This evening Elders Jonathan Golden and Ellas Kimball met with a large and appreciative audience. They have recently been called, with Elder F. M. Pugmire, of St. Charles, this Stake, 10 act as the Stake Presidency of the Y. M. M. I. A. Associatious. They reported that they had, with the exception of Randolph and Woodruff, to the south of us, which they purpose visiting the next two days, met with all the associations in the Stake.

Elder Elias Kimball gave us a brief narrative of his late experience in the Southeru States as a missionary, which was of an animating, faith-promoting was of an animating, faith-promoting

was of an animating, faith-promoting character.

many other places on our little sphere.

On Friday evening Brother John Cox of Woodruff and three others were lifting a very heavy log over one end of a wayou here in town. It seems that the four were on the side nearest the wagon, when Brother Cox found that he could not lift with one shoulder, and he got on the other side of the log, and as it was about to be thrown over he slipped and it fell on bim and he very narrowly escaped with his life. As it was, there was a piece of flesh ripped off his head as large rs a mulo's suoe, starting from the upper part of his forehead to the middle of his crown. Assistance was rendered him immediately, the flesh put in place and stitched together and since has heen dressed. He is a mun of great fafth and will power and is doing maivellously well, all circumsiances corsidered, at this writing. Respectifully yours.

## A WORD FROM THE OLD NORTH

KINGS MOUNTAIN, N. C., November 20, 1886.

Editor Deserct News:

Wnile looking over some of the latest

While looking over some of the latest issues of four semi-weekly, it occurred to my mind that perhaps it had come my turn to give an account of myself.

On the lith of September, 1885, I left Salt Lake City on a mission to the Southern States. The journey from Salt Lake to my destination was consummated without any accident or noteworthy incident. At Chattanooga I was met by Elder Robins, who appointed me to labor in the South Carolina Conference, where I have since been assiduously laboring for the spread of the truth. During the past fourteen months, I have had a spiendid opportunity to notice the progress of the work of God in the south and to hear words of praise and blame conhear words of praise and blame con-cerning the ame, but must say I have heared nothing more about the Latterday Saints than was said about the Former-day Saints by the scribes and

It is astonishing to see the amount of wickedness that exists among people of all classes. It appears that all are fast filling their cups of impulty and preparing for swift destruction.

The people in this section of the country are very ludifierent in regard to religious matters. Their whole interest appears to be chiefly centered upon worldly matters.

It is reported that some of the most religiously inclined, who by the way are nearly as scarce as hen's teeth, have been greatly strengthened in their faith by a woman preacher, who chims to be sanctified. She gets her authority to preach from the passage in the New Testament where Jesus tells Mary Magdalene to go and inform his brethren that he had risen from the dead New Testament where Jesus tells Mary Magdaleae to go and inform his brethren that he had risen from the dead. She believes in preaching the Gospel without money and tells her hearers that if they want to give her anything just to hand it over to her husband, as she don't wish to abuse her power in the Gospel. This, according to an old radical, is simply beating the devil round the stump. A mob spirit is still rille in the lower settlements. A few days ago, the mobecrats, supposing a meeting would be held in their immediate neighborhood, organized and repaired to where they expected to find the Elders. Their disappointment can readily be imagined when on their arrival they discovered that the Mormon Elder, like the Irishman's flea, were somewhere else. Two of the local brethren that have been quite bold in advocating "Mormonsm" have also been threatened with violence. The main instigator of these unhallowed proceedings is one Joseph Hoffman, accused of preaching for ducks and proceedings is one Joseph Hoffman, accused of preaching for ducks and divining for coffee.

Ever praying for the prosperity of your valuable exponent of truth and liberty, I remain your brother in the Gospel,

JOSEPH THORUP.

#### OGDEN DEPARMTENT.

DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS.

DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS.

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 3D. — At ten o'clock this morning, the Judge, in a brief, clear, comprehensive manner, charged the jury in the case of the United States vs. P. A. Nielsen. The penalty attached to the crime with which he is charged is a fine of not more than \$500, or imprisonment in the penitentiary not more than one year. At 10:10 the jury retired.

