

tration. The notice was accepted and filed. The notice was an appeal for reduction.

Mrs. Louisa M. Johnson asked a reduction on her \$6600 valuation on lot 6, block 46, plat B. The property is in Second South between Sixth and Seventh East. There was some debate on the proposition, after which the assessment was confirmed.

Mary A. R. Carrington, who owns part of lots 4 and 5, block 84, plat A, assessed at \$31,000, wanted her tax cut down. The assessment was confirmed.

Hannah Miller, Eighteenth Ward, lot 2, block 40, plat D, had her tax reduced from \$4150 to \$2000 on account of inability to pay her tax.

Sarah L. Sprague, Thirteenth Ward, who has a life lease on a piece of property north of the Theater valued at \$63,000, had the assessment confirmed. The property is worth \$600 per foot. The same party asked a reduction on a piece of ground adjoining the piece mentioned. Both were confirmed.

Henry Terry, Tenth Ward, had his entire tax remitted.

Mary A. Green of the Thirteenth Ward, a widow, had her tax remitted \$5.

Mrs. M. D. Campbell, owner of lot 7, block 63, plat B, had her valuation of \$10,300 reduced \$3,000 and \$5 remitted, she being a widow and unable to pay her taxes.

Anna Brown, Nineteenth Ward, owner of lot 1, block 17, plat E, had her valuation reduced to \$1,200 and \$5 remitted.

Agnes C. Childs, owner of lot 6, block 46, plat B, Eleventh Ward, assessed at \$4,100, asked a reduction on the ground of being a widow and unable to pay, assessment confirmed.

The Fulmer estate lot 5, block 38, plat A, asked a reduction. The assessment is \$3,970. The tax was reduced.

E. C. Pollock, a widow with eight children, living in the Eight ward, had her tax remitted.

B. J. Beer, Tenth ward, asked that his assessment of \$3200 be reduced. His wife is over 80 and he is unable to work. His valuation was reduced to \$1250 and his tax remitted.

Jane Stokes, Fifth ward, asked that her tax be remitted. Granted.

Thomas Westwood, Fifteenth ward, aged 78, said he was unable to pay the tax. His tax was remitted \$5 worth.

Kate Flint, Ninth ward, corner Fifth South and Fourth East, assessed at \$11,000, claimed the county only assessed her at \$2100. The assessment was confirmed. The same person asked a reduction on lot 1, block 95, plat A, Tenth ward, assessed at \$6,275. Declined.

A. Livingston, Twelfth ward, lot 8, block 62, plat B, said a canal ran through his lot which was assessed too high; that \$14,900 was too high. The assessment was reduced \$4,900 on account of the canal.

Mrs. R. Norrall, widow, Twelfth ward, owning 4x10, lot 6, block 48, plat B, had \$5 remitted.

C. V. Spencer, owning part of

lot 4, block 9, plat D, had his \$3,600 valuation reduced \$800, making it \$7,800.

Mrs. Sarah Newell, owing 74x82½, lot 5, block 46, plat B, assessed at \$4370, asked that her valuation be reduced because it was excessive. The board said it was not.

Mrs. H. Anderson, Eleventh Ward, claimed that she was not able to pay \$20.80 tax. Five dollars were remitted.

Miss William W. Fewings, Twenty-First Ward, had her tax remitted.

The James Glude estate asked reduction on the ground that the valuation was excessive and that the property cannot be sold because the will of deceased has not gone to probate. The property is lot 4, block 96, plat D. Five dollars were remitted.

Elbridge Tufts asked for a correction on personal property assessed twice at \$300. Granted, as also was a reduction of \$1300 on stock.

Z. G. Eardley, Third Ward, asked a reduction on parts of lots 3 and 4, block 21, plat A, assessed at \$25,070. Refused.

The D. M. Duncanson estate, by the widow, asked a reduction on lot 8, block 47, plat C, assessed at \$4200. The assessment was confirmed.

Thomas Ward, Sixteenth Ward, a cripple, had his \$2 tax remitted.

