

FAILED TO PAY LIQUOR LICENSE.

Italian Trifles with Uncle Sam and Lands in the County Jail.

BINCHAM CANYON SALOON MAN

Complainant Sworn to by Deputy Revenue Collector Stewart—Smyth Makes Arrest.

There is much sorrow in the county jail today, that expresses itself in the choice of Italian explosives, and the author of them all is one Ferdinand Chiavini, who got the idea into his Italian head that liberty in America could exist without license. In this case a license to sell liquor in larger quantities than five gallon kegs at one time to one purchaser. He was warned to come in to Salt Lake and pay up this after time by the internal revenue collectors, and in such instance he promised to do so, and break his promise. Today he was brought in to Chief Deputy U. S. Marshal Smyth and turned over to the county jail for safe keeping. The complainant against him is made out at the instance of Deputy Internal Revenue Collector Stewart, and the punishment for the offense of which he is accused is a fine of not less than \$1,000, and such offenders, no more than \$4,000, with imprisonment of not less than six months nor more than five years. It is stated that the revenue officers have many cases against Chiavini that it will make his punishment indefinitely prolonged.

FIRST IN EIGHT YEARS.

The case is the first prosecution for violating the internal revenue law in regard to liquor selling without having paid the federal license, that the department has undertaken in Utah during the last eight years. A man was arrested on a similar charge but he settled the matter before it came to a trial and Dist. Atty. C. O. Whitemore dismissed the case. The trouble with that defendant was that he developed a propensity for the saloon, which was excited and threw much of that during his first few weeks of arrest to make the officers glad to have him off their hands.

SUSPECTED OF ARSON.

This time there is an exciting difference to the story. The suspect of his saloon was located at Bincham Canyon Club, and it was the spot where the disastrous fire broke out last week that destroyed a dozen houses in the vicinity of the Yampa smelter, and for a time threatened to destroy the town. The suspect in this case claimed as the originator of the fire as he had just returned from Salt Lake where he had increased his insurance, and was already heavily insured. He was arrested at the time on suspicion and merely released on his own recognizance pending further investigation, meanwhile was being attacked over among the revenue collectors, and it was decided that the time had come to stop taking his promises to come in and settle his case, and to bring him to face a trial and the severe penalties of the law which had seemed to consider lightly in his business.

A QUICK ARREST.

The warrant was sworn out before Justice Baldwin this morning, and Marshal Smyth caught the morning train to Bincham to make the arrest, and soon after the arrival of the 15 minutes the train stops there, sending in on the return trip with his prisoner.

NAMPA'S JUBILATION.

To Celebrate Fourth and Sugar Factory Together—Senator Smoot to Speak.

Speech to the "News."

Nampa, June 30.—Nampa's celebration of the Fourth of July will be one of the most notable in her history, the general feeling of the citizens being that the date should be celebrated in a double way, first from the standpoint of patriotism, second as a sort of jubilation over the entrance into Nampa of Utah capitalists, who are to erect a million-dollar sugar factory there. The sugar factory is to be the great industry means for the valley. Senator Dubois on the other hand made a number of slurring remarks which could only be construed as throwing cold water on the enterprise, because Utah citizens were to furnish the capital, and Senator Dubois' remarks were in very questionable taste. Senator Dubois is known to have written letters to Nampa people in hostility to the Mormon sugar beet industry, though an impression has always been made that he was sent here to deliver the Fourth of July oration here and having consented he will be the guest of honor of the occasion.

WEATHER IS WARM.

Mercur Bit 85 at One O'Clock Today And Was Still Climbing.

The weather is becoming warmer as it becomes settled, and it seems that the season of precipitation is past. Temperatures are averaging high all over the country. The mercury was 84 in this city yesterday, and at 1 o'clock today it was 88, with an upward tendency.

BOOM OF THE BANKS.

Tremendous Increases in June Clearings Over Same Month Last Year.

Today's local bank clearings amounted to \$48,188.39 as against \$36,536.00 for the same day last year. The clearings for the month of June just closed amounted to \$18,25,804.61 as against \$11,677,886.11 for the month of June of last year; an increase of 17,47,919.50.

SUMMER COLDS.

Laxative Dr. Bruno Quinton, the world-wide cold cure, removes the cause. Call for the full name and look for signature of Dr. W. Grove, M.D.

FOR ACCOUNTING OF CHURCH FUNDS

(Continued from page 1.)

Dolan v. Mayor, 4 Gill, 394; 68 Am. St. Rep. 368.

"A bill is demurrable which fails to show that the complainant has an interest in the subject matter and title to sue concerning it." 1 Beach's *Enc. Pl. Proc.* Sec. 196, and cases cited in note.

See Note as to who is the real party in interest within the meaning of the statute, defining the party by whom an action may be brought. 64 L.R.A. 2d 183-184 Note.

The plaintiffs, as mere members of the Church, have no interest in the subject matter of the action, and no proper title to institute a suit concerning the devise and bequests complained of and the times and places when and where they were made, also the several amounts thereto so that they may be proved, and the amount due to the complainants.

Such an allegation as that contained in plaintiffs' complaint is never sufficient, when challenged by demurser, and must be made specific and definite before the defendant will be required to answer. *Rev. Stats. of Utah, 1890, Sec. 2, 3 Desiring Code of Civil Proc.* Note to Sec. 499.

DESERET EVENING NEWS: FRIDAY, JUNE 30, 1905.

legal conclusions. Bills on Code Proofs, Sec. 419-412 inclusive.

