

TRUTH AND LIBERTY.

THURSDAY, APRIL 6, 1899, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH.

NUMBER 115.

Ingersoll's "Best Argument Ever Advanced Against Christianity."

Replied to by Elder C. W. Penrose, of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

The following article is reproduced from the Improvement Era, and was originally delivered by the author in an address before the faculty and students of the Brigham Young Academy at Provo, Utah.

Robert Ingersoll stands in the front rank of the small army of professed sceptics and scorers of religion. He is a worldly, ambitious, and avaricious man, who has made a fortune out of his pen, and who is now engaged in the same old story of denigrating the honors of every man who has ever lived, and who has not only succeeded in making a fortune, but has also succeeded in making a name for himself as a writer and a speaker. He is a man who has no other ambition than to get rich, and who has no other aim than to make a name for himself. He is a man who has no other aim than to get rich, and who has no other aim than to make a name for himself.

According to the logic which he has fairly termed Ingersollism, if four credible eye-witnesses appear and testify to certain facts, and one of them relates some events and explains some facts not mentioned by the other three, then the testimony of all four is false! The same logic and profanity of reason that displayed in this so-called "best argument" are certainly remarkable, if not comical. If John questioned some of the facts which are mentioned by Mark and Luke, why should they affect in any way the truthfulness of what either of them have written? Ingersoll admits that three of these four witnesses agree, but jumps at the conclusion that because the fourth witness does not agree, the other three are false. This is a very strange and illogical conclusion, and one which no sane man would ever reach. It is a conclusion which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

It is in this way that "Matthew, Mark and Luke" are made to appear as if they were in agreement, and that the fourth witness, John, is made to appear as if he were in disagreement. This is a very clever and subtle trick, and one which is easily seen through. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

On what ground does the bold impostor make the rash assertion that the accounts of the ascension of Jesus Christ, as given by Mark and Luke, are false? He offers nothing in his support, except the fact that Matthew, already quoted, had nothing to say about the ascension. This is a very weak and flimsy argument, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses. It is a weak and flimsy argument, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

It is in this way that "Matthew, Mark and Luke" are made to appear as if they were in agreement, and that the fourth witness, John, is made to appear as if he were in disagreement. This is a very clever and subtle trick, and one which is easily seen through. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

It is in this way that "Matthew, Mark and Luke" are made to appear as if they were in agreement, and that the fourth witness, John, is made to appear as if he were in disagreement. This is a very clever and subtle trick, and one which is easily seen through. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

It is in this way that "Matthew, Mark and Luke" are made to appear as if they were in agreement, and that the fourth witness, John, is made to appear as if he were in disagreement. This is a very clever and subtle trick, and one which is easily seen through. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

Some time ago an article from his pen was published in a prominent New York paper, entitled, "The Best Argument Ever Advanced Against Christianity." It has since been copied, with the same heading, in a number of papers, and has become a household name. It is a very clever and subtle argument, and one which is easily seen through. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

It is in this way that "Matthew, Mark and Luke" are made to appear as if they were in agreement, and that the fourth witness, John, is made to appear as if he were in disagreement. This is a very clever and subtle trick, and one which is easily seen through. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

It is in this way that "Matthew, Mark and Luke" are made to appear as if they were in agreement, and that the fourth witness, John, is made to appear as if he were in disagreement. This is a very clever and subtle trick, and one which is easily seen through. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

It is in this way that "Matthew, Mark and Luke" are made to appear as if they were in agreement, and that the fourth witness, John, is made to appear as if he were in disagreement. This is a very clever and subtle trick, and one which is easily seen through. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

It is in this way that "Matthew, Mark and Luke" are made to appear as if they were in agreement, and that the fourth witness, John, is made to appear as if he were in disagreement. This is a very clever and subtle trick, and one which is easily seen through. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

It is in this way that "Matthew, Mark and Luke" are made to appear as if they were in agreement, and that the fourth witness, John, is made to appear as if he were in disagreement. This is a very clever and subtle trick, and one which is easily seen through. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

It is in this way that "Matthew, Mark and Luke" are made to appear as if they were in agreement, and that the fourth witness, John, is made to appear as if he were in disagreement. This is a very clever and subtle trick, and one which is easily seen through. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses. It is a trick which is based on a very weak and flimsy foundation, and one which is easily refuted by common sense and by the testimony of the four witnesses.

Advertisement for H. Dinwoodey Furniture Company, featuring bedroom suits, upholstered furniture, crockery and glassware, and dining room furniture. The ad includes detailed descriptions of various furniture items, prices, and contact information for the company.