

THE SIXTY-NINTH ANNUAL CONFERENCE OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS

The sixty-ninth annual conference of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints was held at Salt Lake City, Utah, on Saturday, October 11th, 1890. The conference was held in the Tabernacle, Salt Lake City. The officers and members of the Church are invited to attend all the meetings.

WILLIAM WOODRUFF, GEORGE Q. CANNON, JAMES B. WALKER.

"THE MORMONS WILL NOT PROMISE."

A good many papers are echoing the statement, made over the wires that Judge Anderson has been delivering some strong opinions as to the sincerity of "Mormon" utterances about the discontinuance of plural marriage in Utah. The chief point in it is "the refusal of the Mormons to come before a court to be sworn as to the law, and these promises to the world that their promises are in good faith."

It is very difficult to make the press writers of the country understand the facts in this case. But we will explain them more fully, and we trust our effort may have but little effect.

The convictions obtained in the courts are not for polygamy, as supposed, and as persons here who want to decide the public, aridly make a point of it, they will only obey the law, and these promises to the world that their promises are in good faith."

It is very difficult to make the press writers of the country understand the facts in this case. But we will explain them more fully, and we trust our effort may have but little effect.

The convictions obtained in the courts are not for polygamy, as supposed, and as persons here who want to decide the public, aridly make a point of it, they will only obey the law, and these promises to the world that their promises are in good faith."

Conclusion of not actually violating any law, knowing they have been convicted on actions entirely innocent, morally and legally, how can they promise to do more in the future than they have been doing in the immediate past?

Such offers are made of candor and sincerity instead of evidence that "Mormon promises are in good faith." They are the strongest indications of good faith and honest intentions by anyone who has had anything to do with them.

And papers that comment on this should remember, that every "Mormon" who votes has taken an oath that he will not violate the laws of the United States and particularly those that relate to polygamy and sexual offenses. He takes it in the name of the "Mormon" people, and he represents them; and he is in the position we have described, and who are crowded into a corner, and with a prison staring them in the face, decide, not to break their oaths, but to make promises which imply guilt and appear to be demanded for reasons that should be repented by all just and upright minds.

It may be said that some of these men who decline to promise have pledged only to the church. True. And why? Simply to save their wives and children from the mortification and term of being forced into a court room, before a jeering crowd, and answer questions that sensitive and timid women cannot bear without a blush.

The manufacturers of these reports understand all this. But they know the ignorance and prejudice that prevail in relation to the "Mormons," and, taking advantage of these conditions, they circulate their articles with demagogic delight. Is it not true that the American press should deal out facts instead of these personal attacks upon the people who have made the deserting Territory of Utah?

NOT EXACTLY SO. THE DESERET, of Washington, D. C., has a brief editorial on the "Mormons" and politics in Idaho and Wyoming. It is cut and to the point, but is slightly wrong with respect to the government of Wyoming. It is not an enemy of the "Mormons" and it is not an enemy of the Democrats, and it is not an enemy of the Republicans, and the same may be said of their co-religionists in Idaho. What editors do not know about the "Mormons" would make several large and interesting libraries. The Deseret says:

And now the Republicans pulled a large one in the morning session of Wyoming comes in surprise. The Wyoming Mormons were known to be Republicans when the State was admitted, with a constitution that gave women the right vote, and the Idaho Mormons were known to be Democrats when that State was admitted, with a constitution that disenfranchised all Mormons, male and female. Republican rules never work both ways.

MARTHA B. YOUNG'S FUNERAL.

The funeral services over the remains of Sister Martha Rowland Young took place at the Lion House, her late residence, at six o'clock yesterday morning. The spacious parlor and rooms adjoining were filled with relatives and friends of the deceased, including several of the widows and many of the children of the late President Brigham Young. The services were conducted by the Bishop of the Eighteenth Ward. A select quartet, led by Brother Geo. D. Pope, rendered appropriate music in exquisite taste and style. The funeral prayer and remarks were made by Elder Robert Patrick and Bishop Whitney, followed by a discourse from President George Q. Cannon. The fidelity of the deceased to the principles of her faith, and the glorious martyrdom and heroic death, which she gave to her life, were well brought to light in this life in order to be worthy of a higher and better life to come, were the themes dwelt upon by the speakers. The benediction was pronounced by Elder William B. Burtin, after which the casket containing the body was conveyed by the pall-bearers—including several of the sons of President Young—to the family cemetery on First street, where it was deposited in the earth near the tomb of her husband, President Seymour B. Young. The casket was accompanied by a large number of friends, and the funeral was a grand and glorious occasion.

