

EVENING NEWS. Monday, January 9, 1905.

FRAGMENTS.

E. F. HALL, forfeited \$5 today for drunkenness.

GOLDEN H. GIVVER was fined \$10 in the Police Court today, for drunkenness.

No Utah & Northern train left Ogden last evening, owing to the heavy snow on the road.

The wife of J. W. Jenkins, Jr., presented him with a daughter—weight ten pounds—today.

The Utah Wool Association held a brief session this morning in the County Court House, and adjourned till this afternoon. There was a large attendance.

HON. JOHN R. CARLISLE and C. F. O'NEILL failed to appear at the organization of the Council this afternoon, supposedly in consequence of the blockade on the Utah & Northern.

G. H. GIVVER informs us that the strictures by a city contemporary were entirely out of place; that he is not the kind of person described and was not in the condition described.

BROTHER HENRY BEAL, first counselor in the Sanpete Stake presidency, was released from the penitentiary today. He has served a term of four months and paid a fine of \$300 for unlawful cohabitation. He leaves for home this afternoon.

SIG. D. C. VIVO, manager of the Grand Operatic Concert Co., which appears in the Theatre tonight, called on us this afternoon. He has brought a great many first-class performances on our way and promises that this will not be found second to any.

Two following officers have been appointed by the board of directors of the Twentieth Ward Institute: John James, chairman of the programme committee, with Arthur B. Simmons, Peter Elliott, Ellen V. Romney and Lucy Savage as his assistants; James T. Dunbar, leader of the choir; Ida Daynes, organist.

MOTHER BOOTH died at her residence Tuesday night. The old lady was very well known and highly respected. She is the mother of Dr. Booth who was here a few months since, but has since located in Salt Lake. Mother Booth was well advanced in years. The funeral services were conducted yesterday.—*Deer Union.*

At Liberty.

Brother Henry Beal, of Ephraim, Sanpete County, a member of the Stake presidency, was released from the penitentiary yesterday morning, having paid a fine of \$300 and served a term of three months' imprisonment on a charge of unlawful cohabitation.

Despically Mean.

Last evening, in the Strenuous Ward meeting house, while divine service was being held, some individual entered the vestry and smashed in the crowns of all the stiff hats, in some instances ripping the crowns from the rims and leaving the hat. The perpetrators of such mischief should be promptly punished and made to pay for the damage.

The Leap Year Ball.

Grand preparations are being made for the Leap Year Ball in the Theatre, which occurs on the evening of the 18th. The ladies having the affair in charge are making unusual efforts to have it not only pleasant, but more so than any similar affair of the kind. The dress circle is to be arranged as much like a parlor as its circular shape will permit and the decorations and arrangements generally will be first class. The best of refreshments and an elegant supper will be provided by Prof. Ball.

A Celestial Visitor.

On Sunday evening last, just before darkness had fairly settled down upon the city, a meteor of dazzling brilliance appeared, illuminating the heavens for several seconds. To the observers it appeared as a ball of white flame nearly as large as a baseball, and seemed to descend from a point a little north of the zenith in an easterly direction, remaining visible for some time, when it slowly passed out of sight behind the Wasatch Mountains. It left no perceptible trail of light. To indicate its course and appeared to be in close proximity to those who saw it.

Smallpox in Nevada.

A dispatch from Sierra City says: There are thirteen cases of smallpox here, and the opinion prevails that the end is not yet. A special session of the board of supervisors was held at Downville yesterday. A health committee was appointed, a tax levied and strict quarantine principles adopted. Green & Co.'s stage made its last trip from Downville today. The outgoing mails will probably be stopped tomorrow. A staunch health committee has been appointed, which is doing everything within its power to check the spread of the disease. All business has been at a standstill for the past fourteen days. A pest house has been erected and the cases will be moved there. No person can leave town under a penalty of four days' imprisonment or a fine. A severe quarantine is prevailing here at present, which is hoped will tend to check the progress of the disease.—*Elko Free Press*, Jan. 7.

Campanini Operatic Concert.

The most notable musical and fashionable event of the theatrical world will take place tonight at the Salt Lake Theatre. The high standing of the artists, especially the head and front of the organization, makes their visit most important. Signor Campanini, Mme. Scialchi, Balduino, Nanette, Corsini, Gore make the strongest combination of singers that ever visited our city, and our musical community should not lose this opportunity to hear them in a choice programme of the best operatic gems. There is also a young lady violinist, Mme. Torricelli, who has achieved great success everywhere. The San Francisco critics have been very enthusiastic in their praise. The organization is the most complete concert that has ever visited this part of the country. Campanini, who is the impresario of the company, has been the leading talent of the United States for ten seasons, and his name alone should draw a crowded house. Mme. Scialchi is considered the greatest contralto of the world. The other artists are all brilliant stars in the musical world.

