

# DISASTROUS WRECK.

The Result of a Strike on the Chicago and Northwestern.

## TELEGRAPHERS QUIT WORK.

Thousands of Dollars' Worth of Property Lost and the Whole Line Blacked.

CHICAGO, May 22.—The strike of telegraphers on the Chicago and Northwestern railroad went into effect at 4 o'clock this morning and resulted in a disastrous wreck, involving the loss of many thousands of dollars and the blacking out of the entire division.

It was just as when every operator on the line abandoned his post that the disaster occurred. The Chicago and Northwestern train dispatcher's office. The telegraphers at once gave orders to the trainmen in running the train, and the orders were hardly out when the accident occurred.

A heavy freight train came from the north, loaded with hay and coal. When it reached North Division it found the switch signals set at right angles, and the train was thrown off the track, striking the Chicago and Northwestern tracks and derailing. The trainmen saved themselves by jumping.

The number of men on the train was twenty-five, according to the officials of the company, to three hundred, according to the strikers. If a general order for a strike has been issued by Chief Ramsey it will affect about 500 men distributed over 800 miles of the road.

Chief Ramsey says that the order to quit was bogus and that the matter had been settled. It was owing to the fact that the order to quit was suspended by the company, that the strike was declared. He added that the railway officials explained the position regarding the derailing of the train through the carelessness of the telegraphers.

A Bank Closes Its Doors.

MINNEAPOLIS, N. Y., May 22.—(Minneapolis) National bank closed its doors this morning as a result of the recent financial troubles. Colonel D. C. Robinson, bank examiner, said that the bank solvent in case Col. Robinson would be liable. If not, the depositors would be paid in full.

PARALYZING STORM.

House Unroofed and Trees Uprooted.

LOUISVILLE, Ky., May 22.—Early this morning a storm from the southwest struck this city, doing much damage. It first struck the Louisville and Nashville railroad, blowing down the trestle, and then sweeping through the city, creating confusion and damage to property.

CHICAGO, May 22.—Union building, until recently occupied by the Associated Press, and the Oriental building, adjoining it, and being demolished to make room for the Stock Exchange building. This morning just after a large force of men had been working on them, one of the floors of the Oriental building went down with a crash, burying five men in the ruins.

IN THE HANDS OF A ROBBER.

BURKESVILLE, Ga., May 22.—The wholesale grocery store of Messrs. May and Ullman is placed in the hands of a temporary receiver, the liabilities are \$250,000. Ullman retained last week.

A System of Waterworks.

CHESTER, Wyo., May 22.—The city council at its last meeting authorized the issuance of \$75,000 bonds to build a system of water works in the city. The special election to vote upon the proposition will be held the 6th of next month.

NARROW ESCAPE FROM DEATH.

An Aged Gentleman Falls a Distance of Nine Feet.

RAMONA, Wyo., May 22.—Judge N. B. Smith, aged 73 years, was seriously injured yesterday by falling from a ladder a distance of nine feet. He was making repairs to the roof of his residence. He fell heavily, sustaining internal injuries which rendered him unconscious for several hours. He will recover.

Hanged by a Mob.

WADSWORTH, Ia., May 22.—Near Hamilton, yesterday afternoon, 12th Merced, who murdered J. J. Burdette, another negro, name unknown, was taken from jail and hanged by a mob.

WORK FOR MINERS.

The Force in Rock Creek Placer Mines to Be Doubled.

LANDER, Wyo., May 22.—Emile Granier, the well-known mining man, will double the force of miners employed in his placer mines on Rock Creek in the North Park country. Mr. Granier has been in France for some

months, and it is understood that he has succeeded in interesting a number of French capitalists in mining properties in this section. It was one of the first ones to begin the development of mines in the North Park country, and has taken out over 15,000,000 worth of gold bearing ore. Nearly every prospect in the district is making preparations to begin work on a larger scale than now, just as soon as the snow on the mountains will permit.

Sugar County Not Voted.

ATKINS, Texas, May 22.—Governor Hunt vetoed the state sugar bounty bill to enable the superintendents of Texas plantations to accept from the general government a bounty on sugar raised on sugar farms. He disapproved the sugar bounty of the United States.

THE WORLD'S FAIR.

The National Convention of the Committee Reports.

