

the rabid members of his own party that his right hand and arm are sore.

Suppose a case: If Ben Tasker the notorious horse-thief, had stolen a valuable animal, and delivered it into the possession of Mr. Allen, the latter knowing the process by which it was obtained in the first place, and he should keep it and use it for his own benefit, and receive the congratulations of various persons in consequence of his being able to retain it, in what light would all the parties be regarded? Would the thief, the receiver and the congratulators be esteemed as honorable by a just public opinion? It appears to us that the difference between the real and hypotheated case is that in one instance it is an office and in the other a horse.

The will of the majority has been thwarted by fraud; such conduct is destructive of good government, and Judge Zane enunciated a truism when he declared on the bench, at the conclusion of the investigation of the "Liberal" frauds in the case of Young vs. Williams, that the man guilty of such trickery "is a traitor to his country." According to this theory there are many traitors in the "Liberal" party.

DID THE "LIBERALS" EXPECT TO WIN!

THE verdict of the people of Utah has been rendered, and it is emphatically against the disfranchisement policy of the "Liberal" candidate and the Salt Lake *Tribune*. It cannot be truthfully argued that this is a purely "Mormon" verdict. The great majority rolled up for the People's candidate is convincing evidence, not only that a number of "Liberals" refused to support their party nominee, but that many of them voted for his opponent. And seeing that the protests against disfranchisement were signed by numerous influential "Liberals" the majority for Mr. Caine amounts to proof that what we have set forth is the popular verdict concurred in by both parties.

A few days ago the ex-"Liberal" candidate published the following, in an editorial on the results of the election:

"The efforts of the Mormon press to try to carry the idea that the underlying thought of all was that by some miracle the majority of two years ago might be overcome in the late campaign, and that the Liberal Delegate might be elected, may have a good effect outside of this Territory; here it has no possible force, because every one on that train knew that the cam-

paign was one simply for principle, and that the mountains and valleys would be raked and every Mormon voter brought out."

Whether the campaign was one of principles or persons, the verdict amounts to the same thing. If it was one of principle, the people are opposed to the "principle" of disfranchisement for belief or for alleged union on religious grounds. If it was a conflict of persons, it shows how unpopular, even in his own party, is the individual who has become almost demented over an imaginary condition of affairs and who is the champion of the disfranchisement theory.

But is it true that nobody on that unfortunate train believed that the "Liberal" candidate would be elected. The paragraph we have clipped says so, and in view of the utterances of some of those "pilgrims" it is equal to calling them barefaced liars. This is very unkind of C. C. Goodwin, after their exertions in his behalf. Let us see some things that they said.

At the Electric Light hall, October 25, C. E. Stanton spoke as per *Tribune* report:

"He said our next Delegate would be C. C. Goodwin, if the vote of the present meeting had aught to say about it; and that the evidence of Liberalism was apparent on every hand."

At Ogden, Mayor Kiesel said:

"Ogden would do her duty and old Weber County would come wheeling into line with a majority for victory and prosperity that would make everybody wonder where they all came from. Weber County appreciated Judge Goodwin and his work for Utah."

Old Weber did wheel into a line with a majority, but it was for victory and prosperity as identical with the People's Party. The "Liberal" candidate spoke for himself at Ogden and is thus reported in his own paper:

"In the course of his remarks Judge Goodwin said that if the people of Ogden would turn out en masse on election day and vote solid for him he would promise, if elected to Congress, to do all in his power to secure for them an appropriation sufficient to enable them to erect a large and commodious public structure and a very little one for Salt Lake."

At Eureka more certain hopes of success were indulged in, but the hilarious condition of the party may account for them. On the train bearing the pilgrims was the banner: "On to Victory," and another with: "For Congress, C. C. Goodwin of Salt Lake."

At the meeting in this city after the return, the *Tribune* reported:

"If the entire Liberal vote is polled tomorrow," said Judge Powers, "we will elect Judge Goodwin. The Good-

win Pilgrims have just returned from a tour of the Territory. Everywhere the people have turned out en masse and everywhere the halls were crowded with people who turned out to hear the truths of the new dispensation. I wish to say that the Liberals of Utah are solid for Judge Goodwin, and the platform upon which he stands call for the vote of every American in Utah. If Judge Goodwin is elected he will go to Washington not as a Liberal, a Mormon, a Democrat or a Republican, but representing Utah."

At the meeting in the Electric Light Hall, November 3, the *Tribune* reports that Judge Powers, in the closing speech, said:

"If we go out of Salt Lake with 1700 majority, as expected, Judge Goodwin will be the next Delegate as sure as sun rises on the morrow."

In the misleading and false dispatch given by Mr. Powers to several newspapers, as printed in the *Tribune*, it was stated by him:

"At every town were overflow meetings and there was a general assurance of a largely increased Liberal vote. In August last the Salts polled 14,764 votes to 8,875 Gentile, in the Territory. But the Liberal Committee believe that the Mormons polled their full strength, while the Liberal vote can be increased about four thousand. If Salt Lake and Ogden poll their full Liberal vote Judge Goodwin will be elected. The possibility of defeat is in this, that it seems impossible to convince Liberals that they have even a fighting chance. But it is a fact that the polling of the entire Liberal vote will elect the Liberal candidate triumphantly."

The election of Mr. Goodwin was treated of as "probable," his defeat only as "possible." These are but a very few of the utterances of his sanguine supporters, but are sufficient to show that either they spoke falsely then or Mr. Goodwin speaks falsely now.

It is gratifying to know that only one county in Utah gave a majority to the "Liberal" candidate; and the condition of affairs and the vote that was manipulated at Park City accounts even for that.

Now the absurd, un-American and villainous plot to disfranchise the law-obeying majority of voters in Utah ought to be dropped and buried out of sight, and the union that is most desirable should be encouraged, namely, a union of all classes and parties for the progress and prosperity of the greatest of the Territories and its march to the full freedom of republican institutions.

MORE ABOUT "THAT FEMALE DETECTIVE."

On Saturday last we published, under the head of "That Female Detective," part of an article from the *Philadelphia Press*. It was in the form of special correspondence from Salt Lake City, and purported mainly to be a description of an adventure of Miss Jennie Coulter, the