the success of this people is entirely due to the revelations and inspiration from the Lord through Brigham Young and his obedience thereto. Although not claiming to be a Pioneer of 1847, yet I have been engaged in pioneer work all the way through ever since I was nineteen years old, and have crossed those dreary plains four times with teams from Salt Lake to the Missouri river, on Church business. If my efforts have resulted in good to my fellowman, or helped to establish this great common-wealth, then I am thankful for the ability belped to that the Father of all bestowed upon I am still a laborer and well wisher for the cause of Zion. F. KESLER.

REV. MR. SWARTWOUT.

A letter to Mr. B. H. Roberts, 334 Constitution Building, Bait City, Utab.

Dear Sit:-In reply to your " pen letter"in the Deserer Evening News of the 8th of May, in which you address me on the strength of what you saw in the Chicago Record of April 27, I wish very bri fly but plainly to make the following statement:

1 .- The paragraph from the Record is fairly correct, as a digest of my original statement. I had said: "God flist took from me all hope in this precent system of 'churches.'' He showed me that this apoetasy, this denying the power of the Holy Spirit, this preferring false di ctrine, this being an ampsement buteau for the world was all to be expected in these "last days." "The presence of the unitegenerate in the pulpits and at the Lord's table today, is most borrifying.' Then the shortened report you saw does injustice in that this in the conthe conclusion was omitteu: "Christ's separation from all that system of things in His day, is a point in hand." Then 2 Or 6: 14 and 17 contains the command that takes me out from this man-made ministry. Eschewing all denuminationalism, I can: "Also note: I go out from you unto Christ as my Centre and Object." The Blessed God has dealt with me to separate me from all that is of human tradition or maker bitt-just unto His Name-the Only Namel

2.- Therefore, in vew of this, you will see how atterly groundless is your profier. I am tree, no more to be entangled with the yoke of human bonuage. I am a poor ool to jump trom the fryingpan into the fire.

3.—Allow me to eay, that what I look for is not the "Latter-day Saints" and their prophesyings, but the Spiritled lives and the God-taught word of Former Day Saints of God indeed, (for the New Testament records them as such, who "obeyed God rather than men"). From the old Word Standards the professing courches of Christen. dom bave worthly departed. Bock to the Standards-back to the only authority under the sur! Isa. 8:20-"Fo ouly authe law and to the testimouy; if they speak not according to this is because there is no light in them.

4.- Lastly let me point you to a word that, in the eye of all the true Saints of God, makes a clear case as to just where you stand, and as to just what crevence men should put in you

of Books, the complete Revelation of God to fallen man. Please find it suggestively at the close of the last chanter of the Revelation, verses 18 and 19: things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this Book," And also, "If any man shall take away from the words of the Book of this prophecy, God shall take away his pait out of the Book of life." Paul, under God, an authority for us, wrote in Gal. 1;8-12: "But though we or an angel from heaven preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have preached noto you, let him he accuraced." And this gospel he received by revelation from Jesus Obrist. God's own Word is FINAL! prayer that God might indeed bring you under the Blood, so that God see [sear?] the Blood over you; and then nelp you to be clean and pure by Hie Word, and to teach men so. I am, in

His keeping,
FRED. R. SWARTWOUT.
West Superior, Wis., May 19, 1897.

FALT LAKE CITY, May 29, 1897. To the Rev. Fred R. Swartwout:

Dear Sir:- Your favor of the 19th inst, replying to mine published in the DESERET NEWS of the Stn of May was received several days age, but I ok the liberty of bolding it from publication until I could find the ime to write out the following ans wer, that this too might appear in the same

sene of the NEWS: You will pardon me I am sure, if I take up the several items of your reply to my News letter in the reverse ruer to which you have set them own. This brings me to your tast own. The question stands thus: item first. Luave a before me a professed miniser who aunounces to the world that God nad shown to him the apostate condition of the Christian churches, and he proclaims himself separate forever from those man-made churches and their milistry. These facts com-ing to my knowledge through the public press, and knowing that God Himself more than seventy years ago postate condition of Christopdim. and subsequently bestowed upon him and others divine authority, with a commission to preach the Gaspel and build up the Church of Christ, I felt in duly bound to make these truths known to you, especially as you seemed to be at least approaching the truth. Hence my letter to you through the News. And how do you treat this solemn communication of an important message from Go.? With patient, prayerful to vestigation and a desire to kn .w the truth of h? No, but without investigation you propose to condemn it by one single reference to the Jewish acriptures. You cite me Revelation, 22aa chapter, 18th and 19th versee. I am not un-tamiliar with this passage; your reference to it comes to me like the re-frain of an old familiar air of a wornout song, and convinces me that you doubtless are in contact with what the warm calls Mormonism for the first time, else you would not rely with such confidence upon an argument that has been so often and is so asily explosed. Let me set it out for you or any others, who rake a word or at some length: "For I testify unto utter a syllable that is not in the Book every man that heareth the words of

the proposecy of this book, if any man shall add us to these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book; and if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the book of life, and out of the boly city, and from the things which are written in this book." (R.v. 22: 18, 19.)

The Christian argument-your argument, as inferred in your letter is as follows: This passage occurs in the last book of the Bible, in the last chapter of that last book, and in the last verses but two in that last chapter; and therefore any message purporting to be a revelation from God after these words were written in the Apocalypee, is to be re-jected at once, because it is a violation of this supposed formal closing up of God's completed revelation. Thus you dispess of the revelations which God gave to the world through Joseph smith. To this cavalier reply of Smith. To this cavaller teply of yours there are several answers, each of which is sufficient to destroy your premiees.

First-It must be remembered that the Apocalyps, was not placed as the last book of the Bible by the Apostle Juhn or any other of the inspired writers of the New Testament; nor is it the last book of the New Testament collection that was written. It is almost the unanimous opinion of Bible sonoiars that the Book of Revelation was written while the Apostic John was an exile un the isle of Patmos, and that he did not write his book called "The Gospel according to St. Jonn," until after his return from Patmos. "The weight of evidence now tends to prove," says Canon Farrar, speaking of the Book of Reveration, "foat it is not the last book in chronological order; that it was written nearer the beginning tosa the end of St. John's period of apostolic activity amid the churches of Asia; that the last accounts of revelations which fall upon our ears are not those of a treatise which, though it ends in such music, contains so many terribie visions of blood and fire; but rather those of the gospel which tells us that the Word was made flesh' and of the epistle which first formulated the most blessed truth which was ever uttered to human nearts-the truth that God is leve." This winely recognized Biblical scholar, you will observe, pieces hoth the guspel and the epistles of St. Juhn after the Apocalypse in the order of their production; and in this ne but Voices the opinion, so far as I know, of all Bible scholar-1 know, of all Bible scholar-suip, It therefore follows that if the w rus in Revelation 22nd chapter, 18than; 19th verses, mean as you inter, that no more revelation was to given or written, then the Apostle John himself because the violater of nis own pronintion, for ne wrote both Dis Gospel and his epistles after he wrote the Apocalypse. You sir, upon reflection, will not, I am sure, insist Upou such an Excess of the word of God as to make the Apostle bimself a violator of his own and God's word. Yet ne does this if your exegests be. right.

Becoud-The Apocalyuse had connection with the other books of the New Testament for many years after it was written; and under the most