prosecuting officers in a certain and positive way concerning situation but they contended to do that only certain circumstances. For some reason or other there period just that Salt Lake City had been complained to the city due to this local land water supply this or that person had taken Rights water without authorization. Lately there seemed nothing. However, we do in this country, we publish some given some prominence to things of a disagreeable or unpleasant character. He don't know but only one or two when conditions were true. But there were not the only things that were out of joint.

IN DEFIANCE OF LAW.

There were some acts done wrong illegal and contrary to authorized certain as to the city supply, and instructions, which it should be stopped. There were four or five cases brought against those officers, but whether guilty or not they seemed to be acting and that in the drive. Counsel for defense had sought to prove that the Rich was a close citizen of growing exhibition. It was to that were done in good and been committed under the law.

A WHITE BLACK MAN.

Thompson, in conclusion, left the weight and burden on the consciences of the living of Christ. It was to be expected that he would do so and of the death for White. He said that he a "man of his instincts" he was the "whitest man, black or white" he ever knew. But that made no difference to him. An officer had been killed and he would be never responsible of prosecuting it. Then Mr. Waite needed the testimony of all of his own witnesses, and he had no better than to be truthful since he every one of them, without exception had "shaded the facts."

A BOW THAT WILL KILL.

Putting on one of the pieces worn by Thompson on the night of the fatal battle, the prosecution dragged into an open space near the jury box and assuming a defensive attitude said "Then men are trained to be pugilists work one arm behind their backs, take this same Thompson and he could strike a blow that would, in a case where his opponent was not drilled to take punishment, or where he was not skilled to duck, dodge or feint, kill instantly."

FIGHTING FOR A PRIZE.

Prize fighting, irrespective of the disagreeable definition of legal condition that was a fight for a prize. Thompson was a man who would be found guilty of the Rich was a fight and would be found over to the grand jury to be tried by a higher court. Prize fights were brutal and demoralizing. Those who engaged in them were enemies to society and to themselves. For instance Corbett, was the prize of the country and a public representative of American athletic and physical manhood was he was credible witness; now a complete wreck owing to the work of his profession. Other similar cases were also cited.

PERSISTENT PARASITES.

The pugilists of this city were parasites, hangers on, who did not add one penny of society or capital for the good of the people. Why they should be protected by the police department was a mystery. Such people didn't advance or encourage manly sport in any particular. He would say, so did the News in an editorial commenting on the conduct, it was decidedly, positively tantalizing and those who aided or abetted it in any way were guilty of contributing to the commission of crime. Here Attorney Waite cited a number of killings such as the Carter tragedy, Thompson, he concluded, should be held to the district court.

STRANGE REASONS DISMISSAL.

Attorney Hersey, in reply said he had always believed that Salt Lake was a good city to live in. But since listening to the vivid portrayal by counsel of its wickedness, he felt been suddenly forced to strike up his mind that it was a veritable "hell hole" of iniquity and corruption. Under so sinister cons and sparring attributions were counsel. They flourished to every large city in the United States. Here counsel for the prosecution, had evidently if either on the stage of action as a great moral reformer. Counsel for the defense had ever read the statutes of morality and sentiment with the best as to the people, his late of our great government, but he now felt that so far as this fair community was concerned it would remain safe and secure in the protecting hands and care of a highly moral prosecution. The question at bar was not one of morality at all, but one of law and as such should be decided on what the statutes declared.

MOTION TO DISCHARGE DISMISSED.

The arguments having been concluded the court overruled the motion to discharge the defendant and took up the matter of admitting him to bail. This was fixed at $2,000 though the court intimated that it would sanction a motion to reduce it in the event that there was good reason for so doing. Thompson, however, it thought, $2,000 a very low mark—fair to the State and alright the prosecution.

OTHER CASES DISPOSED OF.

The case against the management of the state and his referee for prohibition, sitting with the judge, was dismissed by mutual agreement set for tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock and the court adjourned until that time.

LAST SUNDAY'S PROCEEDINGS.

After the News went to press last evening the witnesses examined were Dr. J. F. Critchlow, John E. Hoover, Carl B. Hart, Thomas H. Hart and E. G. Ivins. The testimony given by them was simply corroborative of that given earlier in the day and was developed nothing new. After it was taken the court announced an adjournment until 10 a. m. today.

Missionary Party.