Sarah E. Cook, owner of lot 2, block 68, plat A, assessed at \$21,500, asked a reduction. Denied.

Wm. Haystick, Nineteenth Ward, asked a pruning of his valuation on two lots in block 114 and 116, plat A, amounting to \$3800. The assessment was confirmed.

Mrs. Clara M. Clawson asked a reduction on part of lot 3, block 4, plat I, valued at \$8000. The appeal was heeded \$1500 worth.

George Kilpack, owner of part of lot 6, block 20, plat A, asked a reduction on his \$1650 assessment, which he claims is too high. The assessment was also confirmed.

Alfales Young asked that the assessment on lot 1, block 19, plat D, be cut from \$13,900 on realty and \$1500 on a house, amounting to \$15,400, down several dollars, viz., one-third. The board declined.

Midgley & Sons for F. A. Atwood asked a reduction on part of lot 2, block 71, plat A, near the Thirteenth Ward school, assessed at \$22,900. Denied.

Miss M. E. Gillespie asked for a reduction on her tax \$4 worth. She lives in the Fifteenth Ward. All the tax was remitted.

John McDonald, owner of lot 5, block 89, plat A, assessed at \$55,900, asked for reduction on the ground of excessive valuation. The board decided to confirm. A money matter of \$5117 was referred to the assessor.

M. H. Kimball, Seventeenth Ward, owns some property and wants a reduction. Referred to the assessor, who will act in the matter in connection with some other matters.

The board decided to reduce the tax of lots 5 and 6, block 93, plat A, 25 per cent on the recommendation of Mr. Clute, who stated that the grading had depreciated the value.

George W. Price, Fifteenth Ward, asked a reduction in his tax of \$28.50. He claims his personal property will not exceed \$100. The assessment was confirmed.

Mrs. L. S. Tingey, who owns 8x10 on North Temple, between First and Second West, had her taxes remitted \$5 worth.

The board decided that two committees be appointed to investigate the railroad grievances, the building associations and the banks. The committees are: Railroads, Karrick, Spafford, Pembroke; Building associations and banks, Lynn, Anderson and Pickett.

The board then adjourned until Saturday, September 6th.

The Board of Equalization met promptly at 7:30 o'clock on Saturday evening, Sept. 6, with Mayor Scott in the chair and disposed of the following cases:

The valuation of \$16,640 on two and one-half full lots in the Fifteenth Ward, owned by T. J. Brown, was confirmed.

The request of Frank Pierce for a reduction on the valuation of his lot, \$8100, situated on Fourth South Street, was denied. Assessor Clute remarked that Mr. Pierce's request was one of the most cheeky made.

Mrs. Joseph Edwards' request for a reduction in the valuation on her property in the Ninth Ward, \$2900, was not granted.

Sarah Pickering's request for a reduction in the valuation on her property in the Third Ward, \$3200, met with a similar fate.

Mrs. Jane Findley's request for a remittance of her tax of \$10 on account of poverty was denied.

The valuation of \$7800 on Richard Collett's property in the Nineteenth Ward was confirmed.

The board refused to reduce the valuation of \$6600 on the property of J. S. Bowers in the Seventh Ward.

Maria Baddley was relieved from paying taxes on \$3000 cash, for the excellent reason that she didn't have it.

The valuation of \$32,000 on Church property in the Fifteenth Ward was confirmed.

The valuation of \$6900 on the property of M. H. Kimball in the Seventeenth Ward was confirmed.

Elias Morris' request for a reduction in the valuation on his property, \$60,000, was denied.

Mrs. Jonasson, of the Thirteenth Ward, wanted the valuation of \$22,000 on her property reduced because she was a widow. The request was refused.

James Chesney failed to get a reduction on the valuation of \$8800 on his property in the Seventh Ward.

The valuation of \$5100 on Hyrum Wagstaff's property was reduced to \$4000 because the railroads cut up his land.

The valuation of \$52,800 on the property of G. M. Downey was confirmed.

J. T. Chamberlain was relieved from paying \$52 taxes because he didn't own any property.

The tax of John B. Lewis, of the Twentieth Ward, amounting to