ATTORNEY EVANS ARGUES FURTHER

Concerning the Testimony in the Charges Before Committee.

SAYS WE ARE IN DANGER.

Appeals to Committee in Behalf of Poor Men, and Comments Upon the Influence of Wealth.

Mr. Evans continued his argument yesterday afternoon before the investigating committee. He reviewed and commented upon the testimony given in the Fouch-Schecker conversation, the Tribe-Jackson construction of the phrase used by Fisher Harris, "Never mind the sacrifice," and upon the incident the speaker remarked, "So far as I am individually concerned I acquit Fisher Harris of any corrupt methods in this senatorial contest. But there were some peculiar things about it, and I mention them because they are very peculiar." The case of Mr. Lapish and his conversation with Mr. Dunbar in reference to the air-brake bent received attention.

The Betts-Cheether rumor, the Calder-Thomson talk, and the Whitney telegraphical settings came in for a share of attention, and the payment of Democratic campaign bills amounting to $50, through Chairman Lloyd, was then commented upon.

"There may have been no thought in the mind of any accompanying upon the part of Mr. Lloyd. I believe him to be an honorable man, and acquit him of any such thought, but within the principles laid down by the authorities which we have here quoted, it is not right, it is not a free and untrammeled ballot, free from all influences, pecuniary or any other, of an improper nature."

THE CHAMBERS CONTRACT.

Referring to the Chambers-McCune contract in relation to the Herald, he said:

"According to the testimony Mr. Chambers putting the lease of the Herald at $1500 a month, aggregating $4,000. These bonds were to be paid by Mr. McCune. What was the consideration for it? The consideration was this: Chambers, not McCune, Chambers merely has an option—an option to do what? To do one of two things: First, he can assume Mr. McCune's place himself the management of the house which had been lowered, or failing to secure him give him $5 shares of the Herald stock, which, with five shares already held by McCune, would make 500 a majority of the stock of the paper. Whose option was it? It was Chambers who had the option. McCune had no option to take it upon any terms he pleases. Why, gentlemen of the committee, look at that contract. Look at it. What does it mean? Here is a paper, it is true, that during these months there have been—as shown by published paper in a State containing a quarter of a million people. Is it reasonable that Mr. Chambers would sell one-half the entire stock of that paper to Mr. McCune for the paltry sum of $4,000?

"Is that all the Herald is worth? Is it only worth $4,000 in all? Why, our counsel insisted upon the ground that it ought to be worth at least $100,000."

CAMPAIGN EXPENSES.

"Here is another circumstance, gentlemen. I want now to give you the amount of money which has been expended in this campaign—campaign contribution given by Mr. McCune, according to his own statement. I will put at Fisher's, $2,000. Fisher, he says, in this senatorial contest, he himself and Fisher Harris, the sum of $5,000. The Herald, if he had put them to pay, would aggregate in round figures $6,000. Add to that the salary at $500 a year for the six years would only reimburse him for the money he has expended according to his own statements. Why, gentlemen, is there one of you here who does not believe that this senatorial campaign from the testimony as it has been developed here will cost Mr. McCune at least the sum of $50,000?

"Ah, gentlemen, if the air were charged and impregnated with false rumors, what an injustice was afforded there to Mr. McCune to say to Mr. Law, to dispel them by saying, 'These things are false.' If he had the money, if he could, he would expend it in order. These rumors are false. My complaint is clear, it is honorable, it is just." Mr. Law had said that if he had his statement been true, if he had received Lam, A. W. McCune would have been launched in the Senate of the United States before this.

"Mr. Speaker—Mr. Webster once said about murder 'will out,' and at the risk of time when almost enough numbers have had presented to support the number of witnesses with their faculties to defend or assist almost a single arm of the prosecution, I think it was valiantly assisted by the art of Mr. Dey. We contend outside of the merit of such facts, whether it is the duty of the Senate as they exist. How is it—how is it that A. W. McCune has risen to the importance to which he has already attained? How is it that he has risen a senator of the United States, and how is it that he has almost achieved an election? How he even filled a similar office within the gift of the people of the State? Are he views known upon public questions? Or has he not, as I did, shown by his acts or deeds or part of Mr. Law is, would he reasonably not be considered a standing fact? How is that he has risen to that importance?

COMPARED TO A SERPENT.

"I cannot answer this unless it be by that bag of money that is most improperly for the purpose of secretly and wrongfully trying the minds of men that those who are alone in the Legislature, but the citizens of the people that he has in his mission upon the streets in one and the other, the other, the other,