Paragraph 8 of the amended complaint is ambiguous, unintelligible and uncertain because it does not name the persons who are alleged to have devised, bequeathed or donated real or personal property to the church.

The complainant thus various persons, from time to time by their last will and testaments and otherwise, have devised, bequeathed and donated and will continue to give and donate to said church real and personal property, etc., is not sufficient.

The complainants are entitled to know the names of the parties making the devise and bequests complained of and the times and places when and where they were made, also the several amounts thereto so that they may be proved, and the amount due to the complainants.

Such an allegation as that contained in plaintiffs' complaint is never sufficient, when challenged by demurser, and must be made specific and definite before the defendant will be required to answer. *Rev. Stats. of Utah, 1890, Sec. 2, 3 Desiring Code of Civil Proc.* Note to Sec. 499.

INTERNAL REVENUE.

Montana District Shows Heavy Increase In Year's Collections.

Today is the close of the fiscal year in the internal revenue office and Collector Galtier reports that the special tax collections have been about the same as last year, but that there are a great many dealers who have not paid up the total receipts of the office for the year, which will be \$42,000 as compared to \$40,000 for last year, being an increase of \$2,000.

An unusual feature in connection with the revenues this year is that while throughout the east they have fallen below the receipts of last year, the Montana districts of which Mr. Galtier is collector shows a heavy increase. This is due, the collector states, to the increased population in Utah and Idaho and goes to show the marked increase in prosperity in the west as compared to the east.

The official version of the affair puts an entirely new light on it. It is claimed that the mutiny on the *Kniaz Potemkin* was the result of a plot concocted by 28 sailors who were members of a revolutionary organization.

Complaints were filed against the sailors and they were arrested, were tried in a pretense. When the complaint was made to the captain of the battleship he caused the bluejackets to be drawn upon the decks and asked those who were satisfied to step out and it was seen that they were in the majority. Thereupon the majority of the sailors, who were revolutionaries, who were said to have included foreign anarchists, seized the guns and turned them upon their comrades. A bloody scene followed. Nine officers and many men were killed or jumped overboard, and the mutineers took command. The remaining officers, who took possession of the warship. Commander Skopoff was among the killed. The red flag was hoisted when the *Kniaz Potemkin* appeared off Odessa. Then the body of Commander Ober, who was shot through the heart, was thrown according to previous reports taken ashore for burial it was surrounded by crowds of students and revolutionaries, many of whom were Jews. Inflammatory speeches were made, and subsequently some of the revolutionaries, on board the ship, assumed the colors of the army, sympathy and readiness to co-operate with them.

The sailors then turned their attention to the ships in the harbor and the portion of the city along the water front, which was soon on fire in many places.

WEDDING RECEPTION.

Mirth-Provoking Feature of the Fifth Ward Midsummer Carnival.

The Fifth ward midsummer carnival closes tonight with a grand wedding reception. This is the most attractive feature of the jubilee and promises to draw out a large crowd. The principals will not be known until the close of the voting contest at 9:30, when, after a brief intermission, the mirth-provoking reception will be carried out. At present the contest for bride lies between Delta, Sheldon and Emmeline, while James R. Cowan looks on. The maid of honor, who has assumed the colors of the army, sympathetic and ready to co-operate with them.

The sailors then turned their attention to the ships in the harbor and the portion of the city along the water front, which was soon on fire in many places.

HOW THE LIBAU.

MUTINY STARTED.

LIBAU, June 30.—The mutiny started Wednesday night when the sailors of the equipage as the national units are known in Russia, revolted because the food was bad. They were joined immediately by the sixteenth, thirteenth and fifteenth equipages—less blue jacks in all.

The guard at first tried to oppose them, killing one and wounding seven, but the sailors got the upper hand. They seized the armament, broke through the stores securing arms and ammunition. Pandemonium followed throughout the night. The mutineers wrecked the barbican, attacked the quarters of the officers and fired volleys at random until morning. The city was taken over by troops and all were arrested.

All entrances to the city were closed and gradually the sailors were driven into the wood, which they have since held.

Much firing mingled with the hum of machine guns has been heard but every gun, from the fortresses to the streets, was silent now.

Men kept the streets and away from the castle, some distance below town.

Nothing is definitely known except that 1,000 mutineers have surrendered.

The revolutionary agents have seized the opportunity to distribute proclamations from house to house.

CHAUFFEUR KILLS A WOMAN.

NEW YORK, June 30.—Frank C. Poote, a chauffeur for James J. Hill of the Northern Pacific road, while running an automobile, today ran over and injured a woman who was walking across the street near Greeley and Greenway streets.

The woman died half an hour later.

Poote was remanded to the custody of the coroner. The chauffeur was on his way to court to be tried on a charge of speeding the automobile when he struck the woman. Poote struck the machine carefully and said that the woman's death was purely accidental.

The revolutionary agents have seized the opportunity to distribute proclamations from house to house.

SUIT TO STOP JUDGEMENT.

AN ALLEGATION has been filed in the district court today against Salt Lake City against W. H. Bryan to restrain defendant from attempting to collect a judgment of \$16,000 for costs of appeal in a case wherein Baumgarten was plaintiff and Bryan was defendant, which was appealed from the justice court of Murray, Mo., and argued to the supreme court of that state, which affirmed the judgment.

It is asserted that the suit to stop the judgment is based on the fact that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.

It is also asserted that the defendant has filed a motion for a new trial.