CHICAGO, May 22.—The National World's Fair commission is considering the majority and minority reports of the committee on the question of opening the gates on Sunday. It has been voted to limit the debate to four hours and a half, which will take up a good deal of the afternoon. The question has been the shape of the auditorium to substitute the majority report for the minority report. The majority report favors opening the Fair on Sunday.

The day was cold and raw with a strong wind blowing. Rain fell heavily during the night and part of the forenoon, but later the clouds broke and gave occasional glimpses of the sun.

Jefferson III.

RICHMOND, Ky., May 22.—Joseph Jefferson, the famous actor, is suffering from an abscess on the neck. He does not seem to rally. In view of his age, the family is much concerned, but the condition, though hoping for the best.

MOTT SMITH IN A QUANDRY.

He Says Thurston Has Been Appointed Hawaiian Minister.

But Uncle Sam Has Never Recognized the Provisional Government of the Islands.

WASHINGTON, D. C., May 22.—Dr. Mott Smith who until recently had been recognized as the representative of Washington in Honolulu, is now in a quandary. He has returned to the city. He confirmed the unofficial announcement that Lorin Thurston was appointed by the provisional government of Hawaii as its representative at Washington. At the same time Dr. Smith is a member of the United States in Dr. Smith's opinion has never officially recognized the provisional government now ruling in Hawaii. It is true that Minister Stevens recognized the new government, but from what Commissioner Smith has made public, it is difficult to understand whether the administration recognizes the provisional government or not. There is a general belief that the United States is now United States minister to Hawaii, but his appointment has never been officially recognized. Dr. Smith is therefore in a very awkward position. He proposes, however, when Thurston arrives here as the new minister from Hawaii to introduce him to Secretary Sherman and to throw upon Secretary Sherman the responsibility of deciding whether the United States will recognize as minister from Hawaii.

Superintendent of Dead Letters.

WASHINGTON, May 22.—Bernard Gorge, dead letter superintendent of the dead letter office.

Fatal Wind Storm.

CLEVELAND, O., May 22.—A terrific wind storm struck this city at 9 o'clock this morning. Four men were instantly killed and many injured in the city. A house was blown down from its foundation, which resulted in serious injury to four men, one of whom will die.

THE COW CONTEST.

The Jerseys No Far Ahead in the Competition.

CHICAGO, May 22.—The test of the dairy qualities of Jersey, Guernsey and short horn cows is being made at the World's Fair. The Jerseys are far ahead, both in the amount of milk and the percentage of butter and cheese. Questions of amount of food consumed and loss of gain of flesh are yet to be determined.

A Bad Collision.

MINNEAPOLIS, May 22.—A bad collision is reported on the Northern Pacific road near Little Falls, Minn., early this morning. In which the postal clerk, engineer and fireman lost their lives, three trains on the road, and here this morning were abandoned.

THE ABOVE CONFIRMED.

MINNEAPOLIS, May 22.—A special from Hamilton confirms the accident on the Northern Pacific, but says no one was killed, though a number of train men and passengers were injured in a collision between a passenger train and a Winnipeg freight train. It occurred at Wabito, near Lake Itasca.

Killing and the Strikers.

TORONTO, May 22.—Governor Lewellton says the striking men in the Chicago district are right and as long as they refrain from violence they will have the sympathy of all who believe in fair play.

A TERRIFIC STORM.

Damage Done to Buildings, Farms and Orchards.

TORONTO, May 22.—A terrific wind and rain storm broke over the city this morning. It continued to blow for six hours. At noon it was blowing sixty miles an hour. The streets were

# TRUTH AND LIBERTY.

CHICAGO, May 22.—The strike of telegraphers on the Chicago and Northwestern railroad went into effect at 4 o'clock this morning and resulted in a disastrous wreck, involving the loss of many thousands of dollars and the blacking out of the entire division.

## A CONTINGENT FEE.

Significant Episode in the Third District Court This Morning.

## A "BLOOD RELATION" QUESTION.

Judge Zane, John M. Kane and Parley L. Williams Were the Chief Actors.

It was the unexpected which happened in Chief Justice Zane's court this morning, and the incident was an altogether exceptional one.