Tomorrow, Wednesday evening, a complimentary farewell outing will be given to the Twelfth ward meeting house, commencing at 8 o'clock. An excellent programme has been arranged, which will be followed with dancing. The committee in charge consists of Bishop Hyrum M. Smith, President Joseph F. Smith, President Anthon H. Lund and the Twelfth will accompany Elder Hodgson on his journey to the world of love to the world.

Primary Notice.

It is desired that the presidents of Primary associations of Salt Lake Stake, who have failed to send reports ending June 30, forward the same as soon as practicable.

MRS. ELLEN W. TAYLOR, Sec.,
112 west First North street, city.

TO THE LAND BOARD

Attorney General Bishop Answers Legal Questions as State Leads.

AS TO THE RIGHTS OF OCCUPANTS.

A Will Not Further Our Needed and Will Be—Some Hard Facts Settle Popular.

Attorney General Bishop today rendered the following opinion to the State board of land commissioners, which is in course this afternoon:

I have before me a series of questions propounded by your honorable board upon which you request advice.

Question 1—Does a person have a preference right to purchase who was an occupant of any of the following on January 1st, 1896: (1) Sixteen sections, (2) 32 sections, (3) 32 sections in Government surveyed lands, (4) Government surveyed lands, (5) Unsurveyed lands?

In the 19th chapter 9 of the laws of 1895 provides thereof and benefits settlers or occupants who have improved state lands and who were, on January 1st, 1895, actual settlers or occupants thereon, shall have a preference right to purchase land whenever such settlement has been made or occupied for a period of five years within such section, and shall be entitled to a patent for a quantity not exceeding one hundred and sixty acres of land in the State of Utah.

Question 2—Does a person settle on a preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 3—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 4—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 5—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 6—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 7—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 8—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 9—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 10—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 11—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 12—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 13—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 14—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 15—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 16—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 17—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 18—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 19—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 20—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 21—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 22—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 23—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 24—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 25—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 26—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 27—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 28—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 29—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 30—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 31—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 32—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 33—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 34—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 35—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 36—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 37—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 38—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 39—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 40—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 41—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 42—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 43—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 44—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 45—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 46—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 47—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 48—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 49—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 50—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 51—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 52—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 53—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 54—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 55—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 56—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 57—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 58—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 59—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 60—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 61—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 62—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 63—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 64—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 65—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 66—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 67—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 68—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 69—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 70—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 71—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 72—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 73—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 74—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 75—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 76—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 77—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 78—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 79—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 80—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 81—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 82—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 83—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 84—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 85—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 86—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 87—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 88—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 89—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 90—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 91—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 92—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 93—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 94—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 95—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 96—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 97—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 98—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 99—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 100—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 101—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 102—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 103—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 104—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 105—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 106—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 107—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 108—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 109—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 110—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 111—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 112—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 113—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 114—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 115—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 116—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 117—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 118—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 119—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 120—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 121—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 122—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 123—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 124—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 125—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 126—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 127—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 128—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 129—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 130—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 131—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 132—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 133—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 134—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 135—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 136—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 137—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 138—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 139—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 140—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 141—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 142—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 143—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 144—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 145—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 146—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 147—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 148—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 149—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 150—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 151—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 152—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 153—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 154—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 155—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 156—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 157—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 158—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 159—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 160—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 161—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 162—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 163—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 164—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 165—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 166—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 167—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 168—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 169—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 170—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 171—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 172—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 173—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 174—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 175—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 176—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 177—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 178—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 179—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 180—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 181—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 182—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 183—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 184—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 185—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 186—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 187—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 188—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 189—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 190—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 191—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 192—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 193—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 194—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 195—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 196—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 197—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 198—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 199—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 200—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 201—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 202—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 203—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 204—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 205—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 206—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 207—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 208—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 209—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 210—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 211—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 212—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 213—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 214—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 215—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 216—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 217—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 218—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 219—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 220—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 221—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 222—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 223—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 224—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 225—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 226—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 227—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 228—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 229—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 230—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 231—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 232—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 233—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 234—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 235—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 236—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 237—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 238—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 239—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 240—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 241—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 242—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 243—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 244—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 245—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 246—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 247—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 248—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 249—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 250—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 251—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 252—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 253—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 254—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 255—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 256—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 257—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 258—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 259—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 260—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 261—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 262—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 263—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 264—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 265—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 266—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 267—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 268—Has a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

I think this question should be answered in the negative. The preference right should be defined by a person in personal use, and not such a use as would invest him with the right to extend it to subjects and sale.

Question 269—Can a person settle on preference right acquired under this act?

Question 270—