

The following notices were published in the Ogden *Standard*, which the *Tribune* receives and refers to when that serves its purpose:

"The following appointments for rallies are respectfully submitted to the Republicans of Weber county, and the officers of clubs are requested to publish notice as thoroughly as possible. Ladies are invited:

"Wednesday, July 1, 1891, at 8 p.m., at the Fourth Ward Amusement hall in Ogden. Hon. John Henry Smith and John Morgan, Esq., will be present. These men are among the noted orators of Utah. Everybody is invited to attend.

Thursday, July 2, 1891, at 8 p.m., at the City Hall, Ogden. Hon. John Henry Smith and John Morgan, Esq., will be present.

Friday, July 3, 1891, 8 p.m., at Plain City. Hon. John Henry Smith and F. M. Allison, Esq.; at Hooper, John Morgan and A. R. Heywood, Esqs.

Monday, July 6, 1891, at Huntsville. Hon. John Henry Smith and others; at Pleasant View, John Morgan, Esq., and others.

Tuesday, July 7, 1891, at West Harrisville, Hon. John Henry Smith; at Slaterville, John Morgan, Esq.

Wednesday, July 8, 1891, at North Ogden, Hon. John Henry Smith; at Harrisville, John Morgan, Esq.

Reports of the Ogden meeting have been extensively published and John Henry Smith's remarks thereat have been quoted copiously. Yet, after all this, the mendacious *Tribune* had this to say editorially on Sunday morning, July 5th:

"By the way, a few days since we announced that Apostle John Henry Smith had been recalled from his work of proselyting for Republicans; that the Democrats had complained that he was using his apostolic influence among the people which was bad, and that in consideration of their complaint Apostle John Henry had been recalled. The *Herald* pooh-poohed at this. We believe the *Times* did, but has John Henry been out on the range making any more Republican converts? We fear not. We thought for very shame they would send him out once more, but it seems the recall was irrevocable. John Henry had too much zeal, and John Henry, like all other good Saints, knows how to receive orders and to obey them."

Any person or paper that relies upon what the *Tribune* says concerning events in Utah is liable to be deceived. In its columns the remarks of public speakers are purposely perverted, the arguments of its opponents are falsely stated, sentiments are attributed to public men that they never entertained, and words quoted as their language that they never uttered.

This instance of the statement of an alleged fact which the writer says he "knows" and that is "certain," is only one out of many assertions that are equally baseless and as thoroughly false. There is no sense of shame, or any care for even the appearance of consistency, with the fabricators of the falsehoods that continually appear in that paper.

John Henry Smith has been working every day, speaking every night,

on the beauties of Republican politics as he sees the subject, and that is known to the creatures who repeat the lie that he has been "recalled" and that the recall was "irrevocable."

What is the purpose of it all? To carry the false impression, which they are daily endeavoring to make, that "the priesthood"—whatever they may mean by that—is directing this political movement, and to arrest the rush of "Liberals" into the national parties, and if possible induce some that have left to respond to the piteous call of "Come back!"

Now we wish it to be distinctly understood by Saint and sinner, "Mormon" and "Gentile," that the Church has no wish to figure in this movement. John Henry Smith, as he has repeatedly announced, does not appear "on the stump" as an Apostle. He exercises his liberty as an American citizen to advocate Republican doctrines. Any other man in the Church, whatever may be his ecclesiastical position, has an equal right to advocate Democratic principles. But each must pursue his own course, as a citizen and not as a Church dignitary.

And it is not right for any man to use, or attempt to use, Church influence to make political converts. The Church has nothing whatever to say as to whether its members should be Republicans or Democrats. It has no re- huke for any man on either ground. A church member or official has just as much right to be a Republican as a Democrat or a Democrat as a Republican.

The Church is not sending out political missionaries, and therefore it is not "recalling" them. And the person or paper that endeavors to make it appear that the Church or its Presidency is dominating or controlling this political movement, is either grossly deceived or, as in the case of the "Liberal" organ, is intentionally deceptive and wilfully false.

HANNIBAL HAMLIN.

HANNIBAL HAMLIN, who died at Bangor, Maine, on the 4th inst., is one of the best examples we have had of a class of citizens peculiar to America which make free government a practicable institution. Without any of the vaulting ambitions that stamp the life of the ordinary man of destiny, by some means or other he became intrusted with all but one of the great official responsibilities of the nation.

Before he was thirty years old he was a member of the Maine State Legislature, not many years after he

was sent to Congress, and a little later was promoted to the United States Senate. Having served ten years in that august assembly he returned to be inaugurated governor of his State. When Lincoln was nominated for President, Hamlin came in for the vice-presidency. He made a good vice-president and would have made a good president had that office been given him. Indeed he would have filled any office or trust capably.

He was not brilliant or startling in any capacity, but was the embodiment of common sense and conscience in the discharge of duty. To just his sort of capability the great republic owes vastly more than to its sons of genius. It is such citizens as Hannibal Hamlin that prevent men with more talent and less integrity of purpose from wrecking the nation.

Our men of sparkling achievements make the nation's history, but it is the under stratum of the Hamlin type that carry the government and all of its attendant greatness, personal and national.

AN UNWORTHY LEADER.

THE sorrowful and almost disastrous humiliation that has come upon the cause of Ireland through Parnell, demonstrates with extraordinary force the hazard of entrusting the destinies of a people to a man with much talent and little honor, presuming that the abundance of the intellect will make up for the deficiency of the morals.

Mr. Parnell is undoubtedly a wonderful man in many respects. He has achieved for Ireland a recognition in the English Parliament and abroad which that people have never before enjoyed, and probably would not have enjoyed for many years to come, save through his exertions and his genius.

Had he died two years ago, nothing that patriotic Irishmen could have said or done would express the full measure of gratitude which they would have owed to him. But today it is extremely doubtful that he is entitled to their gratitude or respect.

First—his disgraceful implication with Mrs. O'Shea and the intrigues and falsifications connected with the infamous affair, show him to be not only morally depraved, but dishonorable, cowardly and treacherous. Second, his eagerness to be retained in spite of his disgraces, as leader of the Irish party, and the impudence and immeasurable egotism and selfishness with which he urged his claims against all sense of modest self-respect and the plain interest of his party, proved that his efforts from the first were not those of a patriot, but