

Councillor and Judge of Election for Hunter precinct swore to a financial statement which was false on its face. According to the indictment he not only made a false return to the Government, but committed perjury in swearing to it.

If the charges set forth in the indictment were true, the payment of shortages might make his accounts straight, but it would not square the crookedness of the false return, nor condone the perjury in swearing it was true. If the figures given in the indictment are correct, the charges were clearly established, and, if they proved to be unfounded, regular proceedings should have been taken to set aside the indictment. By what "Liberal" hocus-pocus the affair was smothered out of sight, may yet form a subject of official inquiry and the facts be given to the public.

A person of this stamp is just the kind of a candidate for the great mass of the "Liberal" faction. What he did will form no objection in their minds as to his eligibility to any office in the "Liberal" gift. But what will the decent "Liberals" think about it? Will they help to send a man of that stamp to the Legislative Council, to aid in making laws which people of all parties must obey?

He is just the sort of person most of the "Liberals" would select for a judge of election. And on looking over the list of "Liberal" judges, it would appear that he was considered of the right sort by the Utah Commission. There are names upon it just as unsavory as his, and entirely suitable for "Liberal" purposes, an object which that body seems to have been careful to consider.

Harry Haynes is chiefly noted as a blatant "Liberal" and whiskey dealer. As a saloon-keeper and loud-mouthed abuser of his betters he may be a decided success. As a law-maker and abettor of "Liberal" schemes in the Utah Legislature, we should think after this exposure he would not cut any figure at all. Exit Harry Haynes!

WE reproduce from the *Herald* another indictment, similar to the first, which was found by the grand jury of this judicial district against Harry Haynes, "Liberal" candidate for the Legislature from the Seventh Council District.

We do not see how any consistent "Liberal" can vote for this saloon-keeper who was indicted twice for swearing to false returns. Of course no straight Republican or Democrat is at all likely to do so. That he would carry a number of "Liberal" votes, even if he had been

convicted for the crimes alleged against him we have little doubt. But how any clean and respectable citizen can cast a ballot for him we do not understand.

The attempted defence of their whiskey-dealing candidate by the "Liberal" organ is worse than none at all. But it is characteristic of the writer. It consists simply of a statement that the charge "will not hurt him at all," a dirty fling at the *Herald* for publishing the indictment, and a covert threat of what Haynes would do with the *Herald* editors if they should make such a charge to Haynes' face.

All that will not count. The *Herald* has made no charge. No other paper has made a charge against this special pet of the "Liberal" faction. It is a matter of record. The charge was preferred in due course by a public officer, indictments were found by the grand jury, and the whole affair was smothered without a trial.

These facts cannot be denied, and blackguarding or threatening anybody and everybody will not strike out one word of the black and damning record.

NAILED AGAIN.

THE *Tribune* this morning said:

"Mr. R. C. Chambers has withdrawn his name from the Democratic Legislative ticket. This is positive, as the *Tribune* hears. Mr. Benson has lit out for Omaha. The wreck is going to pieces pretty fast."

The following telegram was received today:

"SAN FRANCISCO, August 1, 1891.

"To Caleb W. West, Chairman:

"I accept the nomination and am very sorry I cannot be with you to assist in making the fight for success.

"R. C. CHAMBERS."

Mr. Benson addressed the Democratic meeting last night. The mean and scoundrelly authors of this latest falsehood thought Mr. Chambers could not be reached in time to deny the libel. Need any more be said?

CERTAINLY NOT.

"Doesn't the News know that after all the parade and the implied promises the teachers are going around in Ogden and telling the people to vote a certain ticket?"

This is a question put by the *Tribune*. The answer is NO, and neither does the *Tribune* know it. We again challenge the *Tribune* to offer one proof that its repeated charges of Church dictation have any foundation in fact. It cannot do it and so remains pilloried before the public as the meanest kind of a lying rag and the most unprincipled defamer that was ever permitted to foul the air with its presence.

AN ORACLE GONE CRAZY.

HERODOTUS relates an anecdote concerning the ancient oracles which is apropos of our times. It is told that before going to battle against Cyrus, the Lydian king followed the established custom of consulting his oracle, which in its wondrous wisdom informed him that by his giving battle to Cyrus a great kingdom would be overthrown. This seemed to the mind of the mighty Croesus a sure testimony that he was going to win.

But the conflict did not end that way, and he marveled much in his grief, asking the oracle why it had deceived him. Whereupon the oracle answered, that a great kingdom had truly been overthrown, but it was the kingdom of Croesus.

We have an editor in this city who is practicing at this sort of oracular jugglery, except that for the astute cunning of the ancient fraud he attempts to substitute an unparalleled mendacity and impertinence, purely of his own creating. Ever since the question of the new division of political parties was talked of from the "Liberal" standpoint, he has been making the political sky lurid with curses upon the heads of the "Mormon" Church, whom he declared had made a secret compact by which the "Mormon" votes were to be divided up between the Republicans and Democrats; certain town wards, persons or what not having been "set aside" or "ordained," using his favorite expression, to vote for this or that party according to the said compact.

This "bargain," as he would make believe, extended even to Washington, certain Congressmen being already pledged on the strength of its being carried out, to a scheme for admitting Utah as a State.

This our modern oracular wonder has been reiterating to his blind followers with a positiveness that would justify the impression that the written contracts signed and sealed by which this great "infamy" has been set in motion, were already completed and in the archives of his paper.

But something seems within the past twelve hours to have more or less muddled his brain, and forgetting the declarations about the division contract, and the rest of the secret bargains by which the "Mormons" were to secure statehood, he sets up a lingo in the ears of the Republicans by which we learn that those "Mormons" who, according to his repeated declaration, have "pledged their votes to the Republican party," as a part of the contract for statehood, were making ready