

## DESERET EVENING NEWS.

PUBLISHED DAILY, SUNDAY RECEIVED,  
AT FIVE CENTS.OWNED AND PUBLISHED BY  
THE DESERET NEWS CO.CHARLES W. FREIGHTS, EDITOR.  
Wednesday April 18, 1862.

## MORMONISM AND INFIDELITY.

We give place today to a reply to a point in Mr. Chase's letter, published in a previous issue of the Deseret News. The writer takes a correct view, in the main, of the relative position of "Mormonism" and Infidelity. He is true that in their essence they have nothing in common. "Mormonism" means faith, in its fullest religious sense. Infidelity means unbelief, or disbelief. In Divine things, but everybody who is called an infidel does not deserve that appellation as it is commonly used. We are all unbelievers to some extent. That is, things that are believed by some of us are disbelieved by others, and that which is divine in some persons' eyes is abominable to the vision of people who are just as rational and sincere as they.

It has become common to call any one an infidel who does not accept the orthodox views of Deity and the Christian religion. And yet there is no more true fallacy than this. Christianity seems many who are infidels, there exists in the breasts of some professing infidels. Infidelity, then, as the term is frequently used, must not always be treated as opposition to true religion. It is meant to express the idea of a denial or disbelief of that and revealed religion in general, of course there is nothing in it in common with "Mormonism."

But what we understand Mr. Ellis to mean is that "Mormonism" will find that Justice, fairness and all in defense of its lawful liberties, among the people who are claimed as infidels, which have been denied them by professing "Christians" in the orthodox religious sects. And there is some truth in what he advances. We have known so-called infidels to stand up for those equal rights which "Mormonism" should enjoy in common with all other believers in Christ, while religious ministers and their very pious followers employed violence to prevent the exercise of those rights.

Another thing. We have met with men and women, themselves as infidels by orthodoxy, christians who fervently believed in the wisdom of a Mormon's living, in the several professions of trade, honest, justice, right and retribution, and in doing good to their fellow for the love of it, while they disbelieved in the organized forms of religion as the inventions of man. They vehemently opposed what they honestly considered evil, hypocrisy, pride and self-indulgence, but admired consistency, charity, devotion to a just cause, and everything that is beautiful in cultured humanity.

From such "infidels" as those we believe "Mormonism," if they properly understood it, would receive the support which Mr. Ellis suggests. Not perhaps through their faith in it as a Divine system, but through their love of fairness and equal rights, and their steady hostility to injustice and infidelity.

Therefore, while it is true that "Mormonism" and Infidelity are utterly incompatible, also that the triumph of the former will be achieved by the aid of influences higher than any human agency, it is nevertheless reasonable to believe that in the struggle for civil and religious liberty, the "Mormon" people will find their strongest supporters from the ranks of infidels, than from the orthodox, save the hired hired and the self-styled fanatics of the nineteenth century, who would deny to the "Mormon" even the right to the common title of "Christian."

## NORTH CAROLINA MORNING.

On Monday, April 11th, we commented upon a report submitted in a special press dispatch to the effect that "Mormon" missionaries in North Carolina had been waited upon by a mob and taken from Stanley County over the border into Union County. Letter reporters were to the effect that Elders had been whipped and finally killed. These latter rumors were, however, unfounded. From information gleaned from a letter dated at Abbeville, Stanley County, N. C., April 11th, 1862, from Elder William C. Winder, who is laboring in that part of the county, to his wife, we are enabled to give the facts.

Brother Winder states that while he and his fellow missionary—the name of the latter is not given—were on their way on the 13th of March, to a place at which they had an appointment to hold meeting, they were met by a number of men, one of whom had beaten them the following night.

To the Mormon Elders:

"We did not pay any attention to it, for we were on and held our meeting, which was very satisfactory and made many friends. Everything went along quietly until March 1st, when we applied to the name of 'Tucker' for a room's lodgings. He called Mr. Tucker outside, expressed wish him for a sometime, and paid off. When Mr. Tucker returned to

## WYOMING CATHOLIC IN BUSINESS.

he found us seated very strongly. He, however, gave us no surprise and we remained about 1000'. In a short time a mob came up to the house and called this Tucker out. The two held a brief consultation with the visitors and the pastor, Mr. Hudson, was admitted to the room where we were told we were willing to die. We asked them to close our windows, which they refused and required us to open them. They took us about three miles to a mill and put us across. Tucker stayed in Wyoming and sent us to the east coast to New Hampshire county, where we could not be found.

