		Same Internet Co.				
NOTION. DOTO	EVENING NEWS.	14th amend was not intended to confer	sec. of the Edmunds bill under which this 114 U.S. was decided? Did my	and the second start in a suspectively.	WARDS. BISHOR	SAN JUAN STAKE.
MILLINERY No. 20 W First South Street,		this right with the rights of citizen- ship. Among the mutual rights	associate attack the Edmunds bill? No; we rest upon it. And so it has been throughout the the	HAGAN'S	Bountiful, East,	F. A. HAMMOND, President. William Halls, William Adams, Counselors.
THE MISSES' MARTIN, FASE TS.	THE MAILS	franchise. Where have not claimed it. The gentleman might have spared him- self the jabor of reading authorities to	and the gentleman has been outside of ourcase. He has told us frequently, while answering a case made by some other and more able counsel, upon some better clause of the Constitution	Magnolia Balm	Centreville,	WARDS. BISHOPS. Bluf CutsJens Nelson
Late with the firm of Simon Bros., wish to inform their many friends USE. THE	WHEN THEY ARRIVE AND	I disavowed any such claim in his	count nance to the defendent in this	is a secret aid to beauty.	EASTERN ARIZONA STAKE.	Ioli County, New Mexico. Burnham
MILLINERY WORK, PAN HANDLE CARPET WARP	MAILS. ARRIVE. CLOSE.	argument in the first place. But answering what we did not claim- setting up men of straw here that have been knocked over by the Swarma	action,—I do not know whether that argument was made—but if he had paid us the compliment of devoting a few minutes to the annihilation of	Many a lady owes her fresh- ness to it, who would rather	JESSE N. SMITH, President. L. H. Hatch, Oscar Mann, Counselors.	La Plata County, Colorado.     Mancas Branch, Albert S. Farnsworth
Their Besidence, in the rear of S. P. Teasdel's Store, <b>THE BEST in USE</b> .	Eastern	Court of the United States years ago- is no answer to what we do claim. What we do claim is, that under the	argument was made—but if he had paid us the compliment of devoting a few minutes to the annihilation of our argument, prehaps it would have assisted the Court fully as much in getting an understanding of the merits	not tell, and you can t tell.	Apache County, Arizona. WARDS. BISHOPS. Alpine,E. A. Noble	Emery County, Utah. Moab,
MAIN STREET. dim Z. C. M. I. SOLE AGENTS. Can be ob tained at all their branch stores throughout the Territory. dâs alt	D: & R. G. East 5 20 pm 10 0 am Ogden, Utah	rulings of the Supreme Court of the U. S. and especially in the case of Rey- nolds vs. U. S., this is a religious test.	of this case as presented. The gentleman, in somewhat broad terms, challenged me to show any		AmityA. N. Holden Erastes	BANPETE STAKE,
WANTED: ARCHITECTURE.	Tooele, Utah	and that the Congress of the U.S., in the express words of that decision	decision that admitted or declared that the deprivation of the right to vote or to hold office could be punish.	DESERET NEWS	Meadow, Peter Isaacson Navajo, Ernest Teitjeu Nutriaso, Benjamin Noble Omer, J. T. Eager	Sanpete County, Utah CANUTE PETERSON, Presiden Henry Besl, (Conversion
Good, Clean Cotion Rags Plans, Specifications and Details of all classes of buildings, at moderate cost. D. C. YOUNG, C. E.	The above is standard mountain time.	the Supreme Court of Missouri, as to the Cummings case, the distinction drawn by Judge Wagner is that in that	of the elective franchise was a punish- ment in every case. It is not a punish- ment to the female sex. as said in 21		Show Low,	John B. Maiben, (Counserors. WARDS. BISHOPS
