

News of the Sporting World at Home and Abroad

BASKETBALL IS HARMFUL TO GIRLS

Burkella Harce of Pierpont School Goes After the College Sport.

WHERE GAME IS DENOUNCED

Declares That Over Excitement is Deadening to the Intellect and Baneful to the Health.

The following interesting article on basketball for girls was written for the Saturday sporting page of the "News" by Burkella Harce of the Pierpont school in this city. She declares the game is harmful, and gives the following reasons:

In my brief discussion of this pet college game for girls, I am conscious that I shall appear unkind of college traditions and the spirit of proud rivalry in which many schools enter into this game. I know I may be taken to task as one who dares disregard established precedent. But I shall hope to be fair and reasonable in my statements and ask only that I may be given an impartial and thoughtful hearing. I would not, for the pleasure there might be in appearing egotistical, antagonize any school. I would not attempt to criticize leading colleges which still love the game of basketball, and which often sacrifice the health and mental development of their girls in order to win a basketball contest.

EXPERIENCE IN PHYSICAL CULTURE

I am only giving my own view of the matter growing out of years of study and experience in physical culture work for girls; and I hope not to antagonize, but to arouse thoughtful consideration in the minds of mothers, teachers and principals of schools in regard to this popular but harmful game, which not only threatens the present health and happiness of the girl, but which has to do with the strength and beauty of the girls and boys of the next generation. It is a significant fact that such a school as Wellesley should denounce basketball. But such is the fact; Wellesley's instructor in physical culture has taken a decided stand against basketball. And I believe that all leading schools for girls will soon be convinced of the game's baneful effects.

IT IS TOO VIOLENT.

In the first place it is too violent for the average girl. The percentage of girls who are absolutely normal in health is mournfully small. Possibly there may be one American girl in 50 who is in no wise nervous and whose vital organs are in perfect condition. It is indeed a rare pleasure to find a girl who is rosy and redolent with the magnetic power of robust health.

Even such a rare specimen of good health would enter into the game of basketball at the risk of losing much of the health and beauty which she has. Many such robust girls come out of a game not only in a very unattractive condition, but in a state of almost fainting from nervous exhaustion due to over fatigue and excitement of the nerves.

It is said that when the body is once completely fatigued it never absolutely recovers from it. Life is in a measure shortened, the possibilities for good being accordingly lessened. Fatigue means immature decay, and in work and play should be studiously avoided.

DEADENING TO INTELLECT.

Over-excitement is deadening to the intellect and baneful to the health, often resulting in headache, indigestion, or a run of fever. Many times persons who are confident and well and suddenly collapse utterly after intense excitement from any cause. Over-excitement has also sometimes resulted in stunting the physical growth of young persons as well as in retarding the mental development.

Exercise should be entered into with rest and enjoyment. The mind should be employed in every movement, tact and skill and all the finer sensibilities should be required for the execution. But the central principle in all correct physical culture is control. When the interest causes a loss of mental or physical control it is harmful excitement. Also an interest which teaches selfishness and rivalry or careless language is as bad in a game of basketball as in a game of cards.

Genuine physical culture produces an elevation of the mind and spirit in unison with the smoothing of the body. It cultivates generous impulses, refined expression and quietly bearing toward all engaged in it.

SAYS GAME IS ROUGH. Basketball is a rough game. It is often a rude game. The partakers are betrayed into uncouth and ungainly positions and actions which, if much repeated, lead to corresponding thoughts and habits. Instead of culture, the result is lack of culture. That, someone says, it gives splendid muscular development. This would be a good argument if physical culture meant the development of the human animal, which it is not. "Culture" of the body means the power to express through the physical incarnation the ideal of a noble soul. Twisted contortions of muscle and limbs, tumbling, leaping and bending double backward will not suggest a lovely soul development. They are mere animal capers and suggestive of our kinship with the jungle tribe.

NOT PROPER TRAINING.