The grand jury then filed into court, and through their foreman, presented a large bundle of documents of formidable sizes, supposed to contain indict.

able sizes, supposed to contain indict-

character.

Brother Golden Kimball counseled the officers of the associations to be broad and liberal-minded and especially to use good judgment in giving a wide range of subjects, and to suit subjects to the capacity of the person appointed and to take a course to draw by persuasion and loving kindness our young men into line as sons of Ziou.

By the way, Rich County is blessed with a half dozen returned missionantes. Five of them have come home within the past year, and the other within the past two years. The prudence of the Presidency of the Stake is manifested in having these men in active service as home missionaries and at the head of the Y. M. M. I. A's of the Stake.

With such and many other similar helps and agencies in operation, "Mormonism" will be found a hard plant to kill in Bear Lake valley, as also in many other places on our little sphere.

On Friday evening Brother John Cox of Woodruff and three collers ware.

mame of one of the witnesses was not endorsed on the foot of the indictment. He argued that there were two ules or reasons why the motion should be granted and why the document should be tranted and why the document is that the may have time to ascertain the character of the accusers, and have time to accept or impeach the credibility of those witnesses. He read various authorities to sustain his position, lie proved from competent authorities to sustain his position, lie proved from competent authorities to sustain his position, lie proved from competent authorities that the names of all the witnesses must appear in the indictment in order to warrant a proper finding. He also showed another defect in the indictment, which was that instead of a lady and a grootleman, the names of two ladies were endorsed on the document as witnesses, which renders it imperiect and invalid.

After a fex remarks in reply from Mr. Hiles, the Court took the matter of the accessed the People, etc., against Thomas Read, Scu., and Thomas Hull, charged with obstructing a public road, was called. The defense offered to waive a jury and have the case tried before the Court. He remarked that the question into yolved was one more of law than of fact. The Court, however, preferred to havef a jury impaneled, which was done, and F. Fowers was called and sworn as a witnesse socion to state what section of the statute the indictment was draw under. Are the second Ward have under consideration another entertainment. This time it is "British Born," but which they will present under a time it is "British Born," but which they will present under a time it is "British Born," but which they will present under a time it is "British Born," but which they will present under a time it is more than the will present under a time it is of obtaining money under false present of other than the present of the count of the count of the case of the People, etc., against this defendant had been dismissed, and the order would be continued for the count of the call dictment does not charge the commission of any punic offense. In support of this motion, he showed that section 369 had been repealed before the indictment was found, and that the indictment did not charge any offense under section 2,166, which only applied to a person who mahelously digs up, removes, displaces, breaks, or otherwise injures or destroys any public bighway or bridge, etc. In this case the indictment charges that defendants had obstructed a public road by place. the indictment charges that defendants had obstructed a public road by placing a barbed wire fence across it, but this did not come within the meaning of Section 2165, and, if it did, the indictment was fatally defective in that it did not charge that the act was done maliciously. Counsel then read section 21 of 'An act pertaining to highways,' approved March 11, 1886, and which he said was intended to reach this class of cases. The section reads 'Any person wno has crected or maintains, or may hereafter erect and maintain a fence or other structure along of Section 2166, and, if it did, the indidetment was fatally defective in that it did not charge that the act was done maliciously. Counsel then read section 21 of "An act pertaining to highways," approved March 11, 1886, and which he said was intended to reach this class of cases. The section reads "Any person wno has erected or maintains, or may be reafter erect and maintain a ferce or other structure along and upon any portion of a public highway, and who, after being duly not fided by the County Court to remove the same, shall neglect or refuse to do so within tweaty days, or such further time not exceeding three months as may be determined by such County Court, is guilty of a misdemeaner." The court then asked Mr. Hiles what he had to say about it. That gentleman, after some hestiancy, replied that he thought the motion would have to be allowed. His Honor sustained the objection, and at once instructed the jury to bring in a verdict of "Not guilty," which they did without leaving their seats.

This case has been before the courts and the public for a considerable time.