at Deseret Paper Mill. S Office in the Contributor Building, No. 40	The above is standard mountain time. WM. O. BROWE, Postmaster. CLOSING ARGUMENT Of R. Z. Johnson, Esq., in the case of the People ex rel. McIntosh vs. Hunt and Matthews, in the Third Judicial District Court, (Chief Justice Hays on the bench), Blackfoot, Idaho; April 27th, 1886. Perhaps when I remember, if your honer please, the statement of the court at the opening of my previous argument I should apologize for mak- ing any reply to the gentleman (H. W. Smith)—that was that the creature could not go beyond the creator; that the Tenviewskel Levielature could not	case the question was not whether that oath was authorized by the Con- stitution of the U.S., or was contrary	Wall. It is not a panishment to the boy under 21 years of age. But when your honor pronounces a sentence	BOOK AND JOB		Chester,
	Of R. Z. Johnson, Esq., in the case of the People or rel McIntosh as Hunt	defined the powers of the State of Missouri under the constitutional clauses that apply to the States: that	adds to that the deprivation of civil rights, then it is a punishment, an additional punishment. When a man	Orinting Fatablishmant	the second se	Gunnison,
. UVERLAND HUUSE, A. FISHER BREWING CO., Browery Bear U.C.B.B. A D.A.B.G. Depote.	and Matthews, in the Third Judicial District Court, (Chief Justice Hays	they shall not pass a bill of attainder or expost facto law. Judge Wagner says that in defining the terms before they	is deprived of it, or attempted to be, for his religious belief or association with his co-religionists, it is a punish-	Printing Establishment.	Entower Compty Vich	Magneld,
MAIN STREET, Salt Lake City, Utah. P. O. Box 1049. Telephone 294.	27th, 1886.	was all obler; that these definitions of that constitutional restriction that no State shall pass an <i>expost facto</i> law	civil or political, previously enjoyed may be punishment. Disqualification from office may be punishment" These		Rasmus Justevsen, Counselors. WARDS. BISHOPS.	Petty ville, J. L. Peacod Spring City, J. A. *Allred Wells, J. B. Reese
W. A. PITT, Proprietor. We are now prepared to promptly supply the public with keg and Bottled Beer of a Superior guality, at popular prices.	Perhaps when I remember, if your honor please, the statement of the court at the opening of my previous	er a bill of attainder, those laid down by the Supreme Court of the U. S. are all obiter. All they had to say, without	are the words of the Supreme Court of the U.S. in Cummings vs. Missouri I would have stated that to the coun-	NAMES DESCRIPTION OF	Castle Dale,	SEVIER STAKE.
Best Accommodations in the City at the Bate. Charged, \$1.00 \$1.50 per day. City Depot 1998, Main St. Telephese, 179.	argument I should apologize for mak- ing any reply to the gentleman (H.W. Smith)—that was that the creature could not go beyond the creator; that	denning the meaning of the constitu- tional provisions, was that preachers of the gospel shall not be punished by such an act.	the humiliation of being told that this case, in the language of Judge Wag- ner and according to the argument of	PLAIN AND FANCY.	MolenL. S. Beach MuddyCasper Christiansen OrangevilleJasper Robertson PriceGeorge Frantzen	FRANKLIN SPENCER, President. A. K. Thurber, W. H. Seegmiller, Counselors.
