Published Daily, Sundays Excepted, AT FOUR O'CLOCK. PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY THE CHARLES W. PENROSE, EDITOR.

THE U. S. SUPREME COURT

WE present to our readers to-day the full text of the Decision of the Supreme arrived at by the Court. The Opinion

it; inconsistency and the evasion off a

when a comment a surger the Coort alludes, which was prepared by Mr. F. S. Richards, was presented after the case was closed and submitted, at the pressing request of the in the way of the ruling, to settle the immense difficulties that have accrued

These difficulties might have been avoided by a general construction of the law when the Cannon case was re-Snow cases. The Court exercised jurisdiction, but confined its ruling to the individual case before it, and refrained nom rendering a decision definite on meaning of the law, as requeson. In both instances, then, the Court evened the man question and crept out mom a grave official responslongy. It was not courageous nor

Justice Blatchford, speaking for the whole Court, first takes up the provision of the United States statutes in relation to Washington Territory. that Territory no one disputes.

It can only up cited in councesimilar provisions

charges.

State courts, stand on the same ground, question.

Snow case and that was shown on the face of the record. In River Bridge Co. vs. Kansas (92 U

pressed their feelings in such a way

BY TELEGRAPH PER WESTERN UNION TELEGRAPH LINE.

Standing by the Bogus Butter Bill. WASHINGTON, 29 .- At a largely at tended meeting of friends of the oleomargarine bill this morning, it was resolved to stand by the bill as reported from the committee.

FOR SALE.

WANTED!

Heneral Housework.





tain, the provision on which the whole quest (1) of the Court's jurisdiction of these discolarns. It is in the second Supreme Court of the United States in any case on superil or writ of error from the District of Columbia and the Territorics, "wherein' is involved the validity of any patient or copyright, or in which is drawn in question the validity of a treaty or statute of, or an authority exercised under the United law siys "an', appeal or writ of error may be brought without regard to the sum or value in dispute." The question, denuded of all extraneous trappings, is simply, do the cases brought before the court draw in question the validity of "an authority The Cours now answers "No;" the trary. It is shown therein that the lower court exercised authority which is not waiganted by the Act of Conconstruction to the third section of that Act not warranted by its language; second, in segregating the offense, so as to multiply the penalties of the law, contrary to its evident intent and purthe visibility of that authority is called

of the authority exercised. That this In the former case the Court ruled:

any such distinction exists. (Certainly none has been recognized here. No objection, therefore, to the allowance petition can arise from the circumasked to review was rendered in a criminal case.

as that of the Act of 1867 referred to in