

DESERET EVENING NEWS

Organ of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints

LORIENNO S. O. V. TRUSTEE-IN-TRUST.

PUBLISHED EVERY DAY.

Price of Sixty Cents per Year.

Post Office Department.

Office of Sixty Cents per Year.

and Sixty Cents per Year.

Charles W. Peterson, Editor.

George G. Whiting, Business Manager.

SUBSCRIPTION PRICES.

For One Year \$1.00

Two Years \$1.50

Three Years \$2.00

The News \$1.00

for Six Months, per Year \$0.50

and Six Months \$0.50

Correspondence and other writing may be sent to the office of the Deseret Evening News, Salt Lake City, Utah.

THE DESERET EVENING NEWS,
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH.

SALT LAKE CITY, APRIL 18, 1899.

"MORMON" LIBERTY.

"As a matter of fact the Church was never free from such political influences under President Lincoln or Grant."

The increasing influence of the "Mormon" Church is a matter of fact. We have seen it grow in power and influence in every instance, but those influences of personal voice must be considered as "Church influence in politics."

We have seen of the opinions and they have been, if anything, so particular on this question. They have, certainly, as many political rights as other citizens in this State. Their interests ought not to be curtailed. Freedom of speech is their right under the law as much as anybody's, and their views and statements ought not to be suppressed in the eyes of their friends and associates.

As to advice and counsel in political affairs, we think they should be free as all other lawyers, doctors, or merchants or journalists. They should be free to express, and those who voice their opinion should be free to seek and receive it, without any limitation of use or extension to that word "influence" in politics.

In a word, we desire to see his belief for some personal influence which he was personally opposed, or threatened with any pains or penalties for the free exercise of his own judgment, or exposed to any disabilities or account of his difference in politics with leading Church authorities. There might be some ground for objection, and those who voice their opinion should be free to express, and those who voice their opinion should be free to seek and receive it, without any limitation of use or extension to that word "influence" in politics.

The Springdale Standard, in a strong editorial on a question that has been agitated of late, and in response to some pertinent writings of the Legion Journal, makes these remarks:

No man, who is fatigued and fatigued with the history of Utah politics can help but be interested in the last half of the decade. It has not been more than the past half year that the London Daily Mail, in its efforts to expose the secret of the Manifesto problem, has been the most prominent news item. It was thought possible never at the time of the publication of the manifesto that it would become greater than it was, and it has been greater than it was thought possible never at the time of the publication of the manifesto.

It is clear that much diplomatic skill will be needed in both capitals. By the way all treaties were negotiated, and it will be necessary to formulate new agreements covering the boundaries of the two nations. Spain will naturally endeavor to obtain as favorable conditions as possible, and the United States will probably grant as much as will be consistent, true to the shrewdness and skill displayed by this nation both before and during the war. But notwithstanding this, the situation of ambassadors will certainly not be a sinecure for some time to come.

PEACE TO THE STATE.

The Ogden Standard, in a strong editorial on a question that has been agitated of late, and in response to some pertinent writings of the Legion Journal, makes these remarks:

No man, who is fatigued and fatigued with the history of Utah politics can help but be interested in the last half of the decade. It has not been more than the past half year that the London Daily Mail, in its efforts to expose the secret of the Manifesto problem, has been the most prominent news item. It was thought possible never at the time of the publication of the manifesto that it would become greater than it was, and it has been greater than it was thought possible never at the time of the publication of the manifesto.

It is clear that much diplomatic skill will be needed in both capitals. By the way all treaties were negotiated, and it will be necessary to formulate new agreements covering the boundaries of the two nations. Spain will naturally endeavor to obtain as favorable conditions as possible, and the United States will probably grant as much as will be consistent, true to the shrewdness and skill displayed by this nation both before and during the war. But notwithstanding this, the situation of ambassadors will certainly not be a sinecure for some time to come.

LODGE SUNDAY PAPERS.

In this country the public has been supplied with the Sunday newspaper for twenty years. The San Francisco Chronicle exists to be the pioneer of the Sunday paper.

It is clear that the London Daily Mail is responsible for the innovation. That paper adopted American methods to such an extent that it became a dangerous rival in its contemporaries. The Telegraph, finding itself losing in the race, assumed its intention of issuing a Sunday edition, and the Mail subsequently stated it would follow suit.

Great Britain is watching this new enterprise with interest. Other papers have followed the example of the Sunday paper, by postponing them, or by legal means. It is believed, though, that the Sunday paper in Great Britain has come to stay.

When Sunday publications first appeared in this country, their purpose was to supply instructive reading material. But a marked degeneration has taken place. Many Sunday papers are now but meagre assortments of bright pictures, sensational stories, and other trifles. Some papers, however, have become the reliable channels through which much moral disease is conveyed from one end of the country to the other.

We think the British public is justified in looking upon the experiment with distrust. The color and tone of some of the publications on this side of the water are not pleasant to a conservative public anxious to preserve the sanctity of the Sabbath day.

"BOSS" RULE.

Mr. Croker, in his testimony before the investigating committee in New York, said, "Boss" disapponted the public. He referred to himself as one "private business transactions, which did not affect the public." Yet, he was too engrossed in his own business, and, for the sake of his own convenience, he allowed the public to be ruled by him.

Orchards are evidently becoming aware of a fact long well known in this region. What will become of the Republicans after having dared, in this manner, the principles of Americanism, of patriotism, and of purity—the thunder of the thunderbolts of exiles!

THE PHILIPPINE PROBLEM.

General Lawton, according to a Washington newspaper, has suggested that the United States should not nominate any candidate for president of the Philippines Islands.

He said, "The United States is not

interested in the islands, and holds the

Philippines Islands. The colony is

explosive to be passed over to a

neutral power."

It is clear that the United States

is not interested in the islands,

but it is not neutral, and it is

not a neutral power.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?

He refused to say.

The gentleman was perfectly frank in his opinion, as to his political management.

He admitted that he understood the Tammany organization, and, consequently, the nomination and election in New York city. He argued that the people had confidence in the organization, and, yet, he has, to all appearance, made a fortune. How did he do it?