Mr. Raw, ins continued his address to the jury in which he still labored to the figure in the first and the public for a considerable time.

This case has been before the courts and the public for a cousiderable time, and much interest has been manifested

MISCELLANEOUS.

MISCELLANEOUS.

BOUNCED AGAIN! In the impaneling of the jury in the case of The People vs. Thomas Read, charged with misdemeanor, after eleven jurors were called and "passed for cause," there was one lacking. The name of Wm. Studer was then called. That gentle man appeared, was exanined, and promptly "excused" by Mr. Hiles. Was there any animosity in this proteeding, or was the challenge purely in the interest of justice, with a desire to get "twelve good men and true." Other challenges followed, but "Billy's" was a summary one and the first.

To-DAY the graud jury ignored the charges against the following persons: lieury Tribe, for unlawful cobabitation; John Jacobs, for a similar offense, and John Oberlander, charged with attempting to obtain money under false pretenses.

HENDERSON does JUDGE practice nor believe in passing two sentences on one person for a penitentiary offense in one day; but he nevertbeless considers that as soon as one term expires, the defendant should be called up for sentence on the other

THIS MORNING (December 1st) H. B. Gwilliam was arraigned and took the statutory time to plead. There are three counts in the judictment charging him with unlawful cohabitation. THE TRIAL OF BERGEN

was then continued to the finale. Rawlios occupied some time addressthe Court for the purpose of showing that the marriage of defeudant with Maria Madsen was
illegal---his lawful wife Caroline being
alive in 1874. He asked that the jury
be so instructed.

they did without leaving their seats.

This case has been before the courts and the public for a cousiderable time, and much luterest has been maulfested by the people in relation to the result, which seemed to give general satisfaction.

At 2:20 p.m. the jury in the Nielson case came into court and stated that they had not been able to arrive at a verdict. They were again remanded to the jury room for consideration of the matter. A recess was taken till 2 p. in.

This afternoon Henry B. Gwilliams was arraigned on a three-countlindictment and pleaded "not guilly" to all of them. The trial is set for Wednesday, Dec. 8th.

At 2:40 the jury came in and stated that they could not agree on a verdict in the Nellson case. They had balloted a great number of times on the case, but always with the same result. That result was not stated. Feeling that they had exhansted ail the means they had in endeavoring to arrive at a verdict and falling to do so, the jury were discharged. Unless the prosecution abandons the case, it will necessitate a new trial.

The court then took a recess until five o'clock p.m.

In the discharged was been manifested to the pury local that they do and that the defendant has married Matilda Lundsted the was very earnest, eloquent and logical, embraced in its fame a review of the marriage career from the first period of his wedded life to the time he is alleged to have married Matilda in Logan; and occupied 70 minutes in its delivery.

Mr. Dickson addressed the jury for the prosecution. He started out by saying that yesterday the defense said that they could not agree on a verdict in the Nellson case. They had balloted a great number of times on the case, but always with the same result. That result was not stated. Feeling that they said the law was one thing and to-day they said the law was one thing and to-day they said the law was one thing and to-day they said the law was one thing and to-day they said the law was one thing and to-day they said the law was one thing and to-day they said the law

duced, that he was innocent, it was his duty to ask the jury to acquit him, and connsel would do so. Mr. Dickson said, however, that it made no earthly difference to him what became of this case, neither did it to the Government; but he was there to do his duty in the premises. He said counsel for the defense had declared that Matilda was the lawful wife of defendant.

defendant.
[Mr. Rawlins denied this statement, and explained what he did say.]
He traveled over a good deal of ground desirate over a good deal of ground covered by his remarks yesterday, dwelt at considerable length on the fact that defendant was a member of the "Mormon" Church, that that church commanded its members to practic polygamy, and that defendant had obeyed that command when he went to the Temple with Matlidu Lundsted.

practic polygamy, and that defendant had obeyed that command when he went to the Temple with Matidia Lundsted.

