

THE SUPREME COURT.

A Question Involving the Fees of
District Court Clerks.

ZION'S SAVINGS BANK APPEALS

The Point at Issue was the Collection of Certain Portions of the Taxes.

There were no opposing hands shown by the judges of the Territorial Supreme court this morning, and after the initiation of Erney's motion he was told by Clerk Haché, that he was ordered to arguments in the case against him.

The first case taken up was that of the United States of America, against Henry G. McMillan, John J. Day and Warden D. Noble, respondents.

The third count charged that defendant was being discriminated against because he was black. Suit was brought by the United States against those to remove a woman alleged to be son from Mr. Sullivan as fees collected by him for two of his offices and in excess of the expenses of his office, reasonable clerical hire and \$2,000 a year, which it was claimed by the plaintiff was all that

McMillan was entitled to retain as a personal compensation. The complaint alleged that a portion of the \$20,000 paid for was received by the clerk as fees in satisfaction of the bill the remainder as fees in arrears between private parties in court. To the complaint the defendants answered on the ground that it did not

The questions arising on the demurrer were—1st, whether the materials furnished received by the defendant abolitionists were free and emolument of his office as clerk; and 2nd, whether the fees received by him as clerk, in suits between private parties, were such fees and emoluments of his office.

that he was required to account for in some manner.

that the deaths must not make results of the loss felt in the C-45 class room. In the opinion of the lower court, since the loss received by it, which for territorial boundaries should be admitted for, because the United States had no interest whatever in them, Congress might withdraw any vote, arguing that if the United States

The appellate was represented by Justice Hiss and the respondents.

Attorney W. H. Dismen, the latter whom, in a very forcible argument, insisted that the two questions arise upon this appeal were properly a central in the negative by the lower court; further that such required satisfaction cases were in the nature of the case, but were (he said) by the U. S. Supreme Court in the case

the U. S. vs. still, paid the clerk by dis-
parties seeking remuneration as com-
pensation for his services in looking
over the papers and maintaining the
validity. The clerk acted as an aid
the court in passing on those cases, a
his duties in this respect were dis-
connected in any manner with the
duties as clerk.

A POINT TO BE TAKEN.

The two cases of the Zion's Savin bank and Trust company, *apponee* vs. *l. a. thirdy, et-al* company tax collector, defendant and respondent; a *case* vs. *E. J. Leonard, only tax* and collector then came

The facts in each were identical and by mutual agreement between counsel the two appeals were consolidated and argued together.

Appellants were represented by attorneys Darlene Ferguson and John Cannon, County Attorney Murphy and Judge Hoge appeared on the other side.

The Third district court some time ago, which the facts were fully reported in these columns. Hearing was had before ex-Chief Justice Zane, the plaintiff seeking to compel a permanent injunction to restrain the defendants from collecting certain portions of license and county tax on the ground that such collection

the reason that the property on which such taxes were assessed was valuable. The objection was denied by Judge Kane, and appeal therefore taken to the circuit court for the applicable circuit among other matters, that the court below erred in holding that the property of the corporation was to be

A motion to dismiss, made by the defendants' counsel, on the grounds that the controversy had been already settled except on the issue, was rejected. The court affirmed the judgment, and then ordered the defendants to pay the costs of the proceedings.

Came Back at Henry.

George Henry, who has led a soft-up, slowdown career with several jobs at place business, was arraigned in a police court this morning on a charge of receiving a new limited license which he had stolen on Friday. Several previous charges but he escaped with a fine of \$10. The charges were filed by the state attorney.

[illegible]