

DESERET EVENING NEWS

PUBLISHED EVERY EVENING
(Sunday Excepted)

Cutter of South Temple and East Temple
streets, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Horace G. Whitney - Business Manager

SUBSCRIPTION PRICES
On Advance:

One Year	\$1.00
Six Months	.50
Three Months	.25
One Month	.12 1/2
Saturday Edition, Per Year	.50
Semi-Weekly, Per Year	.25

Correspondence and other reading matter for publication should be addressed to THE EDITOR.

Address all business communications and all remittances to THE DESERET NEWS.

Salt Lake City, Utah.

Entered at the Post Office of Salt Lake City, second class matter according to Act of Congress, March 3, 1893.

SALT LAKE CITY - Oct. 15, 1907

COMMON CONSENT.

The anti-Mormon sheet says "common consent" is outgrown meaning of course, that the members of the Church are the subjects of a set of despots who dictate their course of conduct politically, socially, and religiously. The scandal appendix of the sheet is preaching the same falsehood, and designates the doctrine of common consent as "petty dodging and lying."

The absurdity of the anti-Mormon idea should be apparent to all who will reflect that we are living in a free country, where no man can exercise despotic power over any other man, and least of all politically. But the idea has obtained currency by virtue of frequent repetition of absurd stories about "hierarchical deposition." Let the truth, therefore, again be stated, that the members of the Church are employing to the fullest extent the liberties and prerogatives that are guaranteed by the Constitution to every American citizen.

There is really no excuse for the existing ignorance concerning the Church. The duties of the various officers are well defined in the Regulations and set forth by our ecclesiastical writers.

But dictation is not one of those duties. The doctrine of common consent was one of the first exemplified in the teachings imparted to the Church founders. Elder B. H. Roberts in his admirable Outline of Ecclesiastical History, says:

"Thus the Church was organized, and in that organization we see the operation of the right of self-government. God's common consent of the people. At the time that Joseph and Oliver received instruction to ordain each other to be Elders of the Church, they were told to defer their ordination until such time as would be practicable to get their brethren who have been and who would be baptized before them. And so it was done, and they must have the sanction of their brethren before they ordained each other Elders of the Church; and their brethren must decide by vote whether they would accept them (Joseph and Oliver) as spiritual teachers. Thus, notwithstanding standing orders, there can be no commandment among them but the hands of Peter, John, and John had doubtless re-ordained each other as already stated, yet when it came to be getting ordained Elders of the Church and made the spiritual leaders of it, it must be done by common consent of the Church, and then each would defer to the other which way. All things shall be done by common consent in the Church by prayer and faith! But no sooner was the Church organized than a prophet, a seer, a translator, is appointed and the Church commanded to give heed to his words and to obey him, and coming from the mouth of the Lord himself. Here in the very inception of the Church organization is clearly established the great truth, the grand principle, that in the government of the Church there is to be a union of the voice of God and the voice of the people."

This is the "Mormon" doctrine on the question of common consent, if the opponents will kindly put aside their arrogance for a minute and admit that we know what we believe better than they do. It is not outgrown. It can never be, worlds without end, for it is eternal as the kingdom of God itself.

But, the anti-Mormon sheet says "common consent" was not obtained by Senator Smoot to become a candidate for the United States Senate. Certainly not. That is the point we may try to make all the time. The Church was never asked to pass upon the political candidacy of any man, for the simple reason that the Church is not a political organization. Senator Smoot was elected by his party. As a United States Senator he represents the State and not the Church, and that is the position of any other civil officer. The Church has absolutely nothing to do with their candidacy, or their election. That follows from the showing made by the Tribune in the matter of the Candidacy of Utah's Senior United States Senator.

But, the anti-Mormon sheet has a liberal amount of Church information on hand, even if it does not exist. Their business requires it. And so they rave against influential American citizens if these exercise their prerogatives as citizens, pretending that the Church interferes in politics if those who hold ecclesiastical offices take an interest in mundane or civil affairs.

Have we, then, come to this, that in this country it is wrong for a Sunday school teacher, a clergyman, a bishop, an apostle, to vote and ask others to vote for good, clean officials, while it is right for a saloon-keeper to vote and to bribe others to vote for grafters? Just consider this proposition of the anti-Mormon for revenue. Has it come to this that a citizen whom thousands love and revere because of his Christian meekness and purity of character, must consider himself disfranchised, while the keepers of brothels are planning night and day an spending money for the election of officers that will close their eyes to their work of destruction? Is this what is meant by "Americanism"? If so, Heaven pity this municipality! There should be no difficulty in recognizing the origin of such damnable doctrine. Its very names and pretences should set its doom for ever.

