

IF YOU DON'T TELL the people through the newspapers what you have to sell, you can't sell your goods. Our subscribers read the ads.

LAST EDITION—24 PAGES.

Cardinals Cast Their Ballots.

Secret Taken But No Pope Is Elected—Some Did Not Know How to Fold Papers—Oreglia Demonstrated To Them Manner of Doing It—After Counting All Ballots Cast, They Were Burned—Rampolla in the Lead.

Rome, Aug. 1, 7:50 p. m.—According to a reliable source of information it is stated that at the first ballot this morning Cardinal Rampolla received the largest number of votes, Oreglia, Di Pietro, Agliardi, Vampa and Capececiro. The other votes were scattered. It is impossible to verify this report.

8 p. m.—Apparently reliable information, which, however, it is impossible to verify, is to the effect that Cardinal Rampolla received 20 votes, Serafini Vannutelli, 12; Gotti, 5; Oreglia, 5; Di Pietro, 4; Capececiro, 4; and Agliardi, 3; the rest being scattered.

Rome, Aug. 1, 10:40 a. m.—The cardinals all awoke at an early hour this morning, to the ringing of a loud bell, to realize the solemn business before them. Mass was said in the Pauline chapel by Cardinal Oreglia, the camerlingo, who afterwards administered communion to each cardinal. The scene was really most majestic, when the members of the sacred college, as humble communicants, advanced to receive the communion from the hands of the camerlingo, who gave subsequently a short address on the solemn nature of the occasion. After this each cardinal celebrated another mass, either at an altar in the Sala Ducale or in his own rooms at a moveable altar prepared for the purpose. Breakfast, consisting of a cup of coffee and rolls, followed. This was taken privately by the cardinals in their cells.

Then the real business of the day was at hand. At 10 o'clock all the cardinals assembled in the Sistine chapel for the first ballot. Many were the grumblings at the uncomfortable beds, the heat, the odors and other discomforts endured, one cardinal declaring he had not slept a wink because of the mosquitoes. The chapel presented a picture of much animation and beauty. Violet was the leading note of color. Six candles on the altar gave a peculiar light, in conjunction with the daylight streaming through the windows and gleaming on the empty thrones on the long line of seats with their baldachins (canopies), occupied by the cardinals intent upon the business at hand. Everything was bright. Even the baldachins, which were now raised over the cardinals' chairs in the Sistine chapel are a sign that each cardinal is in the mood to do his personal part in the sovereignty of the apostolic see, but when a pope is elected they are removed, the new pontiff alone remaining with this symbol of power.

The cardinals went to the Sistine chapel accompanied by their conclaves carrying portfolios and papers. When Cardinal Oreglia was seated, all the cardinals following him, the prayers were offered, after which Mgr. Cagliano, the governor of the conclaves called "exi omnes," thus announcing to the conclaves that the business of the day was over, and that the cardinals alone. The voting papers used by their emblems are somewhat different from those of 1878, being a little longer and narrower, but the printed descriptions are the same, the blank spaces being filled in, at the moment of voting with the name of the voter at the top and that of the cardinal voted for at the bottom.

Some of the cardinals did not know how to fold their papers, which caused considerable confusion. Cardinal Oreglia, the camerlingo, demonstrated the folding of a ballot to those near him, and they in turn showed others how it must be folded. Each ballot was sealed with no distinguishing mark from the others.

The next ballot will proceed much more smoothly and quickly. Each cardinal in turn, holding his ballot between his first finger and thumb so that every one present could see it, advanced slowly to the altar, where a long chalice was standing, knelt and prayed briefly for the election and then, rising, took the following oath:

"I call God to witness. He who shall judge me, that I elect him who I think should be elected according to God. This I promise to do also in the access to vote."

So saying, the cardinal dropped his ballot in the chalice, bowed before the altar and returned to his place.