Clearly, then, basketball is not the training that American girls need. A wave of enthusiasm for physical culture is passing over the country and the western girls are being touched by it. This is good. Everybody is rushing into some kind of physical exercise. Righteous enthusiasm has moved the world into mighty channels of progress and success. Blind enthusiasm, however, on the other hand, never accomplished anything but baneful and deplorable failure. People get the word "physical culture" on the tongue and roll and toss it about in its honeyed-sweetness, dreaming that the something which it means is the exercise for every human being, but not realizing that physical culture as well as patent medicine, foods, and money may have its counterfeiters. That all that goes under the alluring name physical culture is not genuine culture, and that the counterfeiters contain the poisons and injuries of the adulterated stuff in any other market.

PROPER EXERCISE IS GOOD.

Righteous enthusiasm. In any line leads to investigation, not to blind acceptance of anything labeled under the alluring name. Physical exercise of the wrong kind not only ceases to be physical culture, but it brings about conditions of lifelong physical suffering, mental and moral deterioration. It should be avoided among the other vicious impositions of which many innocent people are the victims.

EFFECT ON THE MIND.

Physical attitudes tend to create corresponding thoughts in the mind and affect the soul directly. Basketball for girls in a measure kills the sweeter womanly nature. It tends toward the immature and in decadent toward the groveling life. Indeed, most apparatus work for women has a tendency in the same direction and fails to give gracefulness and real culture to the body and mind.

Basket ball and kindred games and exercises under the false title of physical culture are therefore harmful from every standpoint, physical, mental, and psychic.

FAVORED BY BOTH PARTIES.

Republicans and Democrats alike praise Foley's Honey and Tar. It cures colds and all throat and lung diseases as no other remedy can compare with it. It is warm and sure. P. T. Slater, merchant, 111 Main St., Gloucester, Mass., writes: "Foley's Honey and Tar cured me of a very bad cough after several remedies failed to benefit me. I can highly recommend it for coughs and colds." For sale by F. J. Hill Drug Co.

THE CROWN BOWLING PARLOR

Ind. Phone 2294. 22 W. 2nd St. St.

Salt Lake Turf Exchange

208 MAIN STREET.

Direct wire for all Sporting Events, CALIFORNIA and EASTERN RACES.



RINGSIDE PICTURE OF MCGOVERN.

This is how Terry McGovern, who earned the sobriquet of "Terrible Terry" by knocking out George Dixon and other former shining lights of the squared circle looked the night he knocked out Tommy Murphy of Harlem at the National A. C. in Philadelphia.

Murphy was knocked out by McGovern in two minutes and eight seconds, the little Brooklynite raining blows so furiously on the Harlem fighter that it seemed more of an execution than a fight.

The battle showed that Terry has all his strength and speed of old, but many declare that the fight was of too short duration to judicially estimate McGovern's present worth.

McGovern's admirers say that he is the same Terry of old. When he meets Nelson before the National A. C. of Philadelphia, McGovern will demonstrate for once and all whether his victory over Murphy was the result of chance or not.

McGovern and Britt have signed articles to fight for a purse of \$5,000.

DANGER IN AUTOMOBILE RACING.

That it has been found possible to run off a big automobile road race, with the cars averaging close to 70 miles an hour for 243 miles without any fatalities, and even without one of the 19 competing drivers and their mechanics injured, is singularly qualifying to the motor enthusiasts as well as the public at large. The Vanderbilt cup race brought out a record crowd—a crowd, it is reported, that was by far the largest that ever sat at a sporting event in this country—and yet the reports state that not even a spectator was hurt outside of one man being hit by a flying bolt in his anxiety to see what was going on. But what was more gratifying to the showing made by America. With four countries competing—Italy, Germany, America and France—and three of them admitted to possess more knowledge of the craft of turning out speed monsters, American finished a bang up second to France through the steady driving of Joe Tracy, who was at the wheel of a Chicagoan car. More remarkable still was the fact that Tracy went the 243 miles without a puncture and without changing a tire.

This record has been made only once before, and that was in the Florio cup race, in which the winner, Raggio, was fortunate enough to escape such troubles. Such rapid progress has been made in automobile racing that it is admitted the car has far outstripped the tire, and that if it were possible to turn out a pneumatic that would stand the strain the present speed would be greatly exceeded. Incidentally, the race demonstrated to the satisfaction of the good roads makers that it is possible to eliminate the dust evil by oiling the highways, it being proved on the Long Island course, which was absolutely dustless with the cars traveling at 80 miles an hour.

