They are uniting themselves with the as he sees the subject, and that is Republican or the Democratic party as known to the creatures who repeat the they choose, and the Peopls's party is lie that he has been 'recalled' and that no more.

The purpose in view in circulating the story to which we allude, is to make an excuse for the continuance of the "Liberal" faction. There are still people in some parts of the Territory who can be scared by the bugaboos which "Liberal" schemers manufacture. It is necessary to the maintenance of the "Liberal" hold upon them, to invent just such flutions as this about the People's party and "priestly rule," And the "Liberal" bosses are equal to the task.

It is a poor cause that has to be bolstered up with falsehood. It cannot last long. It may linger for a while and make a great noise, but its doom is sealed and the whole refuge of lies will be swept away. And in full view of patent facts, it is really astonishing that even "Liberal" liars will go to such lengths as the latest story they have set on foot.

SOMETHING MORE THAN "INUENDO."

WE have shown to the satisfaction of every reasonable reader that the statement of the Sait Lake Tribune, that John Henry Smith had been "recalled" from his political labors "by the priesthood," and its further statement that the recall was "irrevocable" were utterly false and without the slightest foundation in fact. And we have cited in proof of our refutation, the publication of Mr. Smith's appointments and reports of the meetings at which he has heen speaking.

Now the Tribune says the NEWS has been "trying to establish by inuendo that when we said John Henry Smith had been recalled from the campaign we did not state the truth." How much "inuendo" there was in our exposure of the Iribune's lying, may be seen from these paragraphe, which we reproduce from the DESERET NEWS of Monday evening:

"We supposed that the reports which are published, nearly every day, of meetings in which John Henry Smith has been discussing political issues from a Republican standpoint would be sufficient refutation, and would silence even the shameless scribes of the 'Liberal' organ of falsehood.

"But they continue to repeat their untruths for which there is not the slightest foundation in fact, and that too, in spite of the well-known public labors of Mr. Smith, which have been continuous witbout cessation."

"John Henry Smith has been working every day, speaking every night, the untruthful scribes who started the the San Diego newspaper office

may join the pational political parties. on the beauties of Republican political the recall was 'irrevocable.'"

If that is in the nature of "inuendo," perhaps this will be considered straight enough talk: When the Tribune stated repeatedly that John Henry Smith had been recalled by "the Priesthood" from working in the political field as a Republican, on each occasion, it wilfully and maliciously lied. And, further, there is scarcely an issue of the Tribune in which intentional faisehoods concerning occurrences or individuals do not appear. Moreover, when the truth is made so clear that it is undeniable, instead of withdrawing its statements or acknowledging its error, its common course is to repeat its lies in another form, or shelter itself behind the skirts of some nameless "reliable old lady" or "respectable citizen," who is alleged to have told somebody who told the Tribune.

This time it says:

"We did not manufacture the matter at all, it was told by a prominent Mormon, who knows, to a prominent Gentile, who is perfectly reliable, and by him it was told to us

Suppose this is true, is that ground enough to justify what the Tribune originally said? Here it is:

"Certain it is that John Henry Smith

"Certain it is that John Henry Smith has had a recall. It is protty tough, after he started out to be an evangelist for the Republican party, to have bis wings clipped in a single fortnight." "We know whereof we speak. We know that Apostle John Henry has been called to account, and in that call the whole business is given away, and that is, that the priesthood of the Church manip-ulated this thing from the first, and they are holding the same iron hand on the are holding the same iron band on the rein that they have through all the half century that has just closed."

The Tribune knew whereof it spoke; it was certain and sure; it was no mere rumer but something positively known to the editors. How did they know it? Why some unnamed "Mormon" told it to some unnamed "Gentile," who told it to somebody else, and that is Iribune proof, and all the proof it has for numberless other lies which it publishes as pretended facts.

Now it does not matter whether a "Mormon" or a "Gentile," or a dozen of either class told the stupid story about John Henry Smith's "recall," it must be evident to the whole community that there is no truth in it, for the gentlemin whose name and work have been called in question has been and is working diligently in the political field, the same as before the falsehood was coined, and it would seem that common prudence would suggest to

rumor, the propriety of acknowledging at least that they were mistaken. But no. In face of the facts they lie on and add another falsehood to their black catalogue. Here it is:

"At the time we said that, we thought that the Apostle would be sent out on one more roundup. That has been decided upon and the conditions are very funny. We hope in a few days to be able to give the entire proofs."

The "funny" part of this is that the Tribune did not say anything of the kind when it uttered the original lie, which was on Tuesday, June 30. But last Sunday, referring to the matter, it sa.id.

"By the way, a few days since we an-nounced that Apostle John Henry Smith had been recalled from his work of pros-elyting for Republicans; that the Demo-erats had complained that he was using his apostolic influence among the people, which was had wad that in consideration his apostolic influence among the people, which was bad, and that in consideration of their complaint Apostle John Henry had been recalled. The Herald poo-poohed at this. We believe the Times did, but has John Henry been out on the range making any more Ropublican con-verte? We fear not. We thought for very shame they would send him out once more, but it seems the recall was ir-revocable. John Henry, like all other good Saints, knows how to receive orders and to ohey them."

What a tangled web these clumsy deceivers have woven! Compare their various statements and behold their complicated contradictions! If some unknown "Mormon" did mention a rumor which they caught up eagerly, what a mess of falsehood they have concocted to make it appear that it was a matter of "irrevocable" certainty, known to them personally, and a fact in spite of indisputable proofs to the contrary!

We repeat the statement that there is no truth in the Tribune's original story, but it is of the same class of deceptive assertions that may be found every day in the columns of the most unprincipled, unreliable and unmanly journal in America-the "Liberal" organ, the Salt Lake Tribune, which ought not to be trusted by any person or paper in the country when the truth is wanted concerning Utah and its affaire. This will probably be understood as something more than "inuendo."

AN AMERICAN MONTE CARLO KING.

OUR long lost ex-Governor, Ell H. Murray, is once more above ground. We were about to despair of ever seeing his beautiful face again. The last authenticated account of him until now related that during one of his spells of irrational self-inflation, succeeding a perusal of the latest joint eulogy upon his name by Kate Field, he had strayed from