He claimed that it had been proved that Bergeu had been parried to Matidia, or that he was a lecherous beast. He continued his usual tirade of abuse and vulgarity about the "Mormon" Church, which occasionally found an echo in the minds of a few sensationalists in the audience, and which they demonstrated by audible smiles; but which were suppressed by the Court. In reviewing the marriages of defendant with his several wives, he appeared to take special pains frequently to stigmatize Matilda Lundsted as a harlot, a strumpet, etc. In regard to the life or death of the wife Anna, counsel said it was the duty of defendant to put himself in communication with her relatives or acquaintances, and thus determine facts in the case, that such facts could be placed before the jury for their information and action at this trial. This he had not done, yet the defense asks the jury to acquit him on the merest presumption in regard to her existence. But counsel declared she was dead when he married Madsen, and he knew it or she would have been heard from soon after that matrimonial alliance.

Fifteen minutes to four he closed bis speech, and the Judge charged the jury. He said in order to convict the defendant and they must first find beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about November 2nd, 1874 he married Maria (Madsen, and that both parties were leasly competent to contract the marriage, there being no legal impediment in the way. If they find these to be facts, then they must find beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 12th day of April, 1886, defendant married Matilda Lundsted, and that his wife maud was still alive, living and cohabiting with defendant as his lawful wife. The jury retired at 4 o'clock. In an hour and a half a verdict of guilty was rendered. Defendant was given until January 3d, 1887, to perfect a motion for a new trial.

Themas Bullock was called for sentence. He stated through his coun

Themas Bullock was called for sentence. He stated through his counsel, Capt. R. Smith, that he had no legal objection to give why judgment should not be passed on him; but he asked the clemency of the court as he was poor and had no means to pay a fine. He could not give the promise that would suspend the sentence and would submit to the judgment of the court. His honor regretted that Mr. Bullock could not give the promise, and seutenced him to six months in the penitentlary. penitentlary.

Myron W. Butler was called. There are two counts in the indictment against him. He is also a poor man and has but little property. He could not make any promise for the future as to obeying the Edmunds law. He was sentenced on one count only. It is six mouths in the peatentiary and a fine of one hundred dollars, to stand committed until the fine is paid.

This Mouning Governor Stanford arrived from the Bay City and went to Evanston, Wyoming. He was accompanied by Congressmen Felton and Morrow, of the Golden State.

B. C. Sandbergh, an Englishman, made application this morning for citizenship. In reply to the court, he said be "knew polygamy was a crime." He was willing to sit on juries, go into the American army, fight for the Republic and do all other things he may be required to do to promote the interests of his new country. He was admitted.

### BIRTH

GREENWOOD.—In Brighton, Bannack Stake of Zlon, Idaho, Nov. 23, 1883, 10 the wife of Robert Greenwood, a fine hoy. All doing well.

### ESTRAY NOTICE.

T HAVE IN MY POSSESSION:

One dark sorrel STALLION, 3 years old, strip in face, front feet white, left hind foot white; no brands visible.

If not claimed and taken away within ten days from the date heroof, I will sell the same to the highest cash hidder, at 2 o'clock p. m., at my corral.

SAMUEL J. POLLICK.

District Poundkeeper. Kanarra, Doc. 1, 1886.

### ESTRAY NOTICE.

HAVE IN MY POSSESSION:

One dark brindle and white 2 year old HEIFER, dark around head; no brand or mark visible.

If said animal is not claimed it will be sold at my corral, December 18th, 1886, to the highest cash bidder, at 2 o'clock p. m.

DAVID MOORE,
Echo Precinct Poundkeeper.

Castle Rock, Summit Co., Utah, Dec. 1, 1886.

#### LEGAL NOTICE.

In the Probate Court, in and for Salt Lake County, Territory of Utali.

In the matter of the Estate of John Lindgren, deceased.

Order appointing time and place for set-tlement of final account and to hear petition for distribution.

petition for distribution.