"It was very cold. We were exposed to the winds and snow, making unable to find a shelter. As day was near to the time of our kind friend, Mr. Hudson. After walking over five miles we arrived there at noon in the evening. Mr. Hudson and his son were on board the steamer and had come to meet us. They had been ordered to the route of the steamer.

"We went to Cheyenne County and took the train to the Denver. On the way we saw the bones of one kind friend, Mr. Hudson. After walking over five miles we arrived there at noon in the evening. Both parties were apparently met in regular battle. Federal forces have been ordered to the route of the steamer.

"To give an accurate idea of the source of this disturbance is at present almost impossible. The Wyoming papers are conflicting on their sentiments. One is clear and that is the large majority assert that the crowd below was "thieves and robbers," while the latter refuse to say that the big forces stationed their bands for band and robbery, and went to run the whole state. A large number of lives had already been lost, and for some time the northern part of Wyoming had been more or less in a state of uncertainty.

The importation of alleged rebels from California does not speak well for the extremists. It is contended by the Denver papers, especially by the ones which says of it:

"These men are armed and organized in trying to force their way into Wyoming. They are here to do the same thing that the rebels did in the South, to overturn the government by force and violence, and to establish an infringement on the rights of citizens which cannot be too openly or too terribly denounced. It is not, and ought not to be, a matter of pride for the west, that the nation will prove itself equal to the task of repelling these invaders. The recognition of the good is in no wise proof of the evil, the evil, like the sun, will prove to be its own best defense in its educational result upon the young men of this country."

This is an extract from an editorial in the Brooklyn, N. Y., Tribune:

"The Mormons, like all other sects which claim to be inferior to religious infidels, have been called to the bench of a superior element of doubt as to the validity of their claims to religious infidels, and as the present time, there is no one who can be called a Mormon, who has not been branded polygamist. While this has been formalized one of the most notorious communities in the United States, Salt Lake City, has been repeatedly called a world-wide in its scale organization, in mercantile management, its politicians, order and respect for those elements of the social code which every other large city in the United States has. The Mormon community, their struggle in the face of the most bitter opposition ever directed against a religious sect in this country, and finally securing the recognition of polygamy as an end, evinces the continuance of the battle for the ideal of absolute civil and religious equality."

Mr. Eliot, some time afterwards, constructed a miniature model of what he had conceived, and it worked satisfactorily. In 1859, he contracted with the Detroit Boat Works company to construct his long-sought vessel. Much of the work being experimental, progress was necessarily slow. But the vessel was completed and a few days ago thoroughly tested in the waters near Detroit.

According to a writer in the San Francisco Tribune, the boat can be utilized for various purposes, but the most important is for experiments. She can be driven through closed channels of the ocean, vessels of today and in a few seconds have nothing to do but a week. As Mr. Eliot's invention is completely fitted for submarine service, and is equipped with electric lights, and can be managed at will, it is believed she can be made effective in searching for wrecks, surveying or inspecting cables, building piers for bridges, and in fact for any kind of under-water work.

**THE PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AGREEMENT.**

The situation in Utah directs the attention of several Eastern papers toward the proposed constitutional amendment now pending in Congress. The contention is that after the adoption of the amendment valid objections to making Utah a sovereign State would be removed. The amendment referred to reads as follows:

**The Albany, N. Y., Express' Ultra-radical Pro-Ellis speech:**

"The Mormons have fully and consistently abandoned polygamy. They were in their religion which violates the law, and since they have abandoned it they should be placed upon the same footing as other states. Polygamy is no more an issue in Utah today than is the boundary line in national politics. President Eliot's views are sound."

This is an extract from an editorial in the Lowell, Mass., Courier:

**The Salt Lake Tribune's speech:**

"The Salt Lake Tribune, while it has raised the question whether it has raised any right or wrong, which it has raised, to the point of nullification, or not, in any case, it has not done so in any manner which would entitle it to any party under the Constitution of the United States."

An amendment of a similar kind was introduced in the House of Representatives in 1852. It is said that General Grant was the instigator of it. It passed the House by an almost unanimous vote, but was subsequently defeated in the Senate. In 1853 the U. S. House National Democratic convention and the Cincinnati National Democratic convention both adopted planks in their platforms, urging abandonment of the Mormon faith when they were visited before them in the audience at which it was read during the meeting at the Tabernacle in Salt Lake City. There were some things that were not done when the two conventions met, but the language of the resolutions of the Cincinnati convention was identical with that of the Salt Lake City convention.