A FINANCIAL LOSS.

The fear has been expressed that the Jamestown exposition will not be able to pay its debt to the government. Congress authorized a loan of \$1,000,000, and \$60,000 it seems remains unpaid. The suggestion has been made that the government may as it did in the

case of the St. Louis exposition in 1893, take the same receipts from day to day, as well as the receipts from concessions. Such action would amount to nothing in the assumption by the government of the financial management of the exposition for the remainder of the session.

The Jamestown exposition was indeed to celebrate the nation's birth, and it might be better seen a great success. The show is said to be admirable from every point of view. But it was the vision of many toward circumstances. For one thing the exclusive money spirit of it was not in harmony with the general conception of property. A total display, no matter how magnificent, was not generally regarded as a most fitting tribute to the achievements of the Republic. Then, the peculiar interpretation of the law relating to interstate transportation, by which the business of the press with the railroads has been greatly curtailed, has had its influence upon the attendance. The exposition was very largely ignored by the press. Its defects were emphasized and exaggerated. It is a question whether any great undertaking of the kind will succeed under the present arrangement.

But if the government is about to lose \$500,000 on the Jamestown exposition, the blow will not be staggering. There is a comfortable surplus in the treasury, and the income all the time exceeds the expenditures.

USE OF THE ARID RANGE.

President Roosevelt advocates the leasing of the public range to homesteaders throughout the arid region. We believe that this would be a good plan.

There are millions of acres of government land in the trans-Missouri region unfit for farming. Over this immense area the rainfall is too light to permit of crops without irrigation, and for irrigation, even under a system of governmental works, the supply of water is insufficient.

A contemporary calls attention to the fact that in earlier days this great region from the Rio Grande to the Canadian border, was covered with herds of cattle and flocks of sheep. Grazing was free and the ranges were overstocked and rapidly became exhausted. Hard winters caused heavy losses, the price of cattle declined for a period of years and many of the largest stock-raising became bankrupt or were starved out of business. In sections where the herds of range cattle had been counted by tens of thousands they dwindled to hundreds. The genuine cowboy is almost extinct because his occupation is gone.

It is not lawful at present to fence the public lands and the practice of doing so has been stopped during the last three administrations—those of Presidents Cleveland, McKinley, and Roosevelt.

It seems probable that the fencing of the public land has occasioned less harm than the overstocking of the free ranges.

Texas owing the public domain within her borders and permits it to be fenced. So does Australia, where the government leases large tracts of land. If this were possible under our laws, the arid West would soon bring back much of its former industry of cattle ranching. Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Utah, Arizona, Nevada and New Mexico would all, we believe, be greatly benefited by such an amendment to the land laws.

Should stock raising be encouraged in this manner, one effect would probably be a substantial reduction in the price of meat.

It would be wisdom to make use of the vast areas that are hardly worth buying, and that, if left entirely open, subject the stock grazers to such uncertainties that the free range is not the advantages that at first sight it appears to be.

TAFT IN CHINA.

The speech of Secretary of War Taft in Shanghai is considered one of the most significant addresses delivered in recent years by a representative of our government. It is virtually a hint to Japan, as well as to other powers interested in eastern Asia, that the United States will brook no interference with the American trade there. It is a strong re-affirmation of the "open door" policy. Secretary Taft said:

"We do not complain of loss of trade that results from the employment of greater enterprise, ingenuity, or attention to the demands of the Chinese market, or the greater business acumen shown by our competitors. We should have the right to protest at being excluded from our interests upon this policy of exclusion. The acquisition in this position of all interests interested has been a discriminating and emphatic proof that it is hardly worth while to speculate upon the probable action of the United States in case the interests of American merchants were placed in jeopardy, and how far the United States would go in the protection of its Chinese trade in case of war. It is clear, however, that our merchants are being exposed to the importance of the U.S.A. and they would view with deep concern any and all political obstacles to its maintenance and expansion. This feeling is likely to find expression in the action of the American government."

In view of the fact that Japan is generally credited with the ambition of facilitating the dominant force in the development of the Chinese trade, the utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

A gentleman living in Japan recently wrote to the Chicago Evening Post, expressing his firm conviction that the Americans "are in the lead," and that the Japanese do not mean "to have any rival power in the neighborhood." "It would have made your blood boil with rage," this writer says, "to read the propositions of the Japanese to regulate California as Washington could not, I said, to send a fleet and an army to suppress American Boxers. It has been barely a year since a mob burnt a lot of foreign property, all of whom planned to see a threat of war in the announcement. There are some people both at home and abroad, whom the United States can never satisfy and it should not try to."