Aur Open Day and Night. Street Care	exercise powers that Congress could not exercise for the Territory. Your	my own experience, by a brother lawyer who is now a leading attorney	was most of it mere dictum. In 4 Wall.pp.326,327,328,citing 4 Blackstone.		JUAB STAKE,	Sevier County, Utah. WARDS. BISHOPS. Annabells,J. S. Staker, P. H
600 B	honor told me, if you remember, that	in one of the adjoining counties. I	p.377, and 4 Wall. 344. you will find this same principle re-enunciated, iterated and reiterated. • I do not know if my associate is satis-	JOB PRINTING	Juab County, Utah. WM. PAXMAN, President.	Aurora,
UIAII UI AUAGI I' AUIUI J 27 EAST THIRD STREET.	upon that point. The learned counsel claims, however, inherent powers of sovereignty in the Territories. The great Apostle of Territorial sovereignty did not claim that. He claimed, at the most, that the people of the several States could great the Territorial	this effect: the authority I produced was not objected to in point of law, but he objected that that referred	fled, but I am satisfied now to submit this matter. I do not know that it is my duty to take any further time of	EXECUTED PROMPTLY	Joel Grover, Charles Sperry, Counselors WARDS. BISHOPS.	Giennood,
Manufacturers of	with their property of every kind, in-	court reject all the decisions of Judge	based upon this proposition, inanswer	AND DE	Eureka,John Beck. Juab,Eimer Taylor, P. E. Levan,Neils Asgaard. MonaJ. W. Haws.	Joseph,G. A. Murdoel Monroe,D. L. Harri Redmond,John Johnson * Richfield, First Ward,Paul Poulson Richfield, Second Ward,J. S. Horne
CRACKERS, CAKES & JUMBLES,	cluding bond slaves. But he did not claim any sovereignty on the part of the Territories to establish, or any sovereign power or any of er power	of his decision upon that narrow ground? It was proper for the court	sovereign powers in the Territory be- yond the power of Congress; that these limitations of the Constitution	The Best Style of the Art.	Mona. J. W. Haws. * Nephi, First WardW. H. Warner. Nephi, Second Ward	Richfield, Second Ward,
Guaranteed to be equal to any imported.	to abolish slavery. He only claimed that the people could enter the Terri- tory and when the Territory was about	to construe those clauses. It was proper for the court to go into the reasoning they did go into. It was	of the U.S. upon Congress are not limitations upon its creature, the Territoral Legislature: that there ist	THO DOST OUT OF THE AIL	Rane County, Utah.	Pinte County, Utah. Blue Valley,
FOR SALE BY E. C. M. L., SALT LAKE CITY, OGDEN AND LOGAN.	to assume the power and rank of a State its people might decide upon that question. No statesman in all those	necessary for the court to do so. It is on that narrow basis that the Supreme Court of Missouri, in 41 Mo., sus- tained their oath as to an elector, by	something growing out of the fact that the office of justice of the peace or of county commissioner is created by the Legislature, that enables the Legis-		E. D. WOOLLEY, JR., President. W. D. Johnson, jr Thomas Chamberlain, Counselors.	Freemont;
Co-operative Stores and other dealers would do well to patronize these goods and introduce them to their customers. d Washing Made Easy	judge, no text writer on constitutional law, no learned counsel before, to my knowledge, has ever claimed	thus narrowing the decision of the Supreme Court in the Cummings ease to a minister of the gospel, although	interrupting]- I would	BOOK-BINDING	WARDS. BISHOPS. Factory,	ST. GEORGE STAKE. J. D. T. MCALLISTER, President.
FYCEI SINB RAKERY FORT WAYNE	tory, and that they have powers as a sovereign organization not granted to	eral principles. So, too, as to the Reynolds case; that has been talked of	furnish additional briefs upon that particular point, it you desire.	AND	Lee's Ferry. W. M. Johnson.	Henry Eyring, D. D. McArthur, Counselors. Washington County, Utah.
No. 10 East Temple St.         FRESH BREAD,	them by Congress. I do not think that your honor will be disposed to with- draw the assertion by which you limited my argument upon that point	learned counsel[Mr. Smith]. He tells us that it was only necessary for the court to have decided, and all that it	necessity your honor,—being some- what of an indolent man—that I was speaking upon the subject. I do not	PAPER RULING	Méceasin,	WARDS. BISHOPS. Bellevue,James Sylvester, P.E. Duncan,D. B. Ott, P. E.
FRESH BREAD, The Best Machine in the World To save Time, Clothes, Labor, Soap, and Fuel. No need to boil clothes. Nothing	in the beginning. It is still unneces- sary to cite authorities that the Terri- tory cannot do what Cougress cannot dogin the Territories These Terri-	did decide was, that the practice of polygamy was not a religious right and could not be practiced by this clause of the Constitution But was it not	a direct quotation from 4 Wall? will dispute my statement. There is one point, perhaps, I might	IN ALL ITS BRANCHES	LITTLE COLORADO STAKE,	Grafton, A. H. Russell, P.E. Glen Edwin, Edwin Hamblin, P. E. Gunlock, J. S. Huntsman Hamblin, G. O. Hoit, P. E.
