

Friday, June 5, 1891.
Day's advertisements are expected to appear in the Evening News on the day when they should be inserted in the Salt Lake Standard and the Standard.

A CALL.
Territorial Central Committee of the People's Party.

A meeting of the Territorial Central Committee of the People's Party of Utah is to be held at the Hotel Utah, Salt Lake City, on Wednesday, June 10th, 1891, at 2 p. m., to consider the present political situation.

FRAGMENTS.
The Salt Lake Standard's editorial tonight.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

The Standard's editorial tonight is a very good one.

More Opinions Handed Down By The Judge This Morning.

TWO RAILROAD CASES SETTLED.

Judge Anderson Returns, Having Almost Recovered From His Illness.

All the judges were present at the opening of the Territorial Supreme court this morning, and some time was occupied in the reading of opinions in cases submitted for argument.

A REAL ESTATE CONTROVERSY.
Judge Anderson delivered the judgment of the court in the case of the Corinne Mill and Flour Company, appellants, vs. William Johnson, respondent.

This was an appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). It was a real estate dispute, the original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

Justice Miner and Blackburn concur.
RECEIPTS.
The case of Dwight Park, et al., vs. Corinne, Bess, appellants, was argued and submitted. It comes on appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

The judgment of the district court was set aside, Chief Justice Zane and Justice Blackburn and Miller concurring.

A CHILD KILLER.
The case of William E. Hyde, respondent, vs. the State of Utah, appellant, was another appeal from the First district court (H. T. Henderson, Judge). The original complaint alleging that on June 1st, 1885, the defendant unlawfully took possession of certain property and with the same, thereby causing plaintiff damage to the tune of \$5000.

The value of the property and profits amounting to \$1000. For these sums he claimed judgment. The case was tried before a jury, judgment going for the defendant. Hence the plaintiff's appeal.

A MYSTERIOUS MURDER.
Ed. Callahan, a Mining and Real Estate Man, Killed This Morning.
JOSIE HILL ACCUSED OF THE DEED.
She is Interlocked in Her Cell by Three Reports Today.

Amos, Moody and Ed. Callahan have been added to the long list of men who have been killed in this city during the past year.

The victim of the cowardly and deadly attack was Edward Callahan, a mining and real estate man, who arrived in this city on the 10th of last month and registered at the Metropolitan hotel.

The perpetrator or perpetrators of the fatal attack are not known. The details of the tragedy and the cause that is supposed to have led to its enactment are so far from clear that it is impossible to give a reliable account of the tragedy.

Shortly after the arrival of Callahan in Salt Lake, he became acquainted with the sporting fraternity, with whom he was associated, that he was possessed of considerable money. He met and made many friends among his kind, and was a frequent visitor at the home of infancy located at the corner of the street and the corner of the street.

It is alleged that he indulged in the wild and frantic of the street, and that he was a frequent visitor at the home of infancy located at the corner of the street and the corner of the street.

His course of conduct attracted the jealousy of a disreputable gang known as the "Bunch," who were known to the police as the "Bunch."

Among other women of this character whom Callahan was seen with, was Josie Hill, a woman of the same character, who came from the city from Massachusetts a little more than a year ago.

On Wednesday last Callahan called at the home of which she is an inmate and remained there until late evening.

He was seen to enter the house at about 10 o'clock, when he and Josie Hill were driven out to the First Street by a hack driver named Charles Hill, the husband of Josie Hill.

He and the husband left the carriage and went to the school, while Josie Hill remained in the carriage.

The hack driver and Josie Hill returned to the school at about 11 o'clock, and a short time later Josie Hill was seen to enter the house.

She was seen to enter the house at about 11 o'clock, when she and Josie Hill were driven out to the First Street by a hack driver named Charles Hill, the husband of Josie Hill.

He and the husband left the carriage and went to the school, while Josie Hill remained in the carriage.

The hack driver and Josie Hill returned to the school at about 11 o'clock, and a short time later Josie Hill was seen to enter the house.

She was seen to enter the house at about 11 o'clock, when she and Josie Hill were driven out to the First Street by a hack driver named Charles Hill, the husband of Josie Hill.

He and the husband left the carriage and went to the school, while Josie Hill remained in the carriage.

The hack driver and Josie Hill returned to the school at about 11 o'clock, and a short time later Josie Hill was seen to enter the house.

She was seen to enter the house at about 11 o'clock, when she and Josie Hill were driven out to the First Street by a hack driver named Charles Hill, the husband of Josie Hill.

He and the husband left the carriage and went to the school, while Josie Hill remained in the carriage.

The hack driver and Josie Hill returned to the school at about 11 o'clock, and a short time later Josie Hill was seen to enter the house.

