## DESERET EVENING NEWS FRIDAY AUGUST 28 1908



Bryan Discusses Plan at Length And Asks Why Depositors Should be Left Unsecured.

# ANSWERS TAFT'S CRITICISM.

Accuses Him of Favoring Unnecessary Extension of Sphere of Government Through Postal Savings Banks.

Topeka, Kan., Aug. 27 .- Before an audience which filled the auditorium to overflowing, W. J. Bryan, Democratic candidate for president, tonight spoke on the subject of guaranty of bank de-Previously he had delivered posits. three other addresses, two from the veranda of the hotel and the third at Garfield park, where he attended a picnic by the Knights of Pythias, his lat-ter theme being "Fraternity." The Democratic candidate and his

party arrived here at 1 o'clock and were at once taken in hand by a recep-tion committee composed of leading Democrats of the city and state, and conducted to the hotel in automobiles, A great crowd greeted Mr. Bryan at the station and at the hotel.

ANSWERS 'TAFT'S OBJECTIONS

As announced by him before his departure from Kansas City, Mr. Bryan, in view of the action of the Kansas Republican state convention, which en-dorsed the guaranty of deposits propo-sition, made some remarks supplementary to his prepared speech on that sub-ject. He took up the advantages of the guaranty system and then answered the objections made to it by Mr. Taft and others. He began by asking why the depositor should be left unscurred when the national government demanded security of any bank with which i deposited money. He pointed out that the choice was between the postal savings bank and the guaranty bank, and accused Mr. Taft of favoring an unnec-essary extension of the sphere of gov-ernment in advocating the postal sav-ings bank instead of the guaranty bank. Mr. Bryan declared that he pre-ferred the guaranty hask proposition ferred the guaranty bank proposition, which would allow the banks to attend to the banking business, and yet comoel them to give their depositors neces sary security. Upon the conclusion the prepared speech Mr. Bryan said:

#### OKLAHOMA LAW A SUCCESS.

I asked Mr. Briedenthal, a banker of Kansas C<sup>ite</sup> to make inquiry among the bankers of Kansas and ascertain what proportion of them favored the guaranty law. I learned that of the bankers that had expressed themselves on this subject, about three-fourths of them favored a guaranty law and one-fourth opposed it. This is an excellent advocated but once, and that only in a half-hearted way. In his notifica-tion speech he said that, personally, he was inclined to favor such a law, but is function toward the law to secure a eform

TO-NIGHT

KANSAS REPUBLICANS.

banks secure.

reform. "Another plank endorsing the Demo-cratic platform is to be found in the condemniation of the present rules of the house and in the demand for a modification of the rules. This is the position taken by the Democratic national convention and it is gratify-ing to know that the Republicans of Kansas have supported us in the posi-tion taken. But what shall we say of the condemnation of the vice presi-dential condidate. Sherman? While Mr. Sherman's name is not mentioned, he is so prominently connected with showing. Among the depositors there is no opposition at all and it is evident that the Kansas bankers recognize, first, that something must be done, and sec-ond, that the guaranteed bank is better ond, that the guaranteed bank is better than the postal savings bank. I also inquired of Gov. Haskell in regard to the number of natioanl banks which have surrendered their charters and behe is so prominently connected with the present rules of the house that the Republican platform on this subject cannot be construed otherwise than as a denunleation of him.

A MIXED ENDORSEMENT. "Here we have the Republicans of

have surrendered their charters and be-come state banks in order to have the benefits of the guaranty system. I have a telegram from him saying that four national banks have already made the change and are operating under the state bank laws and that 16 other na-tional banks have applied for state charters. This is conclusive proof that the Oklahoma law is a success. A na-tional charter is supposed to have some advantages over a state charter, and the benefits of the guaranty law must be admitted when 20 national banks will in a short time change from the national system to the state system in order to give their depositors the ad-vantage furnished by the guaranty sys-tem. Kansas heartily endorsing the nomina-tion of Taft and Sherman-even com-mending the speech of acceptance of Mr. Taft-while the convention pro-ceeds to condemn Mr. Taft's position on the guaranty of banks and Mr. Sherman's position on the subject of tem. "Since the preparation of my speech on this subject, the Republicans of Kansas have held a convention and adopted a state platform. The plank on the guaranty of banks is a recog-nition of the necessity for security, but the plank is so worded as to be prac-tically useless so far as the protection of the people is concerned. The Repub-

ceeds to condemn Mr. Taft's position on the guaranty of banks and Mr Sherman's position on the subject of rules. There is no doubt that there is a strong reform sentiment among the Republicans of Kansas, and the best way that they can give expression to it is to vote for the Democratic electors and thus secure a national administra-tion in harmony with their reform ideas, and then elect a Democratic state ticket which stands for reform, a Dem-ocratic legislature which will not only stand for the guaranty law, but elect Mr. Farrell to vote for reforms in the United States senate, and then the Re-publicans of Kansas ought to complete their work by electing Democratic members of Congress to help reform the rules of the bouse and help to carry out the reform pledges in the Demo-cratic platform." Idealy useless so far as the protection of the people is concerned. The Repub-licans propose to enable the state banks to 'mutually and voluntarily' guarantee deposits. But that is not enough. Suppose that the banks mut-ually agree not to do it. Must the depositors be left unsecured? cratic platform." Mr. Bryan was greeted with great "The Kansas Republican platform

applause when he arose to speak. I went at once to the subject and said: MR. BRYAN'S SPEECH.