BIINS CAFFS equal to it for Washing. The work done in	like the States from the sovereighty	to go into the definition of this clause	sec. of the Revised Statutes of the IS	which provides a transformer of the second s	Apache County, Arizona. LOT SMITH, President.	Harmony,
CRACKERS. well; its wonderful merits unsurpassed; a eal household blessing. Ar Costs nothing give one a trial.	of the people, with reserved powers. When the Constitution says that the powers not delegated to the United States nor prohibited to the States, are reserved to the States or to to peo- ple, it means the people of the States,	define what was understood by the term religion? Was it not perfectly competent for that court to go back to the history of the times in when	This section prohibits the Territories from passing any law enabling men in the military or naval service to vote, or denving the right of suffrage to any	Deseret News Book-bindery	John Bushman, T. W. Brookbank, Counseless. WARDS. EBBHOPS.	LeedsG. H. Crosby. Middlaton,Thomas Harper, P. E. Mountain Dell,Win. Isom, P. E. Pine VallayF. W. Jones. Pinto
UNIL GANDIES, UNUCENIES, Sole Agents for Utah Territory.	and all of our indees have repeatedly	meant by the amendment? Was not	of the Edmunds hill another pro-	DOSOLOG NOWS DOOK-DINGOLY	Moan Coppy, A. L. Parnsworth. St. Joseph,J. H. Richards. * Sunset,	Price, N. B. Fawcett Kochville, C. N. Smith. Santa Clara, J. G. Hafen. Shonesberg. Oliver Demill. P. E.
- Etc Agents wanted in every County. - Buttercup Cruckers a Midress 243 w., Second South Street, Salt Lake City. Sup tf	enunciated. It did not mean the peo- ple of the Territories. I am sorry it did not. Every year of my manhood,	that the only course for them to adopt? It was the cause they did adopt, and their reasoning upon that point, by	viso to that section, as claimed by the kentleman? Did not Congress enact something by that, or did they merely	OFFICE-COR SOUTH & EAST TEMPLE STS	OCOCD	Springdale,
EDWARD SCRACE.	did not. Every year of my manhood, and even before I attained my legal majority, I was a citizen of a Terri- tory, and every year of my life since, except three or four, has been spent in the Territories and ment in the prese	the case in judgment, was no more obiter than the decisive judgment that is printed in italics at the end of the	should not pass any law enabling those guilty of bigamy or polygamy to vote or hold office? These are all re-	DTUD MIND T TRA	Maricopa Consty, Arizona. A. F. MACDONALD, President.	St. George, Third Ward,C. A. Terry, St. George, Fourth Ward,B. H. Caanon, Tokar, W. A. Bringhurst, Virgen, John Parker, Washington, Marcus Funk.
WILL DRIVER & SUN,	the Territories, and spent in the prac- tice of a profession that has led me to examine this question of the power of the Territories repeatedly and argue it	that case? They say, in the first place, that Congress cannot so legislate for	ature. He tells us the 8th sec. of the Edmunds act is another one. I always	NAMES	H. C. Rogers, C. I. Robson, Counselors.	Lincoln County, Nevada. Bunker,
Dr. FOUTE. Senior. OGDEN, UTAH.	before the courts; but I never heard it asserted before that there was sovereignty in the Territories But	the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States. They say that this is beyond the power of Congress. They	for the Legislature to act upon that subject; that it made the act itself; that it did not satisfy itself by saving	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Alma,O. M. Stewart. Lehi,	Eagle Falley,J. E. Hammond, P. B. Overton, Issiah Cox Panacea, M. L. Leo. Spring Falley,Artemus Millet, P. E
Of 120 Lexington Avenue, New York,	there are plenty of authorities to the contrary. One I remember upon the question of escheat. I think it is a de- cision going from the Territory of	say that they will go to the fathers to find out what was meant by that clause -"the free exercise of religion." They	that the Legislature should never pass any act extending the right of suffrage to bigamists or polygamists, or these	PRESIDENCY AND BISHOPS		ST. JOSEPH STAKE,
man styling himself " Dr. Foot, Jr.' Drugs, Chemicals,	Montana. (Territory v. Lee, 2 Mont. 132; See also Na. Bank v. Yankton, 101	preamble to the Virginia Statute, as drawn by Jefferson. They quote from another communication — one to the	weman; but that Congress itself en- acted that "no bigamist or polygamist	OF THE	MILLARD STAKE, Millard County, Utah.	Arizona. CHRISTOPHER LATTON, President.