The balloting took a very long time, as many of the cardinals, owing to their advanced age and illness, moved slowly and were obliged to have the assistance of their colleagues.

After the balloting was finished, the chalice was covered and well shaken and the ballots were publicly counted. Then, passing them into a receptacle placed on the table in the center of the chapel, the first cardinal scrutinizers opened the first ballot and passed it to a third cardinal, who read it out in a loud voice and the other cardinals noted with interest the printed list of names with which each was provided.

As no cardinal received the prescribed two-thirds of the votes a supplementary ballot was taken, with no less than all the ballots were burned, the smoke being seen from the left side of the piazza of St. Peter's, where a few curious people, mostly newspapermen, had gathered to record this mute message.

It was said on good authority that Cardinals Herrerio and Langenieux were unable to leave their cells this morning because of the heat. This box was locked immediately after having taken to the altar his own vote, Cardinal Oreglia showed the members of the sacred college a small empty box having a lock on the latch. This box was locked in the presence of all the cardinals and the key was placed on the altar, after which the three cardinals scrutinizers carried the box to the apartment of the invalids, who after taking the prescribed oath, dropped their ballots through the slit into the box, which was taken back to the Sistine chapel, locked and the ballots deposited with those of the other cardinals in the chalice on the altar.

The balloting this afternoon did not differ in form from that of this morning. From the moment the box was locked it does not appear that much progress has been made toward a result, although it is stated that the Rampolla party remains compact with a tendency to increase its strength.

The two invalid cardinals are reported to be somewhat better. The cardinals, who, like Gibbons, have rooms with full southern exposure, found the heat at midday almost unbearable. The afternoon ballot ended at 6 o'clock, when the crowd saw the smoke of the burning ballots ascending from the chapel. Owing to the lateness of the hour at which the burning of the ballots took place many rumors became current and hope was even entertained that a new pope had been elected.

Another of the cardinals, Archbishop of Lyons, Oullie, has also fallen ill. Cardinal Herrerio y Espinosa, it is learned, is suffering from heart disease. Cardinal Oreglia, in a moment of weakness, insisted that he wanted to leave the conclaves, saying he should not remain confined any longer, but he was prevented from doing so by the camerlingo, who said that the cardinals alone. Cardinal Langenieux is still ill.

RAMPOLLA LEADS.

Paris, Aug. 1.—Lee Times this afternoon announced that it has special reason for believing that the outcome of the conclaves will be as follows:

Cardinal Rampolla having secured the greatest number of votes, and not sufficient to elect, will withdraw in favor of Angelo Di Pietro, proclay of the late pope, who is his intimate friend and co-conspirator, who, if elected, will name Domenico Ferrata, prefect of the congregation of bishops and regulars as his secretary of state.

Paris, Aug. 1.—Lee Times this afternoon announced that it has special reason for believing that the outcome of the conclaves will be as follows:

Cardinal Rampolla having secured the greatest number of votes, and not sufficient to elect, will withdraw in favor of Angelo Di Pietro, proclay of the late pope, who is his intimate friend and co-conspirator, who, if elected, will name Domenico Ferrata, prefect of the congregation of bishops and regulars as his secretary of state.

Paris, Aug. 1.—Lee Times this afternoon announced that it has special reason for believing that the outcome of the conclaves will be as follows:

Cardinal Rampolla having secured the greatest number of votes, and not sufficient to elect, will withdraw in favor of Angelo Di Pietro, proclay of the late pope, who is his intimate friend and co-conspirator, who, if elected, will name Domenico Ferrata, prefect of the congregation of bishops and regulars as his secretary of state.

Paris, Aug. 1.—Lee Times this afternoon announced that it has special reason for believing that the outcome of the conclaves will be as follows:

Cardinal Rampolla having secured the greatest number of votes, and not sufficient to elect, will withdraw in favor of Angelo Di Pietro, proclay of the late pope, who is his intimate friend and co-conspirator, who, if elected, will name Domenico Ferrata, prefect of the congregation of bishops and regulars as his secretary of state.