Well and pertinently put. The Rock Springs Independent of last Thursday contains the following: "The Salt Lake Tribune continues to get a meddling from Wyoming newspapers for its unbounded charges against the Mormon vote. The Tribune continues to get a meddling from Wyoming newspapers for its unbounded charges against the Mormon vote."

Conclusion of not actually violating any law, knowing they have been convicted on actions entirely innocent, morally and legally, how can they promise to do more in the future than they have been doing in the immediate past?

Such offers are made of candor and sincerity instead of evidence that "Mormon promises are in good faith." They are the strongest indications of good faith and honest intentions by anyone who has had anything to do with them.

And papers that comment on this should remember, that every "Mormon" who votes has taken an oath that he will not violate the laws of the United States and particularly those that relate to polygamy and sexual offenses. He takes it in the name of the "Mormon" people, and he represents them; and he is in the position we have described, and who are crowded into a corner, and with a prison staring them in the face, decide, not to break their oaths, but to make promises which imply guilt and appear to be demanded for reasons that should be repented by all just and upright minds.

It may be said that some of these men who decline to promise have pledged only to the church. True. And why? Simply to save their wives and children from the mortification and term of being forced into a court room, before a jeering crowd, and answer questions that sensitive and timid women cannot bear without a blush.

The manufacturers of these reports understand all this. But they know the ignorance and prejudice that prevail in relation to the "Mormons," and, taking advantage of these conditions, they circulate their articles with demagogic delight. Is it not true that the American press should deal out facts instead of these personal attacks upon the people who have made the deserting Territory of Utah?

NOT EXACTLY SO. THE DESERET, of Washington, D. C., has a brief editorial on the "Mormons" and politics in Idaho and Wyoming. It is cut and to the point, but is slightly wrong with respect to the government of Wyoming. It is not an enemy of the "Mormons" and it is not an enemy of the Democrats, and it is not an enemy of the Republicans, and the same may be said of their co-religionists in Idaho. What editors do not know about the "Mormons" would make several large and interesting libraries. The Deseret says:

TRIP TO SAHOA.

By courtesy of Brother Charles H. Bassel, we are enabled to publish the following extracts from a letter addressed to him by his son, Elder Henry L. Bassel, on a mission on the Sahoa group.

We landed the afternoon of the 15th of August, 1890, at Sahoa. We were met by a group of natives who were very friendly and hospitable. We remained at Sahoa for several days, and then proceeded to other islands in the group.

Conclusion of not actually violating any law, knowing they have been convicted on actions entirely innocent, morally and legally, how can they promise to do more in the future than they have been doing in the immediate past?

Such offers are made of candor and sincerity instead of evidence that "Mormon promises are in good faith." They are the strongest indications of good faith and honest intentions by anyone who has had anything to do with them.

And papers that comment on this should remember, that every "Mormon" who votes has taken an oath that he will not violate the laws of the United States and particularly those that relate to polygamy and sexual offenses. He takes it in the name of the "Mormon" people, and he represents them; and he is in the position we have described, and who are crowded into a corner, and with a prison staring them in the face, decide, not to break their oaths, but to make promises which imply guilt and appear to be demanded for reasons that should be repented by all just and upright minds.

It may be said that some of these men who decline to promise have pledged only to the church. True. And why? Simply to save their wives and children from the mortification and term of being forced into a court room, before a jeering crowd, and answer questions that sensitive and timid women cannot bear without a blush.

The manufacturers of these reports understand all this. But they know the ignorance and prejudice that prevail in relation to the "Mormons," and, taking advantage of these conditions, they circulate their articles with demagogic delight. Is it not true that the American press should deal out facts instead of these personal attacks upon the people who have made the deserting Territory of Utah?

NOT EXACTLY SO. THE DESERET, of Washington, D. C., has a brief editorial on the "Mormons" and politics in Idaho and Wyoming. It is cut and to the point, but is slightly wrong with respect to the government of Wyoming. It is not an enemy of the "Mormons" and it is not an enemy of the Democrats, and it is not an enemy of the Republicans, and the same may be said of their co-religionists in Idaho. What editors do not know about the "Mormons" would make several large and interesting libraries. The Deseret says:

MEALIFFE AND SLAVIN.

Delivered to the Authorities and Held in \$1000 Each to Answer. A NEW LORD MAYOR OF LONDON ELECTED. Professor Interest For in Outcome of the Barrell Case Today.

Interesting Events in England.—General Reports Presented in the U. S. Senate. GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY UNION PROPOSED.

Conclusion of not actually violating any law, knowing they have been convicted on actions entirely innocent, morally and legally, how can they promise to do more in the future than they have been doing in the immediate past?