"The Kansas Republican platform also requests the Republican candi-dates for Congress and the United States senate favor a law enabling a national bank to participate in the pro-posed mutual and voluntary system. But what chance is there of securing such a law when the Republican na-tional convention refuses to nay any Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen —Why not make the depositor secure 3 The United States government requires the deposit of specific security when it intrusts money to a national bank, al-though it can examine the bank at any time. though it can examine the bank at any time; the state requires security when it deposits money in a bank; the coun-ty requires security and the city re-quires security; even the banks require security from the officials who handle money. Why should the depositor be left to take his chances?

But what chance is there of securing such a law when the Republican na-tional convention refuses to pay any attention to the subject and when the Republican candidate opposes the whole principle of the guaranty? "Mr. Taft's denunciation of the guaranty system is so sweeping that no disinterested person can for a mom-ent believe that he will either encour-age or permit a law enabling national banks to participate in state systems. What the people need is a state sys-tem whereby both state and national banks will be compelled to guarantee depositors, and only a Democratic vic-tory can secure this reform. With a Democratic president and a Demo-cratic Congress it will be easy to se-cure the adoption of a system which will make both state and national banks secure. Not only is the depositor without pro-tection, but the security given to na-tion, state, county and city lessens his security. They are preferred creditors; they have a mortgage on the gilt-edged assets and the depositor must get along as best he can with what re-mains. Why are the interests of de-positors thus neglected? THEORY OF DEPOSITS

A bank asks deposits on the theory that the depositor is sure of the return of his money, and the laws ought to make the facts conform to the theory. The depositor, the community and the banker himself will be benefited by leg-islation which will give to every de-positor the desurance that that which ENDORSE DEMOCRATIC PLAT-FORM. "And, speaking of platforms, I am glad to call attention to the fact that the Republican platform adopted in Kansas endorses the Democratic na-tional platform on two important questions. First, it favors the election of senators by direct vote of the people, a reform which the Republican nation-al convention rejected by a vote of 7 to 1 and which Mr. Taff here never positor the assurance that that which is committed to the keeping of the bank will be available to meet his needs at any time. Such is not the case to-day, for while all banks are reasonably

statement can be verified in several

ays. First-The president has advocated a Pitst-The president has advocated a postal savings bank, and his postmas-ter-general, in presenting an argument in its favor, pointed out that many millions are sent to European savings banks every year by Americans of for-eign birth who prefer to trust the state institutions of the nations beyond the sea, rather than the private banking institutions here.

sea, rather than the private banking institutions here. Second—It is known that a consider-able amount of money is in hiding, the amount increasing with the approach of a panic or business depression. This money is not only withdrawn from ac-tive use, but is likely to be withdrawn just at the time when money is most needed and when the withdrawal with increase the financial disturbance. It is impossible to reason with fear; it is futile to tell men that they will prob-ably get their money. The moment the depositors suspect a bank, they hasten to destroy its solvency. Distrust, and distrust alone, can explain the hiding of money. of money.

#### PEOPLE SEEKING SECURITY.

Third-The increase in the issue of money orders, payable to the order of the purchaser, is another evidence that people are seeking greater security for their money. The banks will pay an interest upon deposits, and yet those who buy money orders profer to lose the interest, and, in addition to that, pay the price of the money order in order to secure the government's guar-anty. inty.

Fourth -- National banks confess that their banks are not secure when they oppose the guaranty of state banks on the ground that it would lessen the deposits in national banks; and the state bankers confess that their banks are not secure when they oppose a national guaranty system on the ground that it will draw deposits away from state banks. If you want to find whether banks are absolutely secure ,ask the directors to give you their personal note to secure your de-posit and you will learn that they will not bear the risk which they ask you to bear. to bear.

### OKLAHOMA'S EXPERIENCE.

not bear the risk which they ask you to bear.
OKLAHOMA'S ENPERIENCE.
Fifth—The experience of Oklahoma furnishes conclusive proof that depositors do not feel that their money is safe in unsecured banks. On ' the Tothe of December, 1967, the Oklahoma legislature enacted a depositors' guaranty law, which became operative Feb. 4, 1908. By the provisions of this law, all state banks and as many national banks as desire to avail themselves of the law, are taxed 1 percent on their deposits, and the money thus collected is put into a guaranty fund. The banking board is authorized to make additional assessments from time to time to keep the fund up to this amount, and is directed to take possession of any insolvent bank, pay the depositors in full, and reimburse the fund by collecting the assets of the failed bank. Five hundred and fifty-five banks, including 54 national banks, had come under the provisions of this law on the 14th of last May, leaving but 255 unsecured banks (all national) in the state. Statements are made by the banks in December and May. Between these periods the secured banks gained in deposits \$4,237,765.22, while the unsecured banks, and demoney brought from hiding or from without the state, but the decrease in depositors withdrew their money from the unsecured banks can only be explained in one way. A large number of depositors withdrew their money from the unsecured banks, were permitted to pay; and it must be remembered alos of that the banks which suffered a loss of depositors wither the difference was caused by the guaranty law, the secured banks of Oklahoma, they were failing in the state banks and trust companies of Kansas—the decrease being \$1,153,206.27 between March \$1 and June 13.

with than the laws at present