No Pill is as pleasant and positive as DeWitt's Little Early Risers. These Famous Little Pills are so mild and effective that children, delicate ladies and weak people enjoy the cleansing effect, while strong people say they are the best liver pills sold. Never gripe.

Dr. R. D. Bland, Brewster, Wis., writes: "I had been a sufferer a number of years with Lumbago and Rheumatism. I could not get on my feet. For three years I suffered with kidney trouble, could not get on my feet. I tried many remedies, but found nothing that gave me relief. I read of '5-DROPS' and I tried it. I am now a free man. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. C. L. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

Dr. M. E. Baker, Eagle, Mich., writes: "I want to inform you that I am now free of Rheumatism, Neuralgia and all other pains. I will never again be troubled with these pains. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. J. H. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

Dr. M. E. Baker, Eagle, Mich., writes: "I want to inform you that I am now free of Rheumatism, Neuralgia and all other pains. I will never again be troubled with these pains. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. J. H. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

Dr. M. E. Baker, Eagle, Mich., writes: "I want to inform you that I am now free of Rheumatism, Neuralgia and all other pains. I will never again be troubled with these pains. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. J. H. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

Dr. M. E. Baker, Eagle, Mich., writes: "I want to inform you that I am now free of Rheumatism, Neuralgia and all other pains. I will never again be troubled with these pains. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. J. H. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

Dr. M. E. Baker, Eagle, Mich., writes: "I want to inform you that I am now free of Rheumatism, Neuralgia and all other pains. I will never again be troubled with these pains. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. J. H. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

Dr. M. E. Baker, Eagle, Mich., writes: "I want to inform you that I am now free of Rheumatism, Neuralgia and all other pains. I will never again be troubled with these pains. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. J. H. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

Dr. M. E. Baker, Eagle, Mich., writes: "I want to inform you that I am now free of Rheumatism, Neuralgia and all other pains. I will never again be troubled with these pains. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. J. H. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

Dr. M. E. Baker, Eagle, Mich., writes: "I want to inform you that I am now free of Rheumatism, Neuralgia and all other pains. I will never again be troubled with these pains. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. J. H. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

Dr. M. E. Baker, Eagle, Mich., writes: "I want to inform you that I am now free of Rheumatism, Neuralgia and all other pains. I will never again be troubled with these pains. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. J. H. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

Dr. M. E. Baker, Eagle, Mich., writes: "I want to inform you that I am now free of Rheumatism, Neuralgia and all other pains. I will never again be troubled with these pains. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. J. H. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

Dr. M. E. Baker, Eagle, Mich., writes: "I want to inform you that I am now free of Rheumatism, Neuralgia and all other pains. I will never again be troubled with these pains. I can do my work and as well as ever before. I think '5-DROPS' is a marvellous remedy and a cure for my pain."

Dr. J. H. Gaffey, Hancock, Minn., writes: "A little girl here had such a weak back caused by Rheumatism and Kidney trouble that she could not stand on her feet. The moment she put her hand on the floor she would scream with pain. I treated her with '5-DROPS' and today she runs around as well and happy as can be. I prescribe '5-DROPS' for my patients and use it in my own case."

ARTHUR DUFFY TO RACE NO MORE.

Famous Runner Decides to Retire from the World of Sprinters.

HOLDS THE WOLD'S RECORD

How He Started as a Youngster in Boston and Worked His Way Up to the Top Notch.

The retirement of Arthur E. Duffy, the champion amateur sprinter of the world, and the holder of the record for 100 yards, 9.3-5 seconds, marks an epoch in the history of the cinder path. He is the only amateur who ever ran the distance in that time, and he was for several years considered the fleetest man in the world. He has stated that he will never run another race, and has accepted a business engagement which will take up all of his time. This is in spite of the fact that this week's press dispatches state he has confessed to the charge of professionalism.

Until Duffy wiped out all previous records and set the mark for amateurs at 9.3-5 seconds, repetitions had become monotonous, for John V. Cum of the state university of Iowa, Bush of Grinnell, Maybury of Wisconsin, Wefers and Sears had all made the distance in 9.4-5. Duffy was a Georgetown man. His first introduction to athletics was in 1896, at Kensington oval, Boston, where, in a race for school boys he beat every one. Nothing more was heard of him until the Amateur Athletic union championships which were held in Boston in 1899. Among the entries at that time were Wefers, Kraenzlein and J. F. Quinlan.