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. He assured them that the progress of the Chinese could not excite the jealousy of the

DESERET EVENING NEWS TUESDAY OCTOBER 15 1907

United States, so long as it was directed along the lines of peaceful prosperity, the maintenance of law and order, and the proper observance of the rights of foreigners. As to the other powers, Mr. Taft thought they would be wise to encourage governmental reform in China, and the development of its resources. He said:

"To do this will add to China's strength and add her in preparing to resist possible foreign aggression in the seeking of undue and exclusive proprietary privileges. Thus no foreign aid will be required to enforce the open door and the policy of equal opportunity for all."

China is rapidly developing, and the time is drawing near when that country can take care of itself.

BALLOON RACES.

If we may judge from the announcements of the aeronautic contests that are scheduled to take place at St. Louis, this month, air navigation is now an accomplished fact and no longer one of the unsolved problems of the world. The races will commence on the 21st of this month. They are divided into three classes: The airship or lighter-than-air type, the flying machines or heavier-than-air type, and the balloons, which have no motive power and merely drift with the air currents.

The balloon race will be on Monday, October 21. The flying machine contest will be held on October 22, and there are already ten entries, including both the class with wings and the class with aeroplanes. The contest of airships will take place on the following day, Wednesday, October 23. In this class there are also ten entries for which no forfeit money has been posted.

Air navigation has developed rapidly the last few years, and there is no reason to doubt that still more rapid progress will be made. It is the beginning that presents the greatest difficulty. After a right start, progress comes natural. Unfortunately, the balloon has been monopolized by the interests of war long before its usefulness in the service of the industries has been established. We hear of more war balloons than of the other kind.

One day the dispatches tell of a new English war balloon; another day it is a German airship; another it is a Frenchman or Brazilian. The races at St. Louis will not be in the interest of war exclusively, and they are therefore all the more important.

The bogus count is never discounted until it is too late.

In finance demoralization often follows immorality.

No Washoe zephyr was ever so breezy as a book agent.

Not brothers, no relation—Harry Joseph and Francis Joseph.

The average man never doubts that he helps make the average higher.

The money centers of the world seem to be enjoying a little frenzied funance.

What the striking telegraphers who have repudiated Small now insist on is a big "stick."

Almost any one can father an idea, but few can bring it to maturity and usefulness.

A Texas baby has been given seven names. If there is anything in a name it should get it.

Because their strike came out at the little end of the horn, the telegraphers are laying the blame on Small.

It must have been a foolish virgin that was in charge of the steamer Manoposa or it never would have been allowed to get out of fuel oil.

A movement has been started to make the anniversary of the landing of Columbus a national holiday. Is it to be old style or new style?

The state inspector of oils of Nebraska has rejected a carload of Standard Oil because it was not up to standard. It was up to Standard Oil standard.

Bear signs are said to have been discovered near the President's camp. What more natural than that the bears should make tracks when they know that the mighty hunter is after them?

If Samuel Gompers wishes to know how every candidate for office in the country stands on the question of "government by injunction," he should at least have accompanied his request with the announcement, "answer prepaid."

It has been decided, by a majority of one, by the delegates to the Philippine congress, that the assembly proceedings shall not be opened with prayer. Before they are closed the assembly probably will be beyond the aid of prayer.

With Sweden showing a desire to compete for the American Cup and Denmark agitating an exchange of university professors with American colleges, there are signs of the growth of a new international entente cordiale. "Skaal to the Norwegians!" says the New York World.

England is assembling in the English channel a fleet of warships that will be larger than any possible combination of the fleets of any two naval powers of Europe. No one has the neophytes because of this fact and no nation takes offense at it, as the assistance is solely for purpose of practice and maneuver. But when the United States announces its intention to send its Atlantic fleet to its Pacific ports for the same purpose, a great outcry is made by lukewarm Americans and foreign critics, all of whom pretend to see a threat of war in the announcement. There are some people both at home and abroad, whom the United States can never satisfy and it should not try to.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

A gentleman living in Japan recently wrote to the Chicago Evening Post, expressing his firm conviction that the Americans "are in the lead," and that the Japanese do not mean "to have any rival power in the neighborhood." "It would have made your blood boil with rage," this writer says, "to read the propositions of the Japanese to regulate California as Washington could not, I said, to send a fleet and an army to suppress American Boxers. It has been barely a year since a mob burnt a lot of foreign property, all of whom planned to see a threat of war in the announcement. There are some people both at home and abroad, whom the United States can never satisfy and it should not try to."

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.

He further advised the Chinese to continue the work of developing the resources of the country. The utterances of the Secretary seem addressed to Taikoo, without ambiguity.