She was seen to enter the house at about 11 o'clock, when she and Josie Hill were driven out to the First Street by a hack driver named Charles Hill, the husband of Josie Hill.

He and the husband left the carriage and went to the school, while Josie Hill remained in the carriage.

The hack driver and Josie Hill returned to the school at about 11 o'clock, and a short time later Josie Hill was seen to enter the house.

She was seen to enter the house at about 11 o'clock, when she and Josie Hill were driven out to the First Street by a hack driver named Charles Hill, the husband of Josie Hill.

He and the husband left the carriage and went to the school, while Josie Hill remained in the carriage.

The hack driver and Josie Hill returned to the school at about 11 o'clock, and a short time later Josie Hill was seen to enter the house.

She was seen to enter the house at about 11 o'clock, when she and Josie Hill were driven out to the First Street by a hack driver named Charles Hill, the husband of Josie Hill.

He and the husband left the carriage and went to the school, while Josie Hill remained in the carriage.

The Festival Tonight.
The great musical festival given this evening at 8:30. It will be undoubtedly one of the grandest musical entertainments that Salt Lake has had since the privilege of witnessing. Miss Thayer, by her own talent, her voice, and her accompaniment, with her sublime quality as a vocalist will only intensify the wish of all to hear her again.

Mr. Myron W. Whitney's name also is familiar to Salt Lake, and his appearance tonight will be one of the great features of the program.

The program of these two great artists with the immense Tarnowski orchestra, which has no equal in the United States, will make the festival an event so may not be witnessed again in this city for many years. The program for the three performances is as follows:

TONIGHT.
1—Overture, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
2—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
3—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

4—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
5—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
6—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

7—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
8—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
9—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

10—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
11—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
12—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

13—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
14—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
15—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

16—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
17—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
18—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

19—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
20—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
21—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

22—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
23—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
24—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

25—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
26—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
27—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

28—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
29—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
30—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

31—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
32—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
33—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

34—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
35—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
36—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

37—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
38—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
39—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

40—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
41—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
42—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

43—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
44—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
45—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

46—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
47—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
48—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

49—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
50—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
51—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

52—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
53—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
54—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

55—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
56—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
57—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

58—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
59—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
60—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

61—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
62—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
63—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

64—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
65—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
66—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

67—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
68—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
69—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

70—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
71—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
72—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

73—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
74—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
75—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

76—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
77—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.
78—Cantata, "The Marriage of Figaro," by Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart.

ROYAL Baking Powder
ABSOLUTELY PURE

SCHOOL BOARD MEETING.
Arrangements for Holding the Bond Election Now Completed.

Teachers Requested to Indicate Their Wishes as to Keeping Facilities.

The Board of Education met in regular session last night, President Smith in the chair. The minutes were read and approved.

Present were: Mr. Nelson, Young, Newcomb, Lott, Hovey, Collins and Drake.

LAND FOR SALE.
Theodore Burmaster called the attention of the board to the fact that lot 14, in block 11, plat B, was for sale for \$10,000. Committee on building and

BANK ACCOUNT OVERHAUL.
Theodore Burmaster called the attention of the board to the fact that lot 14, in block 11, plat B, was for sale for \$10,000. Committee on building and

WANTS TO COMPETE.
H. T. E. Well, a Denver architect, represented that when the board was asked to build more school houses, he would like to submit plans in competition. Committee on buildings.

TEACHERS' COOPERATION.
Superintendent Millsap reported that nearly all the teachers in the city were in cooperation for the purpose of building more school houses. Committee on buildings.

WANTS TO COMPETE.
H. T. E. Well, a Denver architect, represented that when the board was asked to build more school houses, he would like to submit plans in competition. Committee on buildings.

TEACHERS' COOPERATION.
Superintendent Millsap reported that nearly all the teachers in the city were in cooperation for the purpose of building more school houses. Committee on buildings.

WANTS TO COMPETE.
H. T. E. Well, a Denver architect, represented that when the board was asked to build more school houses, he would like to submit plans in competition. Committee on buildings.

TEACHERS' COOPERATION.
Superintendent Millsap reported that nearly all the teachers in the city were in cooperation for the purpose of building more school houses. Committee on buildings.

WANTS TO COMPETE.
H. T. E. Well, a Denver architect, represented that when the board was asked to build more school houses, he would like to submit plans in competition. Committee on buildings.

TEACHERS' COOPERATION.
Superintendent Millsap reported that nearly all the teachers in the city were in cooperation for the purpose of building more school houses. Committee on buildings.

WANTS TO COMPETE.
H. T. E. Well, a Denver architect, represented that when the board was asked to build more school houses, he would like to submit plans in competition. Committee on buildings.

TEACHERS' COOPERATION.
Superintendent Millsap reported that nearly all the teachers in the city were in cooperation for the purpose of building more school houses. Committee on