Toronto yesterday Judge Zane was a jury sat, and listened patiently to the testimony, legal arguments, and legal questions arising on the trial of an action brought by Wm. G. Henson of the city against the Oregan short line and the Northern Railway company, in which the plaintiff had sought to obtain from the defendants damages to the tune of \$50,000 for destruction of certain property of his near Grand Island, alleged to have been caused by sparks from a locomotive and the dropping of fire from the smoke stack upon the grass and herbage. Everything passed off at the first day's hearing without anything out of the common run of things, and when the court adjourned for the afternoon it was with the usual understanding, that the case would again be taken up to-day.

But hardly had the jury been taken to the afternoon session, with the usual understanding, that the case would again be taken up to-day, when Attorney P. L. Williams, who represented the defendant company, called to the attention of the court, that Mr. Zane and Mr. Graham Putnam were counsel for the plaintiff, and the former had retained the services of the latter. When he took the stand the question put to him by Mr. Williams was to what he was counsel in this case was a contingent fee.

Mr. Zane's reply was to the effect that he did not retain Mr. Putnam, but that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

# TRUTH AND LIBERTY.

CHICAGO, May 22.—The strike of telegraphers on the Chicago and Northwestern railroad went into effect at 4 o'clock this morning and resulted in a disastrous wreck, involving the loss of many thousands of dollars and the blacking out of the entire division.

## A CONTINGENT FEE.

Significant Episode in the Third District Court This Morning.

## A "BLOOD RELATION" QUESTION.

Judge Zane, John M. Kane and Parley L. Williams Were the Chief Actors.

It was the unexpected which happened in Chief Justice Zane's court this morning, and the incident was an altogether exceptional one.

Toronto yesterday Judge Zane was a jury sat, and listened patiently to the testimony, legal arguments, and legal questions arising on the trial of an action brought by Wm. G. Henson of the city against the Oregan short line and the Northern Railway company, in which the plaintiff had sought to obtain from the defendants damages to the tune of \$50,000 for destruction of certain property of his near Grand Island, alleged to have been caused by sparks from a locomotive and the dropping of fire from the smoke stack upon the grass and herbage. Everything passed off at the first day's hearing without anything out of the common run of things, and when the court adjourned for the afternoon it was with the usual understanding, that the case would again be taken up to-day.

But hardly had the jury been taken to the afternoon session, with the usual understanding, that the case would again be taken up to-day, when Attorney P. L. Williams, who represented the defendant company, called to the attention of the court, that Mr. Zane and Mr. Graham Putnam were counsel for the plaintiff, and the former had retained the services of the latter. When he took the stand the question put to him by Mr. Williams was to what he was counsel in this case was a contingent fee.

Mr. Zane's reply was to the effect that he did not retain Mr. Putnam, but that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

# TRUTH AND LIBERTY.

CHICAGO, May 22.—The strike of telegraphers on the Chicago and Northwestern railroad went into effect at 4 o'clock this morning and resulted in a disastrous wreck, involving the loss of many thousands of dollars and the blacking out of the entire division.

## A CONTINGENT FEE.

Significant Episode in the Third District Court This Morning.

## A "BLOOD RELATION" QUESTION.

Judge Zane, John M. Kane and Parley L. Williams Were the Chief Actors.

It was the unexpected which happened in Chief Justice Zane's court this morning, and the incident was an altogether exceptional one.

Toronto yesterday Judge Zane was a jury sat, and listened patiently to the testimony, legal arguments, and legal questions arising on the trial of an action brought by Wm. G. Henson of the city against the Oregan short line and the Northern Railway company, in which the plaintiff had sought to obtain from the defendants damages to the tune of \$50,000 for destruction of certain property of his near Grand Island, alleged to have been caused by sparks from a locomotive and the dropping of fire from the smoke stack upon the grass and herbage. Everything passed off at the first day's hearing without anything out of the common run of things, and when the court adjourned for the afternoon it was with the usual understanding, that the case would again be taken up to-day.

But hardly had the jury been taken to the afternoon session, with the usual understanding, that the case would again be taken up to-day, when Attorney P. L. Williams, who represented the defendant company, called to the attention of the court, that Mr. Zane and Mr. Graham Putnam were counsel for the plaintiff, and the former had retained the services of the latter. When he took the stand the question put to him by Mr. Williams was to what he was counsel in this case was a contingent fee.

Mr. Zane's reply was to the effect that he did not retain Mr. Putnam, but that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.

Mr. Zane replied that he was not a contingent fee, and that he was a partner in the firm, and that he was not a contingent fee. Mr. Williams then asked the court to disqualify Mr. Zane from acting as judge in the case.