N READING AND FILING THE PEtition of Z. Snow, Administrator of the estate of John Lindgren, deceased, setting forth that he has filed his linal account of his administration upon said estate have been fully paid, and that a portion of said estate remains to be uivided among the heirs of said deceased, and praying among other things for an order allowing said final account and of distribution of the residue of said estate among the persons entitled. It is ordered that all persons interested in the estate of the said John Lindgren, deceased, be and appear before the Frohate Court of the Lounty of Sait Lake, at the Court Room of said Court, in the County Court House, on the 3sth day of December, 1886, at 11 o'clock a me, then and there to show cause why an order allowing said final account and of distribution should not be made of the residue of said estate among the heirs and devisees of the said John Lindgren, deceased, according to law. It is further ordered that the Clerk cause copies of this order to be posted in three public places in Sait Lake County, three weeks successively prior to said 20th day of December, 1886.

ELIAS A. SMITH, Probate Judge.

Dated November 7:th, 1886.

TERRITORY OF UTAH,

TERRITORY OF UTAH,

County of Sait Lake. 

I, John C. Cutlor, Clerk of the Phobate
Count in and for the County of Sait Lake, in
the Territory of Utah, do hereby certify
that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
copy of order appointing time and place for
settlement of final account and to hear petition for distribution, in the matter of the
fatistic of John Lindgren, deceased, as appears of rebord in my office.

In witness whereof, I have herounto set my hand and affixed
the seal of said Court, the Stite
day of November, A. D. 1886.

JOHN C. CUTLER.

JOHN C. CUTLER,
Probate Clerk.
By H. S. CUTLER, Deputy. w 3w

# CURE FITS!

ours the worst cases. Boombs there have failed in mercans for not new receiving a cure. Send at once for a frecise and a Free South of one for a fixed on a fixed for the fixed on the fixe

### NOTICE TO CREDITORS.

Estate of William Squire, Deceased.

OTIOE IS HEREBY GIVEN BY THE undersigned, Administrator of the estate of William Squire, deceased, to the creditors of, and all persons having claims against the said deceased, to exhibit them with the necessary vouchers, within tenmonths after the first publication of this notice, to the said administrator at his residence in St. George, the same being the place for the transaction of the business of the said estate.

THOMAS P. COTTAM.
Administrator of the Eslate of William
Squire, deceased.

Dated at St. George, Washington County, Utab, November 23rd, 1886. w 4w

### LEGAL NOTICE.

In the Probate Court, in and for Salt Lake County, Territory of Utah.

in the matter of the Estate of Mary B, Golightly, deceased,

Order appointing time and place to hear petition for distribution.

Order appointing time and place to hear petition for distribution.

On READING AND FILING THE PEtition of Issae Brockbank, Administrator of the Estate of Mary R. Golightly, deceased, eetting form that he has filed his final account of his administration upon said estate in this Court, that all the debts have been fully paid, and that a portion of said estate remains to be divided smoon the helirs of said deceased, and praying among other things for an order allowing the final account and of distribution of the residue of said estate among the persons entitled. It is ordered that all persons interested in the estate of the said Mary B. Gulightly, deceased, be and appear bofore the Probact Court of the County of Sait Lake, at the Court Room of said Court, in the County Court House, on the 30th day of October, 1888, at 11 o'clock a. m., then and there to show cause why an order allowing said float account and distribution should not be made of the residue of said estate among the holes and devisces of the said Mary B. Golightly, deceased, according to law.

It is further ordered that the Clerk caned copies of this order to be posted in tercapulate places in Sait Lake County and put lished in the Deserret Werkel, News, a newspaper printed and circulated in Sait Lake County, three weeks successively prior to said 30th day of October, 1886.

ELIAS A. SMITH, Probate Judge.

ELIAS A. SMITH, Probate Judge. Dated September 24th, 1886.

TERRITORY OF UTAH,
County of Sait Lake.

I, John O. Cutler, Clerk of the Probate
Court in and for the County of Sait Lake, in
the Territory of Utah, do hereby certify that
the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy
of order appointing time and place for set
themens of account and distribution in the
matter of the Estate of Mary B. Golightly,
deceased, as appears of record in my office.

In Witness whoreof, I have hereumto set my hand and added the seal of east Court, this 24th day of September, A. D. 1886.

JOHN C. CUTLER, Probate Clerk,