LOGAN OR SALT LAKE.

"I" Takes a Turn at Professor Paul's Arguments.

AN ANALYSIS OF "LOGAN-MANIA"

Its Effects on the Professor's Ideas as Finance, Ethics and Hygiene.

Editor Deseret News:

The happy style of Professor Paul's late contribution to the discussion of the University and College question deserves hearty commendation and is worthy of its talented author. Unfortunately as it seems to me there are some errors which will be misleading if not pointed out.

For convenience of treatment, I will enumerate Prof. Paul's arguments for removing the University to Logan—his financial arguments addressed to the Territory, financial arguments addressed to Salt Lake City, sanitary arguments, and meteorological arguments.

The professor says there will be no economy in which school he shall take place at Logan. Yes, it would be my unbiased intelligence that is working on current expenses for salaries, miscellaneous expenses for maintenance, apparatus, library, museum, etc., in a word all the economics that can be figured, will be the same whether the school takes place at Logan, Salt Lake City, Park City or any other place in Utah. How can the locality make any conceivable difference in this method.

He says also that the expense of tuition will be almost nothing if at Logan and $200,000 if elsewhere.

In case of union at Salt Lake City, there are two conditions to be considered. The college may be united with the University on its present site with the same possible changes or the city may give to the University the square on which it stands, which Prof. Paul values at $600,000, and also a lot in Salt Lake City worth $25,000 to $50,000, and on this a plant far better than either institution now has may be established without cost to the Territory a dollar. Such a thing may easily happen, and we can afford to wait a little first. But let us see whether it will not be easily next $200,000 to unite in general University site it is worth carefully to think. By removing the school for the deaf there is room in the two existing buildings for the work of instruction, except in shopwork, for the property qualified appliances for the union institution. Accommodations for the shop work could be furnished at a moderate cost; $20,000 would do very well to start with, and there is ample room on the square for the additional building. In case of need, then, the college work could be provided for on the University square for one-tenth of the cost claimed by Professor Paul.

I understand also that the Logan building is not finished yet, how much will that cost?

Prof. Paul estimates the buildings, machinery, fixtures and apparatus of the Agricultural College have cost above $200,000, nearly all of which will be lost if the College is removed from Logan. Could not the buildings be utilized for some other service, for schools for the deaf, the blind, and other defective classes for which provision is desired? Could all the machinery and apparatus and some of the fixtures be removed with the College?

Prof. Stalker in the New York edition of the *Tribune*, estimates the total cost of buildings and equipment at $175,000, including stock and buildings. The equipment is there estimated at $30,000. Deducting this movable equipment from $175,000, and it is the remainder we have to deal with, and we obtain $107,000 as the amount to be left behind, if Logan exacts her pound of flesh and proposes to have the buildings stand empty rather than to be put to any other Territorial use, a course so foolish that no community is likely to pursue it.

Yes, it would be rather hard to give this amount to Logan, but it looks rather small compared with the $400,000 which Prof. Paul proposes to give Salt Lake City by abandonment of the University site.

But Prof. Paul's figuring becomes even more interesting and novel when he extends the field of current expenses for the next two years and estimates the saving there by union at Logan.

In the first place he overlooks the fact that the sum needed by the University includes the amount required for the deaf mutes, about $20,000.

Its proceeds he estimate that for $5,000 per year the College can pay to the University, provide for its students and do its entire work, thus saving about $15,000 per year.

For the College to provide at a cost of $5,000 per year for about 150 students, the gross fee three-tenths of the University student would be necessary. Salt Lake City is a pauper compared assumption on his part, evidence whose instruction would require from eight to ten additional professors and the expenditure not to mention other expenses, to an educational extent equal to that of the horse and Reno. The normal school work alone, as I note it is planned by the University for the next two years, will require at least one professor and three instructors in the professional work in pedagogy, methods and training. The school for the deaf requires $10,000 per year.

The commercial institution will have on its hand to the extent of its capacity a preparatory school, normal school, a commercial course, an experimental station, four year courses in liberal arts, scientific courses, civil engineering and mechanical engineering, agriculture, and domestic economy, with from 500 to 600 students. Prof. Paul proposes to do this with about $25,000 per year, $14,000 from the government, $5,000 for the College and $6,000 for the University from the Territory. Yes, it may be done, as the Israelites built the brick on strawless. The annual deficiency is not said to the deaf, then would be greater rather than quite as good results by abolishing the University altogether if this sort of economy is carried.

As to the question of the Salt Lake Herald's attempt to do more as an argument for uniting at Logan than it does for union at Salt Lake City or any other place. For wherever can the united institutions can be properly run at Logan, the same will suffice elsewhere. To unite and run the two institutions as they are now equipped would cost twenty or thirty per cent. more than should be needed for future development. For a case of this sort the religious of university is more and attractive at Provo, Prof. Paul's hobby, the city to which he would transplant the University of Higher education.