Such offers are made of candor and sincerity instead of evidence that "Mormon promises are in good faith." They are the strongest indications of good faith and honest intentions by anyone who has had anything to do with them.

And papers that comment on this should remember, that every "Mormon" who votes has taken an oath that he will not violate the laws of the United States and particularly those that relate to polygamy and sexual offenses. He takes it in the name of the "Mormon" people, and he represents them; and he is in the position we have described, and who are crowded into a corner, and with a prison staring them in the face, decide, not to break their oaths, but to make promises which imply guilt and appear to be demanded for reasons that should be repented by all just and upright minds.

It may be said that some of these men who decline to promise have pledged only to the church. True. And why? Simply to save their wives and children from the mortification and term of being forced into a court room, before a jeering crowd, and answer questions that sensitive and timid women cannot bear without a blush.

The manufacturers of these reports understand all this. But they know the ignorance and prejudice that prevail in relation to the "Mormons," and, taking advantage of these conditions, they circulate their articles with demagogic delight. Is it not true that the American press should deal out facts instead of these personal attacks upon the people who have made the deserting Territory of Utah?

NOT EXACTLY SO. THE DESERET, of Washington, D. C., has a brief editorial on the "Mormons" and politics in Idaho and Wyoming. It is cut and to the point, but is slightly wrong with respect to the government of Wyoming. It is not an enemy of the "Mormons" and it is not an enemy of the Democrats, and it is not an enemy of the Republicans, and the same may be said of their co-religionists in Idaho. What editors do not know about the "Mormons" would make several large and interesting libraries. The Deseret says:

WOMAN SUFFRAGE CONVENTION.

There will be a convention of the Woman Suffrage Association of Utah Territory held in the Assembly Hall in Salt Lake City on Friday, October 2nd, 1890. An executive session will convene at 10 A.M. on Saturday, October 3rd, and will continue until the 5th. Delegates from all parts of the territory are invited to attend.

Conclusion of not actually violating any law, knowing they have been convicted on actions entirely innocent, morally and legally, how can they promise to do more in the future than they have been doing in the immediate past?

Such offers are made of candor and sincerity instead of evidence that "Mormon promises are in good faith." They are the strongest indications of good faith and honest intentions by anyone who has had anything to do with them.

And papers that comment on this should remember, that every "Mormon" who votes has taken an oath that he will not violate the laws of the United States and particularly those that relate to polygamy and sexual offenses. He takes it in the name of the "Mormon" people, and he represents them; and he is in the position we have described, and who are crowded into a corner, and with a prison staring them in the face, decide, not to break their oaths, but to make promises which imply guilt and appear to be demanded for reasons that should be repented by all just and upright minds.

It may be said that some of these men who decline to promise have pledged only to the church. True. And why? Simply to save their wives and children from the mortification and term of being forced into a court room, before a jeering crowd, and answer questions that sensitive and timid women cannot bear without a blush.

The manufacturers of these reports understand all this. But they know the ignorance and prejudice that prevail in relation to the "Mormons," and, taking advantage of these conditions, they circulate their articles with demagogic delight. Is it not true that the American press should deal out facts instead of these personal attacks upon the people who have made the deserting Territory of Utah?

NOT EXACTLY SO. THE DESERET, of Washington, D. C., has a brief editorial on the "Mormons" and politics in Idaho and Wyoming. It is cut and to the point, but is slightly wrong with respect to the government of Wyoming. It is not an enemy of the "Mormons" and it is not an enemy of the Democrats, and it is not an enemy of the Republicans, and the same may be said of their co-religionists in Idaho. What editors do not know about the "Mormons" would make several large and interesting libraries. The Deseret says:

A DESPERATE MAN.

A desperate man who was convicted of the offense of robbing an office, and who escaped from the marshal's office while his trial was in progress, and is supposed to have committed further crimes, has been sentenced to one year in the county jail.

Conclusion of not actually violating any law, knowing they have been convicted on actions entirely innocent, morally and legally, how can they promise to do more in the future than they have been doing in the immediate past?

Such offers are made of candor and sincerity instead of evidence that "Mormon promises are in good faith." They are the strongest indications of good faith and honest intentions by anyone who has had anything to do with them.

And papers that comment on this should remember, that every "Mormon" who votes has taken an oath that he will not violate the laws of the United States and particularly those that relate to polygamy and sexual offenses. He takes it in the name of the "Mormon" people, and he represents them; and he is in the position we have described, and who are crowded into a corner, and with a prison staring them in the face, decide, not to break their oaths, but to make promises which imply guilt and appear to be demanded for reasons that should be repented by all just and upright minds.

It may be said that some of these men who decline to promise have pledged only to the church. True. And why? Simply to save their wives and children from the mortification and term of being forced into a court room, before a jeering crowd, and answer questions that sensitive and timid women cannot bear without a blush.

