

## DESERET EVENING NEWS

Organ of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

PUBLISHED EVERY EVENING.  
(Sundays excepted).

Corner of South Temple and East Temple Streets, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Charles W. Penrose - Editor  
Horace G. Whitney - Business ManagerSUBSCRIPTION PRICES.  
(D. Advanced)

One Year	\$1.00
Six Months	.45
Three Months	.25
One Month	.10
Saturday Edition, Per Year	.50
Semi-Weekly, Per Year	.30

NEW YORK OFFICE:  
In charge of F. C. Cummings, manager.  
For advertising from our Home Office,  
1127 Park Row Building, New York.SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE:  
In charge of F. J. Cooper, 5 Geary St.Correspondence and other reading matter  
for publication should be addressed to the  
EDITOR.Address all business communications  
and all remittances:THE DESERET NEWS,  
Salt Lake City, Utah.Entered at the Postoffice of Salt Lake  
City as second class matter according to  
the Act of Congress, March 3, 1879.

SALT LAKE CITY. - JAN. 10, 1905

## DESERET NEWS 'PHONES.

Persons desiring to communicate by telephone with any department of the  
Deseret News may save themselves and  
this establishment a great deal of annoy-  
ance if they will take time to notice these  
numbers:For the Chief Editor's office, 74-3.  
For Deseret News Book Store, 74-2.  
For City Editor and Reporters, 359-2.  
For Business Manager, 359-3.  
For Business Office, 359-2.

## STORIES REPUTATED.

Readers of the News will remember  
that we made some comments a few  
days ago on an atrocious statement  
made by one Albert N. Hoxie of Boston,  
and copied into a Salt Lake morning  
paper, in which he advised that Joseph  
Smith, leader of the Reorganized church  
be called to Washington as a witness,  
alleging that "he knows of persons  
escaped from the endowment house  
and the terrible fate that awaited them  
and who fled from the State, barely  
escaping with their lives." He said  
further: "The practice of sacrificing hu-  
man beings I have reason to believe is  
going on now, only in greater secrecy  
than ever."We expressed our doubt that the  
leader of the "Reorganization" although  
greatly opposed to the Church in Utah,  
would countenance such statements at  
Hoxie's, but that he would disclaim  
any participation therein. We are  
pleased to see that the gentleman takes  
occasion in "The Saints Herald" of  
January 4, 1905, to repudiate all connec-  
tion with this "unwarranted inter-  
ference with the affairs of the  
Committee on Privileges and Elec-  
tions, so far as the Reorganized  
church is concerned." He announces that "The church has not  
authorized anyone to advise the sen-  
atorial committee as to the conduct of  
their investigation, nor has the Re-  
organized church by its constituted  
leading authorities ventured to inter-  
fere in any wise with the investigation  
by suggestion, or any offer of evidence."President Smith of the "Reorganization"  
refers to some action taken by  
members in reference to the investiga-  
tion, but denies that this is to be taken  
as the action of the church. Some of  
its leaders, acting under his instruc-  
tions, he says, have attended sessions of  
the committee but simply to obtain in-  
formation. He says further that, "The  
statements made by Elder Hoxie, if he  
is reported correctly, were unauthorized  
by the church, were ill-advised and  
cannot be productive of any good, but  
may and probably will do harm." The  
gentleman also denies that he has any  
evidence of the nature of that stated  
by Hoxie, and adds: "We are in serious  
doubt in regard to such evidence being  
in Elder Hoxie's possession, and in-  
clined to believe that what he thinks is  
evidence are but idle and vicious stories  
gathered from the awful files of terrible  
tales told about the Mormons by  
those who are at enmity with them."We think the "Reorganized" church  
and its President should be absolved  
from any blame that may have been  
attached to them because of the abominable  
stories told by Hoxie and copied  
into anti-Mormon papers. And we wonder whether these will have the  
grace to publish the repudiation of  
those gruesome stories set forth in the  
organ of the "Reorganization."