Patent Medicines,	I Colorado 181; Treadway v. Schnau- ber, Dak. 239; I Peters, 511.) That posi- tion if it is a true position ensures	Danbury Baptists. They say that from the history of the times they are justi- fied in quoting this definition and the	than one woman" should, "in any Territory or other place over which the United States have overwhich	Organized Stakes of Zion.	J. N. HINCKLEY, President. Daniel Thomson, Counselors. J. V. Robison, Bishops.	Wm. D. Johnson, J. H. Martineau, Cochise County,
FOOTE of New York, the well known specialist, as abundantly proved by affida- vits. As rumors came into Salt-Lake City	in law and in logic, most of the gentleman's arguments. In this Con- stitution, 1 think in Sec. 10 of Art. 1 (if I remember the divisions	we have shown to you the intention	strange perversion of words to con-	<ul> <li>Lostonovoro conso como com</li> </ul>	DeseretJ. S. Black. * Fillmore,J. S. Black. Holden,	WARDS. BISHOPS. McDonald,
made there, he changed his base and represented himself as the san of a more noted specialist in New York City than Dr. E. B. Foots the well known author. Mr. JOHN Varnishes and	of the Constitution) there are numer- ous limitations upon the powers of the States. There are other limitations upon the powers of the general govern-	mature years, writing his own bio- graphy-not to glorify himself, but as a	into a fifth restriction upon the Terri- torial Legislature, into an additional	BANNOCK STAKE, T. E. RICKS, President. W. F. RIGBY, '{ Counselors. F. C. GUBNELL, '{ Counselors.	Kanosh, A. A. Kimball. Leamington, L. N. Christianson, Meadow Creek, H. B. Bennett. Uak Creek,	Graham County. Centrol,Joseph Cluf Curtis,M. M. Gartis Graham,Jorgen Jorgensen
F TROW, of the well known Trow's Directory, in New York City (forty years in the directory business). Ex Governor FRANK Painters' Supplies,	upon the powers of the general govern- ment and upon the powers of the Con- gress. But it has uniformly been held ever since the adoption of these amend-	countrymen and their descendants, to the last generation, might tread, and,	have just read, Congress having ex- escised these concurrent powers, by	WARDS. BISHOPS. Beaver Canyon,Abraham Redford, P. E. Burton,	MORGAN STAKE,	Layton,John Welker Piesa,J. K. Roger Thatcher,Samuel Claridge
WARSHAN, for many years Postmaster in Machine Oils,	ments to the Constitution, which were made sine qua non of its adoption—be- cause the Constitution would not have been adopted by all the States if it had	their liberties to the last generation-	inferior legislature holding this con-	Cedar Buttes,	Morgan County, Utah. W. G. SMITH, President.	SUMMIT STAKE.