Turning now to the financial arguments for Salt Lake City to go with the University, we find it seems that not was to be the numerous interests of the city to get the University removed from its present site. The present University grounds and buildings, or the value of land thereon, was subject to that Lake City, and it is to be the land for the city to use it is for the Territory?

Salt Lake has offered for the site? At present we know of no offer to remove, or to remove, or to remove the University site. An offer equivalent of $20 per year would cost $24,000 to the Territory, or at least, as the land is known to and the university would have had the Territory about $24,000 to Prof. Paul's calculation is $20,000 per year for the University?

Salt Lake has offered for the site? At present we know of no offer to remove, or to remove, or to remove the University site. An offer equivalent of $20 per year would cost $24,000 to the Territory, or at least, as the land is known to and the university would have had the Territory about $24,000 to Prof. Paul's calculation is $20,000 per year for the University?

Prof. Paul says that the expense of tuition will be almost nothing if at Logan and $200,000 if elsewhere.

In case of union at Salt Lake City, there are two conditions to be considered. The college may be united with the University on its present site with the same possible changes or the city may give to the University the square on which it stands, which Prof. Paul values at $600,000, and also a lot in Salt Lake City worth $25,000 to $50,000, and on this a plant far better than either institution now has may be established without cost to the Territory a dollar. Such a thing may easily happen, and we can afford to wait a little first. But let us see whether it will not be easily next $200,000 to unite in general University site it is worth carefully to think. By removing the school for the deaf there is room in the two existing buildings for the work of instruction, except in shopwork, for the property qualified appliances for the union institution. Accommodations for the shop work could be furnished at a moderate cost; $20,000 would do very well to start with, and there is ample room on the square for the additional building. In case of need, then, the college work could be provided for on the University square for one-tenth of the cost claimed by Professor Paul.

I understand also that the Logan building is not finished yet, how much will that cost?

Prof. Paul estimates the buildings, machinery, fixtures and apparatus of the Agricultural College have cost above $200,000, nearly all of which will be lost if the College is removed from Logan. Could not the buildings be utilized for some other service, for schools for the deaf, the blind, and other defective classes for which provision is desired? Could all the machinery and apparatus and some of the fixtures be removed with the College?

Prof. Stalker in the New York edition of the *Tribune*, estimates the total cost of buildings and equipment at $175,000, including stock and buildings. The equipment is there estimated at $30,000. Deducting this movable equipment from $175,000, and it is the remainder we have to deal with, and we obtain $107,000 as the amount to be left behind, if Logan exacts her pound of flesh and proposes to have the buildings stand empty rather than to be put to any other Territorial use, a course so foolish that no community is likely to pursue it.

Yes, it would be rather hard to give this amount to Logan, but it looks rather small compared with the $400,000 which Prof. Paul proposes to give Salt Lake City by abandonment of the University site.

But Prof. Paul's figuring becomes even more interesting and novel when he extends the field of current expenses for the next two years and estimates the saving there by union at Logan.

In the first place he overlooks the fact that the sum needed by the University includes the amount required for the deaf mutes, about $20,000.

Its proceeds he estimate that for $5,000 per year the College can pay to the University, provide for its students and do its entire work, thus saving about $15,000 per year.

For the College to provide at a cost of $5,000 per year for about 150 students, the gross fee three-tenths of the University student would be necessary. Salt Lake City is a pauper compared assumption on his part, evidence whose instruction would require from eight to ten additional professors and the expenditure not to mention other expenses, to an educational extent equal to that of the horse and Reno. The normal school work alone, as I note it is planned by the University for the next two years, will require at least one professor and three instructors in the professional work in pedagogy, methods and training. The school for the deaf requires $10,000 per year.

The commercial institution will have on its hand to the extent of its capacity a preparatory school, normal school, a commercial course, an experimental station, four year courses in liberal arts, scientific courses, civil engineering and mechanical engineering, agriculture, and domestic economy, with from 500 to 600 students. Prof. Paul proposes to do this with about $25,000 per year, $14,000 from the government, $5,000 for the College and $6,000 for the University from the Territory. Yes, it may be done, as the Israelites built the brick on strawless. The annual deficiency is not said to the deaf, then would be greater rather than quite as good results by abolishing the University altogether if this sort of economy is carried.

As to the question of the Salt Lake Herald's attempt to do more as an argument for uniting at Logan than it does for union at Salt Lake City or any other place. For wherever can the united institutions can be properly run at Logan, the same will suffice elsewhere. To unite and run the two institutions as they are now equipped

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]