The manufacturers of these reports understand all this. But they know the ignorance and prejudice that prevail in relation to the "Mormons," and, taking advantage of these conditions, they circulate their articles with demagogic delight. Is it not true that the American press should deal out facts instead of these personal attacks upon the people who have made the deserting Territory of Utah?

NOT EXACTLY SO. THE DESERET, of Washington, D. C., has a brief editorial on the "Mormons" and politics in Idaho and Wyoming. It is cut and to the point, but is slightly wrong with respect to the government of Wyoming. It is not an enemy of the "Mormons" and it is not an enemy of the Democrats, and it is not an enemy of the Republicans, and the same may be said of their co-religionists in Idaho. What editors do not know about the "Mormons" would make several large and interesting libraries. The Deseret says:

MARKETS AND STOCKS.

NEW YORK MARKET. Wheat, 1 1/4; Corn, 30; Cotton, 40; Sugar, 10; Coffee, 12; Indigo, 15; Spices, 10; Tea, 15; Oils, 10; Furs, 10; Gold, 10; Silver, 10; Stocks, 10.

Conclusion of not actually violating any law, knowing they have been convicted on actions entirely innocent, morally and legally, how can they promise to do more in the future than they have been doing in the immediate past?

Such offers are made of candor and sincerity instead of evidence that "Mormon promises are in good faith." They are the strongest indications of good faith and honest intentions by anyone who has had anything to do with them.

And papers that comment on this should remember, that every "Mormon" who votes has taken an oath that he will not violate the laws of the United States and particularly those that relate to polygamy and sexual offenses. He takes it in the name of the "Mormon" people, and he represents them; and he is in the position we have described, and who are crowded into a corner, and with a prison staring them in the face, decide, not to break their oaths, but to make promises which imply guilt and appear to be demanded for reasons that should be repented by all just and upright minds.

It may be said that some of these men who decline to promise have pledged only to the church. True. And why? Simply to save their wives and children from the mortification and term of being forced into a court room, before a jeering crowd, and answer questions that sensitive and timid women cannot bear without a blush.

The manufacturers of these reports understand all this. But they know the ignorance and prejudice that prevail in relation to the "Mormons," and, taking advantage of these conditions, they circulate their articles with demagogic delight. Is it not true that the American press should deal out facts instead of these personal attacks upon the people who have made the deserting Territory of Utah?

NOT EXACTLY SO. THE DESERET, of Washington, D. C., has a brief editorial on the "Mormons" and politics in Idaho and Wyoming. It is cut and to the point, but is slightly wrong with respect to the government of Wyoming. It is not an enemy of the "Mormons" and it is not an enemy of the Democrats, and it is not an enemy of the Republicans, and the same may be said of their co-religionists in Idaho. What editors do not know about the "Mormons" would make several large and interesting libraries. The Deseret says:

Z. C. M. I.

Has the Largest and Finest Stock of DRESS GOODS!

SCOTCH PLAID SUITINGS In Endless Variety. Camel Hair Suitings IN BLACK AND COLORED. NOVELTY SUITS In Plaid and Camille Cloths.

ENGLISH BROADCLOTHS In all the New Shades. The Most Elegant Line of PLAIDS IN THE WEST. Henrietta Cloths Black and Colored, 25c. to \$1.00 per yard. PLUSHES! Cheapest in Town \$1.00 per yard. VELVETS! FULL LINE. LADIES' CLOTHS In all the Latest Shades. French Flannels For Wrappers and Tea Gowns. An Elegant Line.

BLACK GOODS, Finest Assortment in Salt Lake. DRESS SILKS Black and Colored in All Kinds and Styles. TRIMMING SILKS! In Tinsel, Plaids and Stripes. An Endless Variety.

T. G. WEBBER, Supt. DAILY WEATHER REPORT. Salt Lake City, Sept. 29. 1890. Cloudy with light rain. Wind light S.W. 3 to 5. Temp. 50 to 60. Bar. 30.00.

FURNITURE, CARPETS, WALL PAPER, LARGEST AND BEST ASSORTMENT - Baby Carriages, REFRIGERATORS, P. W. MADSEN FURNITURE STORE. No. 51 and 53 East FIRST SOUTH STREET. SEE THE GRAND DISPLAY OF PRIZES. Western Shoe & Dry Goods Co. 1 Silk Dress Coat, \$5.00; 1 Silk Dress Pattern, \$2.50; 1 Hand Painted Fan, \$1.00; 1 Silk Mull, \$2.00; 1 Silk Muller, \$3.00; Total value of Prizes, \$112.00.