and Dr. HUBERT T FOOTE The schule are a set as a set as a	not been for this mutual agreement— that these preliminary features—the first 10 amendments adopted at the	was intended by it; what they under- stood by the guaranty. And in his com- munication to the Danbury Baptists he	act of Congress. I read very pointed authorities in that direction, where a great many judges, with whom the	Parker, W. M. Parker, * Rezburg, T. E. Ricka, ir, Salem, G. H. B. Harris Sand Creek,Cadwalader Owens, P. E.	Richard Fry. Samuel Francis, Counselors. WARDS. BISHOPS.	W. W. CLUFF, President. Alma Eldredge, { Counselors. W. E. Pack, {
Dr. FOOTE, Jr., will hereafter always em- ploy the initials, E. B., in designating his name. Heretofore he has been known motionly at home but wherever his publications	first session of Congress, are not limit- ations upon the States, but are limit- ations upon the general government But after our making those admissions	member of a community where his fel- low citizens adopted this amendment to the Constitution, and explains	expressly that when the superior legislature exercises one of those con- current powers, the power is no longer	Teton,John Donaldson, Wilford,T. S. Smith,	Croyden, John Hopkins, Enterprise, J. K. Hall, Multon, Eli Whitear, Morgan, North, O. E. Anderson, Morgan, South, Charles Turner, Peterson, James Carrigan,	Summit County, Utab WARDS. BISHOPS. * Coslville,
hereafter, in view of the fac that an un-	time and time again-neither of us having said to the contrary of that-	what they understood by it. It was a guaranty against any religious test.	concurrent, but is suspended in the inferior legislature, that what they did not say was as significant of their	BEAR LAKE STAKE. WM. BUDGE, President.	Porterville, West, Samuel Carter	Echo, Elias Asper Henne/erville, Charles Richens Hoytsville, Alonzo Winter Kamag. S. F. Atwood
Those desiring farther and more detailed AIIENDED IU.	sisted in reading case after case as to the power of the States to make these tests. We have not disputed that. That is left to the States, and where	for the elective tranchise, or for hold-	the sth sec. of the comunds set which is	J. H. Hart, George Osmond, Counselors, Bear Lake County, Idaho.	A D. Dickson.	Park City,Jno. Holmberg, P. B. Parley's Park,G. M. Pace Peca,Stephen Walker Rockport,J. M. Mall
same to J. W. Ivey, with Sutherland & Mo	That is left to the States, and where the particular States in their constitu- tions have not been wise or liberal enough to insert something similar to this, they have, to a certain extent, in-	lature might confine the elective fran-	Supreme Court of the U. S., when, in	WARDS. BISHOPS. Bennington, A. R. Wright Berne, John Kuntz, P. F. Bloomington, Wm. Halme	W. D. HENDRICKS, President. S. P. Hale, G. C. Parkinson, Couaselogs.	Upton,
fessionally or to order remedies should ad	terfered with the free exercise of re-	chise to married men. I made that	Congress binding upon liteb was	Fish Haven,John Stock	Oneida County, Idaho.	A horyJames Downs Branston,James Brown, in
Dr. E. B. FOOTE, Sr., or Dr. E. B. FOOTE, Jr., 120 Lexington Ave., New York. OGDEN, UTAH.	that enabled the legislature to estab- lish some sort of a religious test. Congress cannot refuse to admit a	the Supreme Cort of the U.S., for they actually say that. If the Legis- lature, according to the doctrines of	tended as such, but only as a limitation upon the powers of the Legislature of Utah, and the question could never	Montpelier, Samuel Matthewi Noman, John Kinner, P. E. Ovid Peter Jensen * Paris, First Ward, Wm, West,	Cherry Creek, J. D. Jones. Clifton, Harvey Dixon. Fairview, Hernan Hyde.	Green River County, Wyqming. Rock Springs,James Sime, P. J
Consultation Free, in person or he lette	State on any such ground. When a State is admitted, it is admitted on an equality, in every respect, with the original thirteen. They cannot admit.	should undertake to disfranchise men because they had been married heretofore, that would be ex post facto,	lature had passed a bill in conflict with this fifth limitation upon their powers. Congress did not wait for	Paris, Second Ward,	MaladGeorge Stuart. Mink CreekRasmus Rasmussen. PrestonW. C. Parkinson. M. erdalePeter Process	TOOELE STAKE, Fooele County, Utah
