THE END VERY NEAR.

Judge Powers Makes His Closing Speech For W. H. Irvine.

CAREFULLY REVIEWS EVERYTHING

The Case Will Be Submitted To Chief Justice Zane Late This Afternoon.—A Crowded Audience.

There was again a crowded audience in Chief Justice Zane's court this morning, attracted thither by the closing argument of Judge Powers in the remarkable divorce suit of Irvine vs Irvine.

The News report issued yesterday afternoon with a portion of the argument of Judge Bennett (Bennett, Marshall & Bennett) in rebuttal in Mrs. Irvine, the defendant. He contended that, in any event, Mrs. Irvine was entitled to a substantial allowance to enable her, at expense to have proceedings having been unnecessarily heavy. His honor held, however, that she was not guilty, and that the judgment of the court should be in her favor. Irvine, he said, has been cited and decided in the estimate to wave his wife.

Judge Powers then proceeded with his closing address on behalf of Irvine. He recalled that the case was one affecting not only the parties immediately concerned, but it was had an effect on the morality of the home. Having read from the Bible passages on the sacredness and solemnity of the marriage tie, he said that the sacredness of the home would be the result of his decision in this case. The sacredness of the marriage tie was not a mere form, but a reality. Contrast turned his attention to Charley Green, whom he had sought the witness to testify. Green had taken up a notified letter which he carried around the ark of the covenant. Next he dealt at some length with the conduct of Mrs. Irvine, and said the time had not arrived when a married woman, without doubt, sought the witness to test a dirty note, nor yet to take to her husband and keep her interests free from reproach. The judge he assumed disappointed to unfavorable terms, and denied that Mrs. Irvine was the Imogene which counsel on the other side had sought to paint her.

When court opened this morning there was a far larger attendance to witnesses than during the three days previous, notwithstanding the announcement of the legal holidays. Judge Marshall, of counsel for the defendant, was present again today after a two days' absence, and the parties to the suit (Mr. and Mrs. Irvine) occupied their accustomed seats. A dozen or more ladies were accommodated with seats within the bar, against the east window.

Judge Powers resumed his closing remarks on behalf of the plaintiff, W. H. Irvine. He said that while counsel gallantly talked here to Mrs. Irvine it was birth love, not the love that consumed into passion, because at an time and under no circumstances in this case did he suggest to her that he would ever marry anotherCounsel failed to see why the matter of Irvine's trial and acquittal on a charge of murder had been introduced here, which is proved the past. They were not trying that matter now. A proper tribunal had passed upon it and this court, not having heard the testimony, would not say that the verdict was wrong. His Honor Judge Zane was not sitting now as a court of appeals, he was not the arbiter of that jury. Why should counsel on the other side ridicule and touch at this man's religious nature having been touched, having to invoke the aid of the Almighty when he was in distress? Counsel had his thoughts to prison, he not forced them towards heaven and humbled himself before his Maker. Counsel looked upon that are remarkable thing for gentlemen on the other side to say, for had not good and holy men taught us that he who is in trouble could nowhere appeal to enter advantage than to the God who moulded? The alleged visits of Mr. Irvine to the Montgomery Block as Counsel claim to be correct. Mrs. Irvine, in her testimony, swore that she had never been inside that building, and yet reputable citizens had seen her going there. Talk about uncontroverted testimony, about a case made to rest, about circumstantial utility with circumstantial variety that was just what they had here. It would seem that Mrs. Irvine had led a double life. Counsel for the defense said that to her husband she had been virgin the same time he was admitted that she was wife to another man in every thing—with one exception. Mrs. Irvine's association with Dr. Gorsh—admittedly described by counsel "in this knight at night"—was scarcely to be with regard to Dr. Deschler of Iowa City, whom opposition made, during the trial, Judge Powers said that that gentleman, who was a disinterested party, had his petition for divorce against counsel's client. He cited from and criticised the evidence of a Vernon witness with the object of showing certain contradictions of Mrs. Irvine's testimony. In this connection the Green hotel registration numbers was mentioned. It was in the light of these contradictions, said Mr. Powers, that the jury had to decide the case, not yet to the to let husband and keep her interests free from reproach. The judge assumed disappointed to unfavorable terms, and denied that Mrs. Irvine was the Imogene which counsel on the other side had sought to paint her.

"The court is," he said, "not to hear your knees at all. It looks very, very to some. It will take little of profane to make you close, but after awhile it will be easy."

"How many times do you go through that in Salem?"

"I begin with 10 times every morning, but after I go on, and gradually increased until now I do it 100. It's a case made for the last week."

Her count followed in her footsteps and all several of her acquaintances, and now he was the commencing on the fact that all the girls in their particular circle have had greatly added to their number since they came to Salem. Counsel had asked Mrs. Irvine if she had ever seen Charley Green and no other Kate, and if she had ever seen Charley Green and no other Kate, and if she had ever seen Charley Green and no other Kate. Counsel had asked Mrs. Irvine