinion that the trial may be terminated abruptly any day next week from this cause. So fully convinced are they that Jerome will make this move that they are planning to fight him on this line. Thaw himself is credited with having declared that he would not face the asylum in preference to being hanged and the death chair, so it can be seen with what feeling this expected move of Mr. Jerome is creating in the camp of the defense.

One of Thaw's lawyers, in speaking of the probable plan for a lunacy commission, said yesterday: "As the case has progressed for 10 days to date, we have become more and more convinced that the move toward a lunacy commission is the only one that is possible. We are observing the defendant for him that it has been, and is his purpose to lead the case to the point where he can apply properly for a commission in lunacy."

"We don't want the boy declared insane," said one of the defense attorneys. "We want him acquitted." The resumption of the trial on Monday will depend on how Juror Bolton, who died yesterday, stands in the ordeal put on him by this affliction. It was the general opinion in court yesterday that Bolton, who appears to be a man of determination, will stand the strain and that the trial will be continued. Dr. Evans will then go on the stand again and it is expected that he will try to substantiate his opinion that Thaw was insane in August by giving Thaw's own words to him during three examinations. Following this testimony from the defendant's expert, it is expected that Dist. Atty. Jerome will be prepared to make his application to the court for a commission in lunacy. If it should be following the admission of testimony from Dr. Evans, Mrs. Thaw will not have to complete her story, nor will she have to face a cross examination, which many have predicted would be a terrible deal for her. Mr. Jerome when questioned last night on the subject of the possible close of the trial, said he was not prepared to say anything, but he said that he would not be surprised if a commission in lunacy were granted.

Dr. Josiah Strong, president of the American Institute for the Deaf and Blind, said today that Harry K. Thaw could not break up a den of vice in this city which was protected by a wealthy man. Thaw did not say where it was located, but Dr. Strong said the young man told him such a story that it was "Death has again invaded Harry Thaw's environment. Close upon the death of the wife of Juror Bolton came the death of the wife of Juror Bolton last night of Michael Downey, who for months has been Thaw's night keeper upon the second tier of cells, which is known as the "murderer's row." Downey had been a keeper in the Tombs for more than 28 years. When Harry Thaw first came to the Tombs, Downey did not look for favor upon the new prisoner. But during the long watches of the night when Thaw paced up and down the narrow confines of his cell, he and Downey sprang up together to meet the prisoner. When Downey was buried tomorrow, a wreath bearing Thaw's name will rest upon the casket. Thaw sent the casket to the funeral home, Thaw Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

Warden Flynn soon after he heard of the keeper's death.

# MRS. NELSON AND HER COLLEGE FEET

Husband Says Wife Tried to Warm Them on His Back.

THEN THE TROUBLE STARTED

Not "Hubble" Any Man Who Would Not Let Wife Warm "Tootsies" Ought to be "Strung Up."

Principal D. A. Nelson of the Jackson school had his turn in the divorce proceedings brought against him by his wife, Claudia McCready Nelson, today in Judge Armstrong's courtroom. Fred Nelson made a general denial of the statements of cruelty made by his wife yesterday while on the witness stand and intimated that the trouble between them was due more to his wife's inclination to have him run around after her subject to her back and call on the least little thing and also to her mother's interference than to any conduct on his part. He intimated that had her mother kept out of their domestic affairs all would have been happiness between them instead of a contract ending in the divorce court.

Most of the morning session was taken up in the examination of five other witnesses for the McCready side. P. Spier, a telephone man, testified about the trouble with Mrs. Nelson over the placing of a telephone in the house. His testimony showed that Mrs. Nelson did not tell her husband the exact truth about the telephone difficulty told by her yesterday. Miss Olive Morris and Mrs. Rose Storrier, teachers in the city schools, both testified that Mrs. Nelson had told them about how happy she was on their honeymoon. They told her that Mr. Nelson was a good husband and that she should be happy. She replied: "If he is as good a husband as you say, you can find him here."

Miss Jane Cutler testified that she saw Mr. and Mrs. Nelson in a store after their return from their wedding trip and that they seemed very happy and that they were simply "beaming" with happiness. NELSON TELLS HIS STORY. Mr. Nelson was then called to the stand and related his story. He said that he was engaged to his wife about three years before their marriage, and that he was a good husband and that she should be happy. She replied: "If he is as good a husband as you say, you can find him here."

After their return they went to live with her parents. The defendant then explained the incident about the piano. He said that he had called her a liar. His version of it is that he asked her about the piano and if she owned it, and she gave him an evasive answer. He found out that she did not own it and was somewhat disgusted as he had figured on it for furnishing up his parlor. He said that he did not call her a liar on that occasion.