EVERY DESCRIPTION	a State with limited powers. They cannot refuse to admit a State with a claim in its constitution that any other original State might militation.	that would be a bill of attainder. But that is not the question. I said they might confine the elective franchise to married men and they might disferr	current power, Congress and the same right to decide, under the Con- stitution, the qualification of votors	Soda Springs Daniel Lau, P. E Rich County, Utab.	St. JohnJonah Evans. Weston	H. S. GOWANS, President. C. L. Anderson, Counselors. T. W. Lee,
	there is nothing in the Federal Consti- tution, as there is not, saying that no State shall prohibit the free exercise	chise married men, and I say it still. As I said before, if they should disfranchise married men because	Utah and Idaho as the Legislature had; they had a more extended right, be- cause the Legislature was limited by	Garden City	Chesterfield	WARDS. BISHOPS. Clover
OF	of religion, Congress cannot, and no man in Congress ever did in all the his- tery of the admission of the new States, make such an objection. because such	incy had married heretofore, that might be ex post facto; but if they should disfranchise from being in a state of matrimony, that	gress did not pass that 8th section as a limitation upon the Teritorial Legis- lature; did not pass it as an amend-	Woodruf, W. H. Lee	Mound ValleyH. H. Williams. * Oxford,	Deep Creek,
JOB PRINTING	State cannot be limited further than the original States, and they enter upon an absolute equality, not limited	would not be <i>ex post facto</i> . But if they should disfranchise men because they were members of a sect that	ment to the 1860 sec. of the Revised Statutes of the U.S.; but they passed it, in the plenitude of their power, as a	BEAVER STARE, Beaver County, Utah.	PANQUITCH STAKE.	Person,
	by the Federal Constitution against establishing such a test. Congress can- not require it from a new State any more than they can'require an old State	valuent, as a part of their religion or their doctrine, that matrimony was unlawful or should not be en- tered into, that would be beyond their	hilated all concurrent power upon that subject—upon the disfranchis- ment and disabilities growing out of	John Ashworth. M. L. Shepherd,   Counselors.	M. M. Steele, David Cameron, Counselors. Garfield County, Utah.	UTAH STAKE,
PROMPTLY EXECUTED	to abolish it, and they never have at- tempted to interpose their will beyond the Constitution, and any such objec-	power, I submit. Congress could not, and the Territorial Legislature could not, disfranchise a man because mat-	polygamy and bigamy-that thereto- fore existed in the Territorial Legis- lature. The pretense that that is only an additional restriction	Adamselle,	WARDS. DISHOPS. Connonville,	A. O. SMOOT, President David John, H. H. Cluff, Counselors.
At This Office	answered at once by the request to lay your finger upon anything in the Con- stitution which limits the new con-	mony-celibacy-was a part of his religions creed or of the creed of the sect to which he helonged and	torial legislation, nullifies one half of its power, paralyzes the arm of Con- gress. No man would be more sur-	Greenville,	Marion,	Utah County. WARDS. BISHOFS. Almins
At This Office.	the State in this regard. Those argu- ments amount to nothing. That ac- counts for the cases that the gentleman	court has ever said it could. There is the distinction. If the gentleman had proved that, he would have proved a	prised than the learned author of that bill himself to be told, as the counsel, has told us here to day, that it was	BOX ELDER STAKE.	Pinte County, Utala, Eingston,	AlpineT. J. McCulloug American ForkWm. M. Bromle Cedar FortEll Benne Gosham
	What we claim is this—and the learn- ed counsel in most of his citations and arguments has been wide of the mark-	married men or the single men; but they cannot, under the Constitution, limit it by a provision that no men	striction upon the legislation, to be added to this 1860th sec. This is the	J. D. Burt,	A PAROWAN STAKE, SAN	Payson, J. S. Tanna Pisasoni Grove, John Brow Provo Bonch, Franklin Conter; 7. Provo City, Pirst Word, J. P. B. Johnso
PAPER! PAPER!!	not that States cannot limit the elec- tive franchise; not that States cannot interpose religious tests; not that	shall have, the elective franchise of hold office who belongs to a sect that teach matrimony, or that, on the	only answer made to these decisions of the Supreme Court, which seems to me to be in point and to the doctrines	WARDS. BISHOPS. Carl Jenser	THOS. J. JONES, President. Edward Dalton,	Payson, John Brow, John Brow Provo Sanch, Frankim Coniter, P. Provo City, First Ward, J. P. E. Johnso Provo City, Second Ward, J. W. Loveles Provo City, Third Ward, Myron Taims Provo City, Fourth Ward, J. E. Boo Provo City, First Ward, C. D. Evan