Paris, Aug. 1.—Lee Times this afternoon announced that it has special reason for believing that the outcome of the conclaves will be as follows:

Cardinal Rampolla having secured the greatest number of votes, and not sufficient to elect, will withdraw in favor of Angelo Di Pietro, proclay of the late pope, who is his intimate friend and co-conspirator, who, if elected, will name Domenico Ferrata, prefect of the congregation of bishops and regulars as his secretary of state.

Paris, Aug. 1.—Lee Times this afternoon announced that it has special reason for believing that the outcome of the conclaves will be as follows:

Cardinal Rampolla having secured the greatest number of votes, and not sufficient to elect, will withdraw in favor of Angelo Di Pietro, proclay of the late pope, who is his intimate friend and co-conspirator, who, if elected, will name Domenico Ferrata, prefect of the congregation of bishops and regulars as his secretary of state.

DESERET TIMES NEWS.

TRUTH AND LIBERTY

SATURDAY, AUGUST 1, 1903. SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH.

PUT AN AD. IN THE "NEWS" if you would reach the purchasers in this city. Our readers look for your store news.

FIFTY-THIRD YEAR.

INDICTMENTS MAY BE QUASHED

Likelihood of Such Action in Gustave Kroeger's Case.

EVIDENCE IS INSUFFICIENT.

Believed Defendant Will Be Used as a Witness in Other Criminal Actions Now Pending.

From all indications the 14 indictments returned against former Justice of the Peace Gustave Kroeger by the state of Minnesota against the Northern Securities company, the Great Northern railway, the Northern Pacific railway company, and James J. Hill, as president of the Northern Securities company and individually, in which he sustained the contentions of the defendants and dismissed the bill of complaint of the state. He finds that the Northern Securities company has not violated the state laws forbidding the consolidation of parallel and competing lines of railway through its ownership of the stock of the Great Northern and Northern Pacific railways. He refuses the injunctions asked by the state to discontinue the retention of the stock of the two railroad companies or of either of them.

This decision affects the results of a conspiracy to violate the law in the circuit court in no way whatever. The federal suit involved the same defendants and the same general state of facts, but was based on a totally different set of circumstances. The securities company wins, was based on an allegation that the defendants violated acts of the Minnesota legislature, forbidding the consolidation of parallel and competing lines of railway.

FOR ALLIED INJURIES.

Kate Stevenson Wants \$10,150 from Street Railway Company.

A \$10,150 damage suit was filed in the district court this afternoon by Kate Stevenson against the Consolidated Railway and Power company to recover that amount for injuries received by plaintiff on May 11, 1902. It is alleged that plaintiff attempted to board a Second South street car at the corner of Second South and State streets, and was struck by one of defendant's cars and dragged a distance of about 25 feet and severely injured. The accident, he claims, was due entirely to the negligence of defendant, which allowed a car to be run at a reckless rate of speed, to wit, 20 miles per hour, and failed to sound the gong on the car or warn plaintiff of its approach.

GILL DIVORCE CASE.

James L. Wallace, by George B. Wallace, his guardian ad litem, today filed suit in the district court against the Consolidated Railway and Power company to recover \$1,000 damages for injuries received by plaintiff on May 4, 1902. It is alleged that while crossing the street car track on East Temple street, between Second and Third South streets, plaintiff was struck by one of defendant's cars and dragged a distance of about 25 feet and severely injured. The accident, he claims, was due entirely to the negligence of defendant, which allowed a car to be run at a reckless rate of speed, to wit, 20 miles per hour, and failed to sound the gong on the car or warn plaintiff of its approach.

WIFE CHARGES HUSBAND WITH CRUELTY, DESERTION AND FAILURE TO PROVIDE.