"It cannot be accounted as another evidence of hostility that Mr. Eliot has renounced Mormonism to a greater degree than that called for by the example of the majority of religious states. He has renounced it, but he has not renounced it so far as to make him a member of any church, or any other organization, which is in or purports to be in any way connected with the Mormon church."

At the present time the anti-slavery position is becoming a rather vocal issue in many of the Eastern States.

The amendment, if adopted, would effectively settle the issue pertaining to the propriety of parts of the original state constitution, and private schools. It would also eliminate many existing institutions, notwithstanding the date and period of their establishment. The legislation can be seen in evidence clearly in the following statement introduced in the House by Mr. Springer:

"I move that the Legislature of the State of Connecticut do pass an act to prohibit the practice of polygamy in this State."

While these propositions are as yet in the stage of preparation, the time is near.

Yours in earnest,

Elder Winder who describes some queer details as follows:

"We did not pay any attention to it, for we were on and held our meeting, which was very satisfactory and made many friends. Everything went along quietly until March 1st, when we applied to the name of 'Tucker' for a room's lodgings. He called Mr. Tucker outside, expressed wish him for a sometime, and paid off. When Mr. Tucker returned to

the house late around the corner of Main and Second between a large crowd made. When we heard of the news we remained about 1000'. In a short time we had a great, noisy, rowdy crowd outside the door. By the time we entered the room where we were told we were willing to die. We asked them to close our windows, which they refused and required us to open them. They took us about three miles to a mill and put us across. Tucker stayed in Wyoming and sent us to the east coast to New Hampshire county, where we could not be found.

"It was very cold. We were exposed to the winds and snow, making unable to find a shelter. As day was near to the time of our kind friend, Mr. Hudson. After walking over five miles we arrived there at noon in the evening. Both parties were apparently met in regular battle. Federal forces have been ordered to the route of the steamer.

"Another important measure has been adopted to improve the United States, namely, a committee to decide the permanence of the government and the continuance which have been allowed by the changes of the course of the river. The Grand and Colorado. The work has been delayed by the action of Congress, but the committee has failed to make an agreement for the expenses of their conference. It is confidently expected that the House will go forward with the bill.

The House Committee has three additional members.

"However, the names of people who think in excess of one against a wrong, their prepossessions, however dubious, are the language really was from the worthy standpoint, and there is no room for the committee to make an arrangement in their favor.

"It brings me to the point that the House will go forward with the bill.

"The United States government has adopted one of the resolutions of the Conference of American peoples to make available a certain sum of money for the construction of a bridge across the Mississippi, which may be advantageous to the public participation.

"The position of exchange of articles still remains to be decided. The United States and France are still under consideration.

"It is very gratifying to observe the spirit of union and brotherhood between the Federal government and the governments of the individual states of the Republic.

"It is also gratifying in me to observe the spirit of union and brotherhood which comes from the various nationalities who are in the country. The spirit of progress is continually working to improve the condition of the people.

"The condition of the police department is also improving. The work of commanding the District Protective Association is rapidly progressing. The Morris County Protective Association has been formed and is expected soon to attain a former position.

"At the beginning of the present year, the protective association was formed and went into effect. An account of the time given for its existence, which was extended to exceed the time, which was due, and it has been held ever since, holding up the efforts of the police in eradicating this.

"The condition of the police department is also improving. The work of commanding the District Protective Association is rapidly progressing. The Morris County Protective Association has been formed and is expected soon to attain a former position.

"Now from States of the Union tell me that states last September in the same month, the same day, in the same time twenty-four new constitutions have been made for the development of starting states, making in all 36 states, of which eight were formed by the efforts of the police.

"The Executive has also expedited the introduction of the telegraph into this country from China and Japan. The extension of our roads has reached important proportions."

"The telegraph makes refreshing reading. For districts, simplicity and perplexity the message is alike, is evidently a model of its class. If leading instances in every part of the world—overseas and in America except—would follow an example so simple, it would be a boon to the masses, who are aware of the heterogeneous complications of extensive physiology contained in many documents. They experience a condition of mental exhaustion in the ordinary reader.

""Mormonism" and Infidelity.

In an article entitled "Why President Eliot is Discouraged," published in the Worcester Daily on April 11th, Mr. Chase's letter was strongly condemned. The "Mormon" Church had led to the infidelity of the country, and was a curse against the "right" people, "which the mass," he says, "of these uncharred sinners who settle the whole future of Mormonism."

This may appear to give all right to the action of Mr. Eliot, who now acknowledges himself to be an "Ultra-radical," but it is not so. It is not that he is right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.

He is not right, but that he is not wrong, and that such a course as his would not be understood.