

tice in the United States, having prac-ticed polygamy in Utah. "I cannot help feeling," he wrote, "that decisive action should be taken to prevent the

atornions and paritament tost no time a passing a strenuous anti-polygamy aw that is still in force. The accounts of Lord Minto's visit agree, as one of he reports puts it, that "not only is here no polygamy among the "Mor-non" immigrants, but, as visitors to heir sottlements will find, the practice of polygamy is now reserted among poligamy is now regarded among bhorrence as among men and women a any other part of this Christian

The accuracy of this latter statement may be doubted. The "Mormons" in Canada have not violated the law of e land, and there is no reason to lleve that they have any intention incur its penalties. But no "Mormon" this country or any other has ab-ured the principle of polygamy and he child not do so without repudiating the Articles of Faith of his Church, of which article vii is the basis of his bellef, that the revelation of the right and during the source of the right and duty to practice polygamy was a message from the divine ruler. They are dumb today when asked if they believe in polygamy, as they were when Dennis questioned them. This fact does not detract from the many admirable qualities which the "Mor-mons" in Canada have exhibited. Not the ienal praiseworthy feature of their life for fourteen vasue in Alberto.