I ALDIG: I ALDIG!	States have not interposed religious tests; not but that some sovereign State of the Union may tomorrow interpose a religious tomorrow	other hand, teach cellbacy as a part of their religious creed. Why cannot they do it? Unrestrained by the Con- stitution, they could do it.	as laid down by Chancellor Kent in his Commentaries that I have read your honor—that it is not substantive legis- lation, but only another additional	Brigham City, First Ward, Henry Tinge Brigham City, Second Fard, Alvin Nicholi Brigham City, Third Fard, A Midser Brigham City, Fourth Ward,John Wele	Morgan Richards, Jr., Counseidrs. WARDS. SIBNOPS. Cedar Oky	Salary Lake
	this act if passed by a State legislature, would be unconstitutional, or would violate the 1st art. of the amend, to	not disfranchise them under that first amendment for religious belief whether that belief is a question of	hith clause of limitation of the 1860th sec., and reads, that no legislature shall hereafter extend the elective	Grouse Greek,	Paragoonah, Wm. P. Willis, Vm. E. Jones, Parogean, First Wars, Wm. C. Mitchell Parofran, Second Ward, Jnc. E. Dalley	Spring Lake,
	the Constitution, because that 1st art. does not apply to the States, and as far as a State is concerned, it is no	matrimony or cellbacy, or whether i is any other question of religious belief And it is no answer to say that	gamist or person cohabiting with t more than one woman. Con-	North,	Summid,	Pleasant Falley,
DESERET NEWS COMPANY.	have been admitted with constitutions that enabled the legislature to estab- lish some sort of a religious test. Congress cannot refuse to admit a State is admitted, it is admitted on an equality, in every respect, with the original thirteen. They cannot admit a State with limited powers. They cannot refuse to admit a State with a claim in its constitution that any other original State might maintain. If there is nothing in the Federal Consti- tution, as there fs not, saying that no state shall prohibit the free exercise of religion, Congress cannot, and no maa in Congress ever did in all the his- tery of the admission of the new States, make such an objection, because such State cannot be limited further than the original States, and they enter upon an absolute equality, not limited by the Federal Constitution against establishing such a test. Congress can- not require it from a new State any more than they can require an old State to abolish it, and they never have at- tempted to interpose their will beyond the Constitution, and any such offec- tion in the halls of Congress would be answered at once by the request to lay your finger upon anything in the Con- stitution which limits the powers of the State in this regard. Those argu- ments amount to nothing. That ac- counts for the cases that the gentleman has read. What we claim is this—and the learn- ed counsel in most of his citations and arguments has been wide of the mark- not that States cannot limit the elec- tive franchise; not that States cannot interpose religious tests; not that States have not interposed religious tests; not but that some sovereign state of the Union may tomorrow interpose a religious test; not that this act if passed by a State legislature, would be unconstitutional, or would violate the 1st art. of the amend, to the Constitution, because that 1st art. does not apply to the States, and as far as a State is concerned, it is no more bound by it than if there never had been an amendment to the Con- stitution adopted; not that this is	part of his religious belief. They can do it; they have done it. They 114 U. S. says they may disqualify	Edmunds has made a study of the Territories, of their powers under the Organic acts and the Revised Statute	Snotoville, A. Goodlin Three Mile, O. A. Ferr Washakie,	SALT LAKE STAKE, Balt Lake County, Utah.	WASATCH STAKE, Wasatch County, Etab.
	which my iriend, in his argument, so	i for the practice: says they have right.	of the U. S. for some time, and if he	Anolda County Idaho	the sale oversite out a residence	A WALLARD TO A COUTTY Through down