## A GOOD TESTIMONY.

The "Mormon" Church as it is viewed  
by the people who think they know all  
about it, but what information (?) is  
derived from anti-Mormon literature,  
is once more among the current topics.  
Truly history does repeat itself in this  
particular, that the Saints, as in the  
days of the early Apostles, are a people  
"everywhere spoken against."While on a visit to El Paso to attend  
the Irrigation Congress, we heard of a  
discourse delivered by Rev. Robert  
Bruce Smith, which made very plain  
the fact that he knew little or nothing  
of the "Mormon" people or the "Mor-  
mon" religion, which formed the subject  
of his harangue. We did not take the  
trouble to give it special attention, but  
we find that it has been replied to by  
friends, and among them the editor of  
El Progreso, a paper published at  
Casas Grandes, Chihuahua, Mexico.The gentleman is not a "Mormon,"  
but he is thoroughly acquainted with  
the people of the "Mormon" colonies in  
that region and has studied to some extent  
the principles of their faith, while  
their lives, condition, industries and  
prospects are familiar to him, for he  
lives among them and is in daily com-  
munication with many of them. He  
thus comments on the preacher's dis-  
course, and we clip the article out of  
respect to Mr. Fred E. Eldredge, the  
writer, and as the testimony of a non-  
"Mormon" who knows whereof he  
speaks. He says:

"Some time ago a sermon of Rev.

Robert Bruce Smith was printed in an  
El Paso paper, and it contained the  
most outrageous statements about the  
Mormon people and Mormonism, yet  
that quoted sermon is absolutely untrue.  
Most of the statements are the  
opposite of truth. The proper way to  
answer such an article is to print the  
whole of it, so there is no chance for  
mistake, and then to reply, show just  
where the writer of the article is in er-  
ror."This we intend to do, as it is an injury,  
a great wrong, to misrepresent the  
people who in their colonies, form a  
large part of the English speaking peo-  
ple of this section of country.It takes time and considerable type  
setting to answer Rev. Smith in de-  
tail."But we say now, that after living  
seven years among the Mormon people  
and doing business with them con-  
tinuously, we know them and their  
teachings to be directly opposite in  
most particulars to what Rev. Smith  
has stated. We know that the Mormon  
people to be as a class, peaceable, in-  
dustrious, honest, temperate, law-abid-  
ing people. The Mormon people in the  
United States are among the most loyal  
to the government, and the Mormon  
people in Mexico are sincerely loyal to  
the government of Mexico."While we are not a member of the  
Mormon Church, we know that the  
Mormon people use the same Bible that  
is used in all Christian churches (King  
James version), that they sincerely and  
prayerfully try to obey its commandments  
and follow its teachings. We know  
that the Mormons are a good people  
and are good, loyal citizens, are free  
from bloodshed and oppression, and  
that they are a great people to develop  
a country and build it up and make it  
prosperous."It is argued from the state of tumult  
in which the country has been plunged  
that the government will be compelled  
to make temporary peace with Japan  
in order to set things in order at home.  
This may be a too hasty conclusion.For, it may be as dangerous to end the  
war in disgrace as to continue it. But  
the time should be opportune for the  
friendly powers to offer their good of-  
fices in the interest of arbitration and  
peace.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

New York World.  
It was an irretrievable mistake that  
the revolutionary movement now pro-  
ceeding is not a simple disturbance by  
the south of the country. It is a move-  
ment which reflects the attitude of  
public opinion dangerous and ter-  
rible, not only in Russia, but for  
Europe as well. Therefore it is the duty  
of every true Russian citizen to do what  
he can to prevent the impending calamity.Recently I had the happiness to  
see the emperor. I reported to him truly  
in all sincerity the present state of  
public opinion. I tried to explain to  
His Majesty that what is now proceeding  
is not a simple enemity (for) it is a  
revolution. The Russian nation is  
now divided into two parties which it  
does not want and which may be pre-  
pared by the emperor if he shows confi-  
dence in the people. My strong belief  
is that if the emperor will himself unite  
the national forces around him he will  
relieve Russia from the terrors of an  
impending bloody revolution. If he will  
do so the nation will support his auto-  
cratic power."It is argued from the state of tumult  
in which the country has been plunged  
that the government will be compelled  
to make temporary peace with Japan  
in order to set things in order at home.  
This may be a too hasty conclusion.For, it may be as dangerous to end the  
war in disgrace as to continue it. But  
the time should be opportune for the  
friendly powers to offer their good of-  
fices in the interest of arbitration and  
peace.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Law Notes.  
Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment  
is losing rather than gaining  
ground in civilized countries. It is now  
inflicted in England and in only a few  
of the Continental countries of Europe.  
In the United States it is used only in  
Delaware and Maryland. And perhaps  
it still remains to a limited extent in Aus-  
tralia. The punishment is not inflicted  
upon women in England, Delaware or  
Maryland. In England there is an in-  
creasing reluctance on the part of the  
judges to inflict the punishment.

## NOT IN FAVOR.

Notwithstanding the advocacy now  
and then of the rehabilitation of the  
whipping-post, that form of punishment