ENGLISH CHAMPIONSHIPS.

Duffy romped away with his heat in 9.5 seconds, leaving Quinlan in his rear. Kraenzlein won his heat, and he and Duffy, Quinlan and Wefers were in the final. Duffy won from Kraenzlein by a yard in 10 seconds. In 1900 Duffy won the English championship at Stamford Bridge, London. Then during an English tour, he defeated all comers. The following year, representing Georgetown, he won the intercollegiate championship in 10.1-5 seconds, and he then went to England, where he again won the championship in even time, and toured the country as in 1900.

At Stourbridge, on July 8, he won a 120-yards race in 11.4-5 seconds, equalling the English record. A week later he covered the 100 yards in 9.4-5 seconds, on grass, at Leicester. The intercollegiate championship of 1902-1903 went to Duffy, and these years he also won the English titles. In 1904 he went to New Zealand with Alfred Schubb, the English distance runner, but he was not very successful.

Duffy made repeated attacks on the 50-yard record of 5.5 seconds. On one occasion he was credited with 5.1-5 seconds at Washington, but something was wrong with the timing and the floor was like a springboard. He obtained the record at Washington on Feb. 21, 1904, by running in 5.2-5 seconds, but on the same night Victor S. Rice of Chicago ran the distance in the same time as Duffy.

As early as 1894 some fast times were credited to sprinters. George Steward, a professional and native of Hartford, Conn., covered the century in 9.4 seconds, and these figures adorned the record tables for years until an investigation revealed the fact that the record made by the runner taking a flying start. Steward subsequently went to England and beat all professionals there.

After the introduction of the championship meet in 1875, when the use of timing watches became more general and the measurement of the course was usually made with more care, phenomenal times for the 100-yard were not so frequent.

The first amateur to do 10 seconds was W. C. Wilmer, who won the championship on the old Mott. Haven grounds. Wendell Meyers, Waldron, Fort Westing and Sherill followed with similar figures, but at the A. A. U. championship in 1890 John Owen, Jr., of the Detroit Athletic club, made the distance in 9.4-5 seconds at Astoria Island, Washington, and experts said that it was a mark that would stand.

What Some of Them Have Won and Lost This Season.

While it is manifestly impossible to say with any certainty just what a man's losses or winnings have been on the race track, the practical close of the heavy betting season has led to a good deal of speculation on the point. Here is a conspectus of the estimated winnings and losses of some of the best known bettors:

WINNERS.

Plungers—
Jack McDonald\$185,000
Dr. J. Grant Lyman 100,000
Fred Eschener 100,000
John A. Drake 52,000
Jesse Lewisohn 22,000
Joe Younger 20,000
Billy Porter 45,000
Senator P. H. McCarren 45,000
Frank Farrell 50,000

Bookmakers—
Billy Cowan\$200,000
George Holes 62,000
Eolo Pearsall 73,000
O. J. Jones 47,000
G. C. Hanft 22,000
Henry Harris 40,000
R. J. Smith 40,000
Frank Tyler 54,000
G. C. Bennett 62,000
Leo Mayer 41,000

LOSERS.

Plungers—
Davy Johnson\$185,000
Billy Stanton 50,000
George Wheelock 95,000
Charles Cash 32,000
John W. Gates 48,000
R. J. Smith 40,000
R. R. Thomas 20,000

FOOTBALL PROTESTS.

They Are Now Coming From Presidents Of Colleges and Universities.

The following is culled from the New York Evening Post:

Fully as gratifying as the president's appeal for manliness and decency in football are the protests which are at last beginning to come from the heads of colleges. The presidents of Princeton and Yale are, of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the subject of Princeton which does him honor. The report, which is of course, as much busier than Mr. Roosevelt and President Eliot, that they have no time to speak out plainly against the ruffianism on college fields, which is no longer denied. But President Bush of Georgetown, an institution frequently accused of fostering professional athletes, has written a letter on the