Cruelty, desertion and failure to support are the grounds upon which May Gill seeks a divorce from Elijah Gill. The complaint, which was filed in the district court today, alleges that the parties were married at Yates Center, Kansas, on April 1, 1897 and that defendant deserted plaintiff in April of this year and has ever since failed to provide for her. It is also charged that defendant has cursed, struck, choked and threatened to kill his wife, thereby causing her great mental distress. She asks that she be awarded the custody of their child and \$20 per month alimony and that her maiden name, May Poynt, be restored to her. The defendant is an actor and is at present in Boston.

YOUNG BOY KILLED.

Daniel Hill of Ogden Receives a Fatal Kick From a Horse.

Ogden, Aug. 1.—Daniel Hill, a 12-year-old boy residing with James Morris near Madison's place on West Twelfth street, was kicked by a horse and instantly killed at 1 o'clock this afternoon. Just how it happened no one seems to know, but it appears that the boy had gone on an errand to Mr. Madison's and was riding the horse when he must have been thrown off and kicked in such a way as to break his neck, this being the condition in which the little fellow was found. Death must have been instantaneous.

RELIEF SOCIETY OUTING.

Will Take Place at Saltair Beach on Monday Next.

The Relief Society of the Salt Lake stake will give its annual outing to Saltair Beach next Tuesday. A splendid program of exercises has been arranged and it is expected that a good turnout will be in attendance on that day. The committee is desirous that the matter be given as much publicity as possible and to this end requests that the churches of the different wards encourage the outing in their meetings tomorrow night.

A NARROW ESCAPE.

Little Girl Falls Out of a Two-Story Window at City Cemetery.

The three-year-old daughter of Howard King, clerk at the city cemetery, fell out of a two-story window of the house there late yesterday afternoon. She alighted on her shoulder and was badly bruised on the side of the face and head. The wonder is that the little girl was not more seriously hurt.

Securities Wins Its Suit.

Judge Lochren in U. S. Circuit Court at St. Paul Sustains Contentions of Defendants and Dismisses Bill of Complaint of Minnesota—Company Not Guilty of Violating Laws Against Consolidating Parallel-Competing Lines.

St. Paul, Aug. 1.—Judge Lochren today in the United States circuit court handed down his decision in the case of the state of Minnesota against the Northern Securities company, the Great Northern railway, the Northern Pacific railway company, and James J. Hill, as president of the Northern Securities company and individually, in which he sustained the contentions of the defendants and dismissed the bill of complaint of the state. He finds that the Northern Securities company has not violated the state laws forbidding the consolidation of parallel and competing lines of railway through its ownership of the stock of the Great Northern and Northern Pacific railways. He refuses the injunctions asked by the state to discontinue the retention of the stock of the two railroad companies or of either of them.

This decision affects the results of a conspiracy to violate the law in the circuit court in no way whatever. The federal suit involved the same defendants and the same general state of facts, but was based on a totally different set of circumstances. The securities company wins, was based on an allegation that the defendants violated acts of the Minnesota legislature, forbidding the consolidation of parallel and competing lines of railway.

The hearing of the state suit was held Friday, June 5, before Judge Lochren in the federal circuit court. It followed the submission of much testimony by both sides for many months in which Frederick G. Ingels of this city acted as referee. The final argument lasted five days, in which Attorney General Ames, Attorney General Geo. B. Wilson and Attorney M. D. Munn spoke for the state and George B. Young, M. D. Grover and C. W. Hum for the defendants. The case was decided on August 1, 1902, and the appeal to the United States supreme court is now pending in the federal suit. Judge Lochren's decision says in part:

"The defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, is a Minnesota corporation which has, as stated in the bill, acquired the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations. That said Great Northern and Northern Pacific companies have, by the consolidation of their lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby created a monopoly of the railroads of the state of Minnesota, and that the defendant, the Great Northern Railway company, has, by the consolidation of its lines, and the acquisition of the property rights and franchises and the management and control of other specified railway corporations, thereby