WHY HE WENT TO HOTEL. He testified that the reason he left the McCready house on Sept. 24 was because Mrs. Nelson had interfered with him when he and his wife were having a discussion about who should be invited to their party. Mrs. McCready, he said, told him to go to the hotel and he turned to her and told her that if he could not advise his wife without her interfering he would leave the house. He was on his way to the hotel when he saw her and she told him that she could not get his wife away from him.

STORY OF COLD FEET. Mr. Nelson caused a sensation in court when he explained the reason for leaving his wife in bed alone and going to another room to sleep. He stated that he had gone to bed and got the bed warm while his wife attended to some duties in the kitchen. When she came to bed her feet were very cold and she put them on his back to warm them, whereupon he simply removed them. She then made the remark that any man who would not let his wife warm her feet on his back when they were as cold as hers should be strung up. Witness then told his wife that if she was so cold she should get up and get the bed warm. He said that he had gone to bed and got the bed warm while his wife attended to some duties in the kitchen. When she came to bed her feet were very cold and she put them on his back to warm them, whereupon he simply removed them. She then made the remark that any man who would not let his wife warm her feet on his back when they were as cold as hers should be strung up. Witness then told his wife that if she was so cold she should get up and get the bed warm.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

# MRS. NELSON AND HER COLLEGE FEET

Husband Says Wife Tried to Warm Them on His Back.

THEN THE TROUBLE STARTED

Not "Hubble" Any Man Who Would Not Let Wife Warm "Tootsies" Ought to be "Strung Up."

Principal D. A. Nelson of the Jackson school had his turn in the divorce proceedings brought against him by his wife, Claudia McCready Nelson, today in Judge Armstrong's courtroom. Fred Nelson made a general denial of the statements of cruelty made by his wife yesterday while on the witness stand and intimated that the trouble between them was due more to his wife's inclination to have him run around after her subject to her back and call on the least little thing and also to her mother's interference than to any conduct on his part. He intimated that had her mother kept out of their domestic affairs all would have been happiness between them instead of a contract ending in the divorce court.

Most of the morning session was taken up in the examination of five other witnesses for the McCready side. P. Spier, a telephone man, testified about the trouble with Mrs. Nelson over the placing of a telephone in the house. His testimony showed that Mrs. Nelson did not tell her husband the exact truth about the telephone difficulty told by her yesterday. Miss Olive Morris and Mrs. Rose Storrier, teachers in the city schools, both testified that Mrs. Nelson had told them about how happy she was on their honeymoon. They told her that Mr. Nelson was a good husband and that she should be happy. She replied: "If he is as good a husband as you say, you can find him here."

Miss Jane Cutler testified that she saw Mr. and Mrs. Nelson in a store after their return from their wedding trip and that they seemed very happy and that they were simply "beaming" with happiness. NELSON TELLS HIS STORY. Mr. Nelson was then called to the stand and related his story. He said that he was engaged to his wife about three years before their marriage, and that he was a good husband and that she should be happy. She replied: "If he is as good a husband as you say, you can find him here."

After their return they went to live with her parents. The defendant then explained the incident about the piano. He said that he had called her a liar. His version of it is that he asked her about the piano and if she owned it, and she gave him an evasive answer. He found out that she did not own it and was somewhat disgusted as he had figured on it for furnishing up his parlor. He said that he did not call her a liar on that occasion.

WHY HE WENT TO HOTEL. He testified that the reason he left the McCready house on Sept. 24 was because Mrs. Nelson had interfered with him when he and his wife were having a discussion about who should be invited to their party. Mrs. McCready, he said, told him to go to the hotel and he turned to her and told her that if he could not advise his wife without her interfering he would leave the house. He was on his way to the hotel when he saw her and she told him that she could not get his wife away from him.

STORY OF COLD FEET. Mr. Nelson caused a sensation in court when he explained the reason for leaving his wife in bed alone and going to another room to sleep. He stated that he had gone to bed and got the bed warm while his wife attended to some duties in the kitchen. When she came to bed her feet were very cold and she put them on his back to warm them, whereupon he simply removed them. She then made the remark that any man who would not let his wife warm her feet on his back when they were as cold as hers should be strung up. Witness then told his wife that if she was so cold she should get up and get the bed warm. He said that he had gone to bed and got the bed warm while his wife attended to some duties in the kitchen. When she came to bed her feet were very cold and she put them on his back to warm them, whereupon he simply removed them. She then made the remark that any man who would not let his wife warm her feet on his back when they were as cold as hers should be strung up. Witness then told his wife that if she was so cold she should get up and get the bed warm.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel. He said that he had called her a liar and that he had gone to the hotel.

After the afternoon session yesterday Mr. Nelson testified in his own defense. He said that he had called