Signor Campanini, Mme. Scialchi, Balduino, Nanette, Corsini, Gore and Mme. Torricelli arrived this morning in the Campanini special car, where they live during the tour. The car is fitted up in splendid style, with an Italian cook and servants.

There will doubtless be a large attendance tonight.

SUPREME COURT.

A Brief Session of the Territorial Court Today.

At 10 o'clock this afternoon the first session of the January term of the Territorial Supreme Court was held in this city. Chief Justice Zane and Associate Justices Boreman and Henderson were present. The following settings were made:

TUESDAY, JAN. 10.

13. Peter Thompson, appellant, vs. John C. Whitlock and Elmer Taylor, respondents; from First District. P. Emerson for appellant, A. G. Sutherland & Son for respondent.

17. Edward H. Orth, respondent vs. C. O. Whitmore, appellant; from First District. Williams & Waite for respondent, N. Tanner, Jr., for appellant.

WEDNESDAY, JAN. 11.

14. James Thompson, appellant, vs. Jennette P. Thompson, respondent; from Third District. C. O. Whitmore and J. B. Sutherland for appellant, W. Van Cott for respondent.

16. Ogden City, appellant, vs. John McMillan and R. G. Giesler, respondents; from First District. S. Tanner, Jr., for appellant, L. R. Rogers for respondent.

21. James B. Hopkins and Reuben C. Cleveland, respondents, vs. Ogden City, appellant; from First District. James V. Kinnaird, P. Denny and J. W. Emerson and N. Tanner, Jr., for appellant.

THURSDAY, JAN. 12.

15. The People of the Territory of Utah, respondent, vs. George S. Peters and C. W. Zane, appellants; from Second District. W. Christian for appellant.

FRIDAY, JAN. 13.

18. The United States, respondent, vs. Frank Tresander, imp., appellant; from Second District. Geo. S. Peters and W. H. Dickinson for respondent, Arthur Brown and Le Grand Young for appellant.

19. The United States, respondent, vs. Nathaniel V. Jones, imp., etc., appellant; from Second District. Geo. S. Peters and W. H. Dickinson for respondent, Arthur Brown and Le Grand Young for appellant.

20. The United States, respondent, vs. John Harris, appellant; from First District. Geo. S. Peters and W. H. Dickinson for respondent, W. N. Dusenberry, S. R. Thurman and Geo. Sutherland for appellant.

22. The People of the Territory of Utah, respondents, vs. Andrew Calton, appellant; from Second District. Geo. S. Peters and C. W. Zane for respondents, P. Denny and J. W. Christian for appellant.

23. George Mumford, respondent, vs. Dickert & Myers Sulphur Company, appellant; from Second District. P. Denny for respondent, C. W. Zane for appellant.

SATURDAY, JAN. 14.

24. The People of the Territory of Utah, respondents, vs. Maurice McGraw, appellant; from First District. Ogden Hiles and J. N. Kimball for respondents, E. D. Hoge and Geo. Sutherland for appellant.

SUNDAY, JAN. 15.

25. William E. Barlock and Joseph W. Condon, appellants, vs. Elizabeth Richards and Elizabeth C. Shupe, respondents; from First District. J. D. Kimball and A. R. Heywood for appellants, P. M. Mauley for respondent.

26. Societa Des Mines D'Aargot et Fonderies de Bingham, a corporate body, appellant, vs. Bingham, a corporate body, respondent; from Third District. Marshall & Hoyle for appellant, Kenneth Harkness & Kirkpatrick for respondents.

27. L. L. Loebe, plaintiff and respondent, vs. Stetson, et al., defendants, appellants, and Union Pacific Railway Company, respondent and appellant; from First District. A. R. Heywood for respondent, P. L. Williams for appellant.

MONDAY, JAN. 16.

28. Matthew Orr, appellant, vs. John T. Rich and James W. Rathall, respondents; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for appellant, Sheeks & Rawlins for respondents.

TUESDAY, JAN. 17.

29. John J. Kelly, et al., respondents, vs. Andrew J. Kershaw, et al., appellants; from First District. Dickson & Varian for respondents, Williams & Waite for appellants.

WEDNESDAY, JAN. 18.

30. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

THURSDAY, JAN. 19.

31. John J. Kelly, et al., respondents, vs. Andrew J. Kershaw, et al., appellants; from First District. Dickson & Varian for respondents, Williams & Waite for appellants.

FRIDAY, JAN. 20.

32. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

SATURDAY, JAN. 21.

33. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

SUNDAY, JAN. 22.

34. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

MONDAY, JAN. 23.

35. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

TUESDAY, JAN. 24.

36. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

WEDNESDAY, JAN. 25.

37. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

THURSDAY, JAN. 26.

38. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

FRIDAY, JAN. 27.

39. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

SATURDAY, JAN. 28.

40. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

SUNDAY, JAN. 29.

41. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

MONDAY, JAN. 30.

42. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

TUESDAY, JAN. 31.

43. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

WEDNESDAY, FEB. 1.

44. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

THURSDAY, FEB. 2.

45. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

FRIDAY, FEB. 3.

46. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

SATURDAY, FEB. 4.

47. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

SUNDAY, FEB. 5.

48. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

MONDAY, FEB. 6.

49. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

TUESDAY, FEB. 7.

50. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

WEDNESDAY, FEB. 8.

51. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

THURSDAY, FEB. 9.

52. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

FRIDAY, FEB. 10.

53. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

SATURDAY, FEB. 11.

54. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

SUNDAY, FEB. 12.

55. The following were submitted for rehearing, and taken under advisement:

The Wasatch Mining Co. respondent, vs. Joseph A. Jennings et al., appellants; from Third District. Sutherland & McBride for respondent, P. L. Williams and Sheeks & Rawlins for appellants.

John W. Burgett et al., respondents, vs. Richard Grant et al., appellants; from Third District. E. D. Hoge and W. L. Snyder for respondents, Arthur Brown for appellants.

FROZEN TO DEATH.

James Kendall Found Dead in Cottonwood Canon.

This afternoon the body of a man named James Kendall was brought to this city and taken to Undertaker Jos. Wm. Taylor's, where it is awaiting the holding of the coroner's inquest. Mr. Kendall has been working at Alta Little Cottonwood, as cook to Mr. Wallace. On Saturday he started down the canon, with snowshoes, for this city. He was met about six miles from Alta later in the day, and was all right at that time. Yesterday morning some parties found him about five miles farther down the canon, and about three-quarters of a mile above the Quarry, lying dead in the trail. His snow shoes were gone, and it was evident he had thrown them off, probably having found them troublesome because of softness. The body was frozen stiff, and partially covered in snow and ice. The ends of his fingers, on both hands, were bitten nearly off, probably in his efforts to keep them warm. He was about 37 years of age, and was a carpenter by trade.

THE U. & N. BLOCKADE.

The Delayed Express Gets Through Safely at Last.

The Ogden Standard gives the following account of the blockade on the Utah & Northern, of which brief mention has been made in our columns:

The delayed train due in Ogden at 9:40 a. m. on Friday, departed from Logan at noon on that day bearing the express, mail, and passenger cars. It reached Collinston without mishap, and started on the down grade. Reaching Brigham City, it was found that the freight train, which left Ogden at 11 a. m., had been stopped by a snowdrift. In switching, both of the engines with which it was moved had become stuck in the snow. The deep snow, making it impossible for the express to pass. Every effort was made by the railroad men about both trains to get the engines on to the track. Night came on, and as it was evident that the snow would not get through till morning, the greater number of the passengers endorsed themselves singly and went to sleep. Food was procured at the homes of the settlers near by, and there being plenty of coal on the train, the engines were kept burning. The snow was apparent of either starving or freezing, the latter being the more probable. At an attempt was made to take the passenger train back to Collinston, where more comfortable quarters could be secured. It was found that the engines which had brought it from Logan, did not contain enough water to enable them to push the train up the hill through the deep snow, and the effort was abandoned.

Among the incidents of the hours of darkness, might be mentioned the daring and perilous feat of Brackman Richards, who struck out for Collinston a foot and alone made his way through the snowdrift. The telegraph operators at that station. His making was done the more agreeable because of the darkness, the snowing and drifting and the thermometer averaged below zero. Two engines, which left Logan for the South Friday afternoon, did not reach Collinston, eighteen miles out, until twelve hours afterwards, which fact will give a pretty accurate idea of the amount of snow which is encountered in the mountainous country through which the little narrow gauge road takes its way.

Saturday afternoon, after long and steady labor, the trainmen succeeded in clearing the track, and the express was free once more. One engine was left at the spot, the other disabled. Snow was melted to obtain water enough to run the other engines to the nearest tank, where water was secured. Two of them were attached to the passenger train and good time was made to Ogden. At Brigham City the crew of the engine was met and both outfits came to Ogden together.

At 6 o'clock on Saturday the passenger train rolled into Ogden. The engines were greeted and welcomed in a mass of snow and ice. Long icicles hung from the coaches. The train, begrimed and worn-out lot of people expressed and thanked themselves as being heartily glad to arrive in Ogden.

The Cold Snap.

The cold wave seems to have struck Salt Lake in good earnest, though this locality has not suffered nearly so much as surrounding places. On Saturday night the thermometer went down to zero, and last night, or rather this morning, it went farther still. At 5 a. m. today the signal service reported zero, and a little later a degree below. In the less thickly settled portion of the city it went down to eight degrees below, and on the Sevier Mountain and on the southern edge of town the thermometers registered ten degrees below. In many houses the water in the pipes was frozen solid, and City Creek and the water ditches were a mass of ice, no water coming down today. In places Jordan river was completely frozen over.

The New Railroad.

President Moffat declares that the Denver & Rio Grande will be extended to Salt Lake City this year, and that active work will commence after the annual meeting of the directors next May. The fact that the Rio Grande Western was built under a separate charter and is owned by an independent company has at times embarrassed the operations of the Denver & Rio Grande, now more important than ever before, in that it acts as the western outlet, and the distributing system of two great trunk lines, the Burlington and the Missouri Pacific, into Utah, Idaho and a portion of New Mexico. The Rio Grande is abundantly able to build on the line, and it has not undoubtedly so. President Moffat has not, in the past, been given to the making promises which his company failed to keep. On the contrary, he has been a man of his word, and his management in 1897 when the late president was led to expect.—*Denver News*, Jan. 7.

The Blisk Blandin.

On Saturday afternoon Charles F. Blandin and Joel Edmunds were taken before Justice Thomas D. Dee, of Ogden, for arraignment. A complaint which had been signed and sworn to by John A. Jost, was read to them charging them with having attempted to obtain money under false pretenses.

H. H. Holapp, Esq., appeared for the applicant and Judge P. F. Benson was counsel for the defense. A demurrer was interposed to the complaint, and overruled by the court. A plea of not guilty was entered by both defendants, and, as some witnesses whose presence was desired were absent, Monday at 10 a. m. was set as the time for the examination to take place. The defendants were held in the sum of \$2,000 each, and being unable to find bondsmen, were remanded to Sheriff Blandin's custody.

Another complaint, which had also been signed by John A. Jost, was then brought forward and read. It charged C. F. Blandin with obtaining property under false pretenses. A demurrer was interposed to this complaint also, and the argument on the demurrer was set for Monday. In this case the bonds were also fixed at \$2,000.

Two days before his arrest, Blandin made a similar effort to that in which he was successful on Mr. Jost, with a young man in this city. Blandin approached this gentleman, Mr. George Williams, and endeavored to get his signature. Mr. Williams refused, and Blandin then went on to get the signature of another man, saying he could make money out of it.

Failing in this Blandin then tried to obtain a loan of a sufficient amount to get out of the country, an object which he seemed very desirous of attaining. The cause of his anxiety, in course, occurred in the present criminal proceedings.

TERRITORIAL ITEMS.

CULLED FROM LATEST EXCHANGES.

A dispatch dated Nogales (A. T.), January 6, says: The details of a horrible tragedy committed the other day in the State of Jalisco, Mexico, are just received. A laborer named Ben Sandoval, while occupying the same bed with his wife, Sandoval stabbed the man to death and mutilated him in a most horrible manner so atrocious to publish. The woman lived just long enough to tell the horrible story. Sandoval escaped and has not yet been captured.

A terrible death from hydrophobia was reported at Albuquerque (N. M.), on the 3d. The victim, a man named Fike, the dog catcher of this city, died last night in frightful agony from hydrophobia. He was in the vicinity for two or three days before his death, and it became necessary to locate him in a cell of the city jail and make all his efforts to prevent his doing some terrible damage to those around him. Fike was thirty years of age. On New Year's Day and until he was afflicted with the rabies, he was a healthy man. The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken to prevent other like cases.

The city is thoroughly excited over the tragic death and strong measures are being taken