

criminated against us. In 1883 she took about \$3,000,000 worth of ours, but she took near \$16,000, worth from Germany. It is claimed that what obstructs the sale of United States products most in South American countries, is the ignorance of our shippers with regard to the domestic policy and condition of these countries. The system of tariff there imposes the same duty on the box or crate which envelopes the article, as on the article itself. Then the goods are made into packages not at all suited for transportation in countries where pack mules do the work of railroads. All these requirements England, France and Germany understand, and conform to according to the necessities of the country.

On the other hand, American merchants and manufacturers are enthusiastic about the assured success of the new scheme. They will make a special study of South American countries, and will cultivate that friendly intercourse which will be more potent than treaties in extending commerce.

Casting aside political prejudices, it must be admitted that this is one step towards the accomplishment of a homogeneous American Continent. Of course, time alone can tell what the future will bring. Ethnology may play an important part in this matter. The Latin peoples and what are called the Saxon and Teutonic races never fraternized to any great extent, though our country cannot be classed as specially representing any European race, it being composed of nearly all races. As to the subsidy evil, which many predict will follow in the wake of the new treaty, it is nonsense to indulge in phantom fears. The duty of the people is to watch closely these matters, and when danger is seen, cry out, not before. Irrespective of party or of selfish interests, Mr. Blaine must be given credit for patriotism and wisdom in this matter, until the contrary is absolutely proved.

ANOTHER NECESSARY EXPOSURE.

IT BECOMES necessary once more to expose the innate depravity and incurable mendacity of the *Tribune* advocate of "Mormon" disfranchisement. For several days he has been worried over an article that appeared in the *DESERET NEWS* which was headed "Unity is Life, Division is Death."

This truism, which we imagined no person claiming to be sane would attempt to dispute, seems to have set him in a phrenzy. In a tedious tirade of a column and a quarter he becomes involved today in a curious mixture of direct and wilful misrepresentation of

our words and subject and a medley of his own sophisms, and finally reaches his inevitable daily conclusion, which is the ruling delusion of this monomaniac, that "It is a shame and disgrace to permit the American ballot to go into the stained hands of men thus involved, or to permit them to hold office or make laws under the Government of the United States." That is to say, if men are "involved" in unity of sentiment and purpose, they should be deprived of every privilege of American citizenship. And, per contra, the prime qualification for voting and holding office is division. Any man who unites with other men for any purpose is unfit to have the American ballot.

It is astonishing that some person connected with the paper that contains these daily ravings, does not perceive the mental condition of their author, and either expunge them or trim them into some semblance of common sense. In another column of the same paper, he rages like a maniac over a bright and vigorous communication from Dr. Condon of Ogden, because that gentleman advocates the establishment of a Republican party in Utah, and that would divide the "Liberal" party and produce its dissolution.

He says: "The movement is all rot, the only purpose behind it is to distract and destroy the Liberal party;" and, "it is little better than barf kari for the Liberal party to separate, and go to pieces on party lines now." Is not this an admission that he believes our proposition is correct, that "Unity is life, division is death?" And in seeking the division of the Liberal party, if that is his motive, does not Dr. Condon, according to the *Tribune* lunatic's theory, prove himself worthy of the American ballot, while his wrathful critic shows, on his own logic, that it would be a shame and a disgrace, to allow the *Tribune* scribe "to vote or hold office or make laws under the Government of the United States?"

But we would not have taken up space to notice this insanity if it were not allied to some of the most outrageous falsehoods that any person claiming respectability could be guilty of. The *Tribune* editor in order to afford some show of justification for his irrational conclusions, makes a statement of his own which he subsequently puts several times into quotation marks and attributes to us, and further deliberately falsifies what we did say in the article which has so much aroused his anger.

He says: "Every one here knows that this is a distinct people." This he quotes as the language of the *NEWS*, in

two separate articles today. And on this impudent falsehood he bases much of his burlesque of an argument and reaches his ridiculous conclusion. Then he quotes from our article as follows:

"When we hear, then, of an attempt to make division of any kind on National issues, whether it be of race or language, we know that however plausible may be the pretexts offered for such separation, they are wrong and spring from beneath, instead of coming from above."

That is correctly given, except that he has capitalized the word "national" for a purpose which will be seen below. He says:

"We referred to this as a culminating proof that what we have always said, what every one here knows is true, that this is a distinct people under a government of its own, is true, that that government is the priesthood, and the *News* the organ of that government, sent out this notice to the people to prevent them taking any thought for themselves on National subjects."

"The *News* says in due breath, 'Every Mormon is entirely free' but in the next speaking as the organ of the Mormon priesthood, it says: 'The Saints came here to be made—what? Americans? Not much; to be made "one people," and that "when we hear, then, of attempts to make divisions of any kind on national issues, whether it be of race or language, we know that however plausible may be the pretexts offered for such a separation, they are wrong and spring from beneath instead of coming down from above." If it had said, "When any one is trying to make divisions on religious issues," we would have understood that the organ of the priesthood was talking in the interests of the Church, but it is on "National issues" that this whipper-in demands perfect unity."

The infamy of this will be seen by a reproduction of the exact language we used which was:

"When Latter-day Saints come to Zion, they come to be made one people. It matters not where they were born. They leave their native lands to become identified with another. Politically, they are no longer English or German, Welsh or Scandinavian, Celtic or Saxon; they are Americans. Religiously, they are neither "Jew nor Greek," Gentile nor Hebrew; they are all one in Christ Jesus."

Let the intelligent readers compare this with the *Tribune* writer's perversion of our meaning and absolute reversal of our language, and form their own conclusion as to the honor and veracity and decency of that utterly unprincipled creature.

The article was written in deprecation of divisions here among people of European birth into national factions. We are opposed to the idea of Irish, Scotch, English, German, Danish, Swedish, Norwegian and other divisions of that character, and desire that all these national lines be obliterated and the people from all lands be joined in this country as Americans politically and if they be Latter-day Saints